Planning Sustainable Places Selection Criteria | 2023 Final

| | | | Points Distribution | | ition |
|----------|--|---|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Intent | 51 | 38 | 29 |
| | | Location | 25 | 20 | 16 |
| | | Partnership | 10 | 20 | 28 |
| | | Implementation | 14 | 22 | 27 |
| | | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| | Question | Peepeneee | Sustainable Places Plan | Project Development | Implementation Activities |
| | Question | Responses | | | |
| | The project promotes improved travel choices (e.g. | project does not promote improved travel choices or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | bicycling, walking, transit use, transportation | project promotes improved travel choices without clear applicant description or | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | demand management strategies, etc): | applicant clearly describes how project promotes improved travel choices | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| | The project promotes sustainable land use patterns | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | (e.g. mixed use, transit-oriented, walkable, includes | | 3 6 | 2 | 2 |
| | affordable housing, green, etc): | applicant clearly describes how project promotes sustainable land use patterns | | | |
| | The project advances environmental stewardship | project does not advance environmental stewardship or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | (e.g. air quality, water quality, green infrastructure, | project advances environmental stewardship without clear applicant description or | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | energy conservation, renewable energy, etc): | applicant clearly describes how project advances environmental stewardship | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| L | The project promotes housing choice which may | project does not promote housing choice | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intent | include rehabilitating existing, offering more | project advances housing choice without clear applicant description | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| <u>I</u> | choices, and/or development of new housing: | applicant clearly describes how project improves housing choice | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| | | project does not advance improved public health or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | The project advances improved public health: | project advances improved public health without clear applicant description or | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | applicant clearly describes how project advances improved public health | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| | The project supports investment in areas with existing infrastructure: | project does not support investment in areas with existing infrastructure or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | project supports investment in areas with existing infrastructure without clear applicant description or | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | applicant clearly describes how project supports investment in areas with existing infrastructure or | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | applicant clearly describes how project supports investment in areas with existing infrastructure and explains how the condition of the existing infrastructure has been assessed | 5 | 3 | 3 |

| | Question | Responses | Sustainable Places Plan | Project Development | Implementation Activities |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| | The project improves economic growth (i.e jobs retention, jobs generator, tax growth): | project does not improve economic growth or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | project improves economic growth without clear applicant description | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | applicant clearly describes how project improves economic growth | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| | The project commits to using a planning resource(s) to understand the project area and craft the plan (e.g. scenario planning, data indicators, environmental data or comparable resources): | project does not commit to using planning resources or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | applicant clearly describes the use of visualization | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ħ | | applicant clearly describes how scenario planning will be used and/or | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | applicant clearly describes how the NRI or other environmental data will be used and/or | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| - | | applicant clearly describes the use of 3 or more planning resources | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | The project promotes resiliency (physical and/or economic): | project does not promotes resiliency | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | project clearly explains how the project promotes resiliency | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| | The project includes innovation in project development, concepts, and/or public engagement: | project does not include innovation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | applicant clearly describes how project includes innovation | 3 | 4 | 5 |

| | Question | Responses | Sustainable Places Plan | Project Development | Implementation Activities |
|----------|--|---|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| | The project serves a defined redevelopment area : | project is not within the defined redevelopment area or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | project is within the defined redevelopment area or | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| | | project is within the defined redevelopment area that has declined in population and/or employment | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| | | project is not within an activity center on MARC's map of activity centers or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | The project serves a regional activity center on MARC's map of activity centers: | project is within any activity center project is within a center of medium intensity of activity AND sponsor clearly and objectively documents how the activity center has increased in intensity and walkability in order to warrant a higher intensity status | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Location | | project is within a center of very high or high intensity of activity AND/OR implements elements & recommendations of "Planning Sustainable Places" or corridor demonstration projects from "Creating Sustainable Places" initiatives AND/OR sponsor clearly and objectively documents how the activity center has increased in intensity and walkability in order to warrant a higher intensity status | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Ц | The project serves a transit corridor or mobility hub (Smart Moves 3.0) | project is not within a Smart Moves 3.0 transit corridor or mobility hub | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | applicant describes how the project serves a future transit corridor or mobility hub (Smart Moves 3.0) or | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | applicant describes how the project serves a current transit corridor or mobility hub (Smart Moves 3.0) | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| | The project is within an environmental justice tract(s) or has areas with hidden environmental justice populations or provides environmental | project is not within nor connects environmental justice tract(s) to opportunities and doesn't have a hidden population | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | project is within environmental justice tract(s), has documented a hidden population or connects environmental justice populations to opportunities or | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | justice tracts connections to opportunities (i.e. jobs access, education, reduce health disparities): | project is within environmental justice tract(s), has documented a hidden population or connects environmental justice populations to opportunities and clearly describes how it addresses existing adverse health, social and environmental effects | 5 | 5 | 5 |

| | Question | Responses | Sustainable Places Plan | Project Development | Implementation Activities |
|----------------|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| | The project demonstrates partnership: | project does not document project partnership or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | applicant documents project coordination | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| | | applicant documents project coordination within sponsor entity <u>and</u> partnership with entities outside sponsor entity | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| di | - The project demonstrates sustained involvement with equity and/or typically underrepresented stakeholders | project does not demonstrate involvement of equity and/or typically underrepresented stakeholders | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Partnership | | equity and/or typically underrepresented stakeholders support the project (i.e. provide support letter) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Part | | equity and/or typically underrepresented stakeholders are participants and are committed to the project (i.e. will serve on steering committee) | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| | | equity and/or typically underrepresented stakeholders are participants, are committed to the project, and have committed resources (i.e. staff time, meeting space) to project | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| | The project demonstrates resource or financial commitment (points cumulative): | project does not document project partner financial commitment or | | | 0 |
| | | project does document project partner resource commitment | | | 2 |
| | | project does document project partner financial commitment | | | 8 |
| | The project commits a non-federal match of greater than 20% (the minimum required): | project commits minimum non-federal match | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | project commits non-federal match of 25% - 49.9% or | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| | | project commits non-federal match of 50% or greater | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | The applicant demonstrates a commitment towards | applicant does not demonstrate past success or | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <u>i</u> | implementation: | applicant clearly explains what previous planning the project builds upon | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| jt j | | project does not demonstrate commitment to implementation | | 0 | 0 |
| l B | | project application includes letter from mayor | | 2 | 2 |
| <u>e</u> | | project is in a community that has achieved Gold Community for All Ages recognition | | 2 | 2 |
| Implementation | (points are cumulative) | project demonstrates how results of public engagement have been used in project | | 2 | 2 |
| [= | | project has approvals in place (i.e. rezoning, commission approvals, or other administrative | | | |
| 1 | - | approvals; entitlements are in place) | | 4 | 4 |
| | The project demonstrates a commitment from | project does not include letter(s) from a developer(s) or property owner(s) or | | | 0 10 |
| | developer(s) and/or land owner(s): | project does include letter(s) from a developer(s) or property owner(s) | | | IU |