



I-70 Corridor Transit Alternatives Analysis

July 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>page</i>
1.0 Purpose and Need.....	1-1
1.1 Proposed Action.....	1-1
1.2 Description of I-70 Corridor.....	1-3
1.2.1 Land Use and Activity Centers.....	1-3
1.2.2 Population and Employment.....	1-4
1.2.3 Travel Market.....	1-7
1.3 Project History.....	1-9
1.4 Transportation on the I-70 Corridor.....	1-10
1.4.1 Roadways.....	1-10
1.4.2 Public Transit.....	1-12
1.4.3 Railroads.....	1-14
1.5 Transportation Problems and Needs.....	1-14
1.5.1 Congestion and Decreasing Mobility.....	1-15
1.5.2 Level and Quality of Transit Service.....	1-21
1.5.3 Limited Access to the Transportation System.....	1-22
1.5.4 Need for Sustainable Development.....	1-22
1.5.5 Effect on Air Quality.....	1-26
1.5.6 Financial Constraints.....	1-27
1.6 Planning Context.....	1-28
1.6.1 FTA Planning and Project Development Process.....	1-28
2.0 Transit Alternatives.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 TSM Alternative.....	2-1
2.2.1 TSM Alternative Facilities.....	2-1
2.2.2 TSM Alternative Express Routes.....	2-3
2.2.3 TSM Alternative Local Routes.....	2-3
2.2.4 TSM Alternative Summary.....	2-4
2.3 Express Bus Alternative.....	2-4
2.3.1 Express Bus Alternative Facilities.....	2-4
2.3.2 Express Bus Alternative Routes.....	2-6
2.3.3 Express Bus Alternative Local Routes.....	2-7
2.3.4 Express Bus Alternative Summary.....	2-7
2.4 Commuter Rail Alternative.....	2-8
2.4.1 Commuter Rail Alternative Description.....	2-8
2.4.2 Commuter Rail Alternative Station Locations.....	2-8
2.4.3 Commuter Rail Alternative Service Concept.....	2-11
2.4.4 Commuter Rail Alternative Connectivity.....	2-12
2.4.5 Commuter Rail Alternative Equipment.....	2-12
2.4.5.1 Diesel Multiple Units (DMUs).....	2-13
2.4.5.2 Locomotive Hauled, Bi-Level Passenger Rail Cars.....	2-14
2.4.5.3 Comparisons of Technologies.....	2-15
2.4.6 Commuter Rail Alternative Supporting Infrastructure.....	2-15
2.4.6.1 Stations.....	2-15

2.4.6.2 Track and Signal	2-15
2.4.6.3 Rail/Highway Grade Crossings	2-16
2.4.6.4 Layover Facility	2-16
2.4.6.5 Repair and Maintenance Facility.....	2-16
3.0 Downtown Commuter Rail Terminal Evaluation	3-1
3.1 Potential Terminal Locations.....	3-1
3.2 Kansas City Union Station	3-1
3.3 Riverfront (Third Street and Grand Avenue)	3-3
3.4 Recommended Terminal Location	3-6
4.0 Transit Alternatives Costs	4-1
4.1 Bus Capital and Operating Costs.....	4-1
4.1.1 Assumptions for Vehicle and Related Facilities' Capital Costs	4-1
4.1.2 Assumptions for Annual Bus O&M Costs	4-1
4.1.3 Alternatives Bus Cost Estimates	4-2
4.1.3.1 TSM Alternative	4-2
4.1.3.2 Express Bus Alternative	4-2
4.1.3.3 Commuter Rail Alternative Bus Service	4-3
4.1.4 Alternatives Bus Cost Estimates Summary	4-3
4.2 Commuter Rail Capital and Operating Costs.....	4-5
4.2.1 Track and Signals Upgrade Costs	4-5
4.2.1.1 KCS-Proposed Capital Improvements	4-5
4.2.1.2 Potential Phasing Plan	4-10
4.2.2 Non-Track and Non-Signal Capital Costs	4-13
4.2.2.1 Station Capital Costs.....	4-13
4.2.2.2 Layover Facility Costs	4-14
4.2.2.3 Locomotive Hauled, Bi-Level Passenger Rail Cars.....	4-14
4.2.2.4 Total Non-Track and Non-Signal Capital Costs Summary	4-15
4.2.3 Total Rail Capital Costs	4-16
4.2.4 Operations and Maintenance Costs.....	4-16
4.3 Alternatives Cost Estimate Summary.....	4-18
5.0 Ridership Forecasts	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Aggregate Rail Ridership Forecasting Model.....	5-1
5.2.1 Overview of the Aggregate Rail Ridership Forecasting Model.....	5-1
5.2.2 Application of the ARRF Model to the I-70 Corridor.....	5-2
5.2.3 Summary.....	5-10
5.3 Ridership Forecasts	5-11
5.3.1 Overview and Summary of Key Assumptions	5-11
5.3.2 Assumptions for Commuter Rail Level of Service.....	5-13
5.3.3 Discussion of Model Runs.....	5-14
5.3.4 Summary.....	5-16
6.0 Transit Alternatives Evaluation	6-1
6.1 Comparison to Alternatives	6-1
6.1.1 Ridership.....	6-1
6.1.2 Cost.....	6-2
6.1.3 Purpose and Need	6-2
6.2 New Starts Project Evaluation Process.....	6-4

6.2.1 Project Justification Ratings	6-5
6.2.1.1 Cost Effectiveness.....	6-6
6.2.1.2 Transit Supportive Land Use and Future Patterns	6-6
6.2.1.3 Mobility Improvements	6-9
6.2.1.4 Operational Efficiencies.....	6-10
6.2.1.5 Environmental Benefits	6-10
6.2.2 Project Local Financial Commitment Rating	6-10
6.2.2.1 Share of Non-New Starts Funding	6-10
6.2.2.2 Capital Funding Plan	6-11
6.2.2.3 Operating Funding Plan	6-11
6.3 Proposed Rail Alternative New Starts Evaluation Discussion.....	6-11
6.3.1 Cost Effectiveness	6-12
6.3.2 Land Use Assessment.....	6-12
6.3.2.1 Existing Land Use	6-13
6.3.2.2 Transit Supportive Plans and Policies.....	6-17
6.3.2.3 Recommendations to Improve Transit Supportive Land Uses....	6-21
7.0 Summary of Findings	7-1
7.1 Summary.....	7-1
7.2 Recommendations	7-4
7.3 Commuter Rail Viability in the Future.....	7-4

LIST OF FIGURES

	<i>page</i>
1.1 Study Area	1-2
1.2 Major Activity Centers	1-5
1.3 Projected Population to 2030	1-6
1.4 Projected Employment to 2030	1-8
1.5 Parallel Networks to I-70	1-11
1.6 Park 'n Ride Facilities (Transit)	1-13
1.7 Travel Time Comparison.....	1-16
1.8 Existing AM Peak Hour Deficiencies.....	1-17
1.9 Existing PM Peak Hour Deficiencies.....	1-18
1.10 Future AM Peak Hour Deficiencies	1-19
1.11 Future PM Peak Hour Deficiencies	1-20
1.12 Minority Population by Density.....	1-23
1.13 Population by Income	1-24
1.14 Zero-Car Households by Density.....	1-25
2.1 TSM Alternative	2-2
2.2 Express Bus Alternative.....	2-5
2.3 Commuter Rail Alternative	2-9
2.4 Commuter Rail Alternative Routing.....	2-10
3.1 Union Station Passenger Rail Platform.....	3-2
3.2 Riverfront Station (Southern View)	3-4
3.3 Riverfront Station (Northern View)	3-4
3.4 Riverfront Station Area.....	3-5
5.1 Overlay of the Proposed I-70 Alignment and the 8-County Kansas City Region	5-3
5.2 Buffer Circles of 1, 2, and 6 Miles Around Each of the I-70 Corridor Stations	5-4
5.3 Access and Egress Buffers for Selected Station-to-Station JTW Flows	5-7
6.1 2000 Population Density Map	6-18
6.2 2030 Population Density Map	6-19

LIST OF TABLES

	<i>page</i>
1-1 List of Major Activity Centers in the I-70 Study Area.....	1-4
1-2 Population Growth	1-4
1-3 Past and Projected Employment.....	1-7
1-4 Journey-to-Work for Year 2000.....	1-9
1-5 Comparison of Travel Purposes	1-9
1-6 The Metro Bus Routes Serving the I-70 Study Area.....	1-12
1-7 Travel Time Comparison for Representative Travel Markets	1-22
1-8 Kansas City Metropolitan Area Highway Congestion	1-27
2-1 Example Schedule: Odessa-Kansas City	2-12
2-2 Self-Powered Rail Cars.....	2-14
2-3 Locomotive Hauled Bi-Level Passenger Rail Cars	2-14
4-1 TSM Alternative O&M Cost Estimate	4-2
4-2 Express Bus Alternative O&M Cost Estimate	4-3
4-3 Commuter Rail Alternative O&M Cost Estimate	4-3
4-4 Summary of Bus Capital Cost and Annual O&M Cost Estimates	4-4
4-5 KCS Commuter Rail Improvement Plan Cost Estimate	4-7
4-6 Phased Implementation Cost Summary	4-12
4-7 Locomotive Hauled Bi-Level Passenger Rail Cars	4-14
4-8 Rolling Stock Capital Costs.....	4-15
4-9 Corridor Non-Track and Non-Signal Capital Costs	4-16
4-10 Total Rail Capital Cost Matrix	4-16
4-11 Annual Rail Service Operating Costs.....	4-17
4-12 Detailed Alternatives Cost Summary	4-18
4-13 Alternatives Cost Summary	4-19
5-1 Journey to Work Travel Estimated at the Census TAZ Level of Detail	5-4
5-2 Journey to Work Travel Patterns at the 8 County Region Level	5-5
5-3 Systemwide Flows Used as Inputs to ARRF Riverfront Terminal	5-5
5-4 Systemwide Flows Used as Inputs to ARRF Alternative Terminal	5-6
5-5 Journey to Work Travel for Odessa-to-Downtown and Blue Springs-to-Downtown...	5-6
5-6 Summary of Level of Service Inputs to Demand Adjustment Factor.....	5-8
5-7 Estimates of Work Flows and Workers with Buffer Area – I-70 Corridor	5-9
5-8 Unadjusted ARRF Ridership Estimates – Buffer Area Work Flows	5-9
5-9 ARRF Adjustment Factors to Reflect Rail Level of Service on I-70 Corridor	5-10
5-10 Adjusted ARRF Ridership Estimates – Buffer Area + Rail Level of Service	5-10
5-11 Summary of Headways of Bus Transit Routes in the I-70 Corridor	5-12
5-12 Summary of Base-Year and Future-Year No Build, TSM and I-70 Commuter Rail Ridership Forecasts	5-15
6-1 Forecast 2030 Daily Ridership.....	6-1
6-2 Alternatives Additional Cost.....	6-2
6-3 Alternatives Additional Cost Per New Annual Rider	6-2
6-4 Cost Effectiveness Ranges.....	6-6

6-5	Ratings Guidance for Existing Land Use Assessment.....	6-7
6-6	Ratings Guidance for Transit Supportive Plans and Policies.....	6-7
6-7	Ratings Guidance for Performance and Impacts of Land Use Policies	6-8
6-8	Existing Land Use Ratings Benchmarks	6-9
6-9	Corridor Policies and Station Area Zoning Ratings Benchmarks.....	6-9
6-10	Non-New Starts Funding Share Ratings.....	6-11
6-11	Cost Effectiveness Comparison	6-13
6-12	FTA New Starts Rating Guidance Planned Densities.....	6-22
7-1	Alternatives Cost Summary	7-2
7-2	Commuter Rail Cost Comparison	7-3