1. Welcome and Introductions
Kansas Co-Chair Greg Ruether welcomed the attendees and introductions were made.

2. Regional Transportation Plan 2050
Jim Hubbell briefed the committee on the development of the plan, which will serve as a blueprint for managing the region’s transportation improvements for the next 20 to 30 years. Jim responded to questions from attendees:

How does MARC’s RTP process compare to other MPOs?
- The process is pretty similar, but MARC’s unique structure may make its plans unique. MARC is a large umbrella organization that includes departments, such as Aging Services, Early Learning, and Emergency Services. This makes MARC more broad in focus, causing plans to capture a more comprehensive set of regional visions.

Were projects from Transportation Outlook 2040 completed?
- Most projects that were intended to be completed by 2020 have been completed or have funding to be completed. However, projects often look a little different in the TIP than in the long range transportation plan because the long range plan is broader. Additionally, the bulk of transportation funding spent in the KC region is local money, so those projects would not be included in the TIP and are harder to track.

Was there any discussion of public/private partnerships?
- Yes. One scenario explored will be leveraging private partnerships.

3. Approval of November 8, 2017 Meeting Summary
Motion: Sara Copeland moved and Jeff Joseph seconded to approve the February 14, 2018 meeting summary, as written. The motion passed.
**Program Balances**

MoDOT report from Eva Steinman: The Missouri TA balance is about $4 million. MoDOT is anticipating $500,000 to be obligated for a Gladstone project in FY 2018. A couple of KCMO projects may also obligate. MoDOT is encouraging project sponsors with an active project to look at their timelines and access whether they need to request an extension.

KDOT report from Allison Smith: Four Kansas TA projects are pending obligation. The Johnson County board voted to approve funding for the Bike Share project and the project is being programmed with KDOT. UG-WyCo/ KCK has a project and Lenexa has two projects that are on track to obligate in FY 2018. If they all obligate on time, the TAP program will be over programmed. Adjustments will need to be made.

BikeWalkKC Local Spokes will obligate a KS-CMAQ project. Overland Park’s Downtown Bike/Ped Improvements CMAQ project is using advanced construction. The ending CMAQ balance is positive.

Kaitlyn Service shared that MARC will be in contact with KS TA project sponsors for FY 2018 about a solution to the over programmed balance. She will update the committee at a future meeting.

Brian Shields shared that bids for the Overland Park CMAQ project came in pretty low. The project will probably only use about $100,000 KS-CMAQ rather than the originally anticipated $240,000.

**Project Updates**

None

5. Unified Government of Wyandotte County- Kansas City, Kan. Request

At the previous ATPC meeting, the committee approved UG’s request to be an official project sponsor of a FY 2019-2020 Bike Share Project. The Lenexa and UG Bike Share projects used about $150,000 of the $250,000 KS-CMAQ available to Bike Share for FY 2019-2020. This left about $100,000 unclaimed for Kansas Bike Share FY 19-20. ATPC voted to allow UG’s commission the opportunity to consider expansion from their original Bike Share request to make use of some of the available $100,000.

Since the last ATPC meeting, UG’s commission approved expansion from their original request. The final UG Bike Share project forwarded onto TTPC will add approximately 50 smart bikes to the City of Kansas City, Kansas, using $122,400 of KS CMAQ.

The Lenexa Bike Share project and the final UG Bike Share project used about $174,000 of the $250,000 KS-CMAQ available to Bike Share for FY 2019-2020. This leaves about $76,000 KS-CMAQ unassigned to a project for FY 19-20.

During the original 2016 programming round, ATPC considered the possibility of Bike Share not getting an eligible sponsor. The contingency plan was to allocate any extra money to UG’s underfunded Metropolitan Ave Bikeway project. The Metropolitan Ave Bikeway project was the third highest scoring project from this funding round. It was recommended by BPAC and DSLT advisory committees. It received the highest number of public comments.

The Unified Government of Wyandotte County- Kansas City, Kansas requested that ATPC assign the newly available $76,000 KS-CMAQ to the Metropolitan Ave Area Bikeway Improvements project.

Brent Thompson shared that the UG Planning Department took the lead on the UG Bike Share project to avoid conflict of interest. He shared that the Metropolitan Ave project will be added to UG’s CIP to ensure local funding is available to support the project.

**Motion:** Mike McDonald moved and Sara Copeland seconded to approve UG’s request to assign the $76,000 KS-CMAQ to the Metropolitan Ave Area Bikeway Improvements project. The motion passed.

6. 2018 Call for Projects

Kaitlyn Service shared that the 2018 Call for Projects application period is closed after being open for a couple of months. Staff is close to completing preliminary scoring of the project submissions. When scores are ready, each applicant will be e-
mailed a scoring summary, which will provide more detail on how their individual project scored in each category. Projects are scored by MARC staff using the criteria adopted by the ATPC. The scoring criteria has been available on the MARC website throughout the application process. Applicants will have a period of time, during which they are able to request reconsideration of their scores. Scoring revisions must be based on information in the original application. Additional supplemental information cannot be submitted or considered.

At the June ATPC meeting, scores will be ready. In previous funding years, ATPC has used this meeting to allow each sponsor five minutes to speak about their applications.

Committee members generally agreed that these presentations are helpful and they would like to do this again at their next meeting. Entities with multiple applications will need to prioritize their projects to fit them into five minutes. If an entity submitted multiple applications, it may be strategic to focus on the highest scoring project. 22 entities applied for TA funding, meaning presentations alone could last 1 hour and 50 minutes. The committee would have the opportunity to ask questions to applicants about their projects. PowerPoint presentations cannot be accommodated because of time restraints. Handouts are optional.

The funds requested by Kansas applications are about 230% of available TA funds. The funds requested by Missouri applications are about 350% of available TA funds. 12 applications were received from Kansas. 21 applications were received from Missouri.

Kaitlyn Service shared plans for upcoming ATPC meetings:
- June 13 in Board Room - Presentations from project sponsors
- July 11 in Board Room - Priorities will be available from Bike-Ped Advisory Committee, Destination Safe, Regional Transit Coordinating Council, and Sustainable Places Policy Committee. ATPC project rankings will be incorporated into the final project scores. Programming discussion may begin.
- July 25 in Broadway Room - Continue programming
- August 8 in Broadway Room - ATPC recommendations need to be finalized at this meeting if they haven’t been determined already. Recommendations must be ready for TTPC’s August 21 meeting so that they can be approved by the MARC Board in October.
- November 14 in Lewis & Clark Room – Debrief of programming process

This schedule may be subject to change. All meetings are held at the MARC office and begin at 10:30 a.m. Meeting dates are listed at the bottom of the meeting agenda and have been distributed to ATPC at previous meetings and in previous e-mails.

In response to questions from attendees, Kaitlyn Service clarified that Kansas City, Missouri is not applying for STP funding this year because they were already awarded funding for the Broadway Bridge. The City is still welcome and able to submit applications for TA and CMAQ funding.

Committee members requested information on the status of each applicants’ current project(s). It would be helpful to know if projects are being completed according to schedule and whether sponsors have requested modifications or returned funds. The committee understands that modifications are sometimes necessary. It would be helpful to see if sponsors have been moving projects along according to the schedule, scope, and budget approved originally approved by ATPC.

Committee members requested to see the full list of preliminary project scores at the time that project sponsors are e-mailed their individual detailed scoring report.

**Other Business**

Greg Ruether invited attendees to the MARC Regional Assembly on June 8.
Kaitlyn Service shared that the Planning Sustainable Places application period is open. Information is available on a handout. Please contact MARC staff with any questions. A pre-application workshop will be held on May 15. Applications will close on June 22.

Allison Smith shared that Kansas State Bike Maps are available.

Brian Shields shared that Bike to Work week is next week.

7. **Adjournment:** With no further business, the meeting was adjourned. The next meeting is scheduled for June 13, 2018 at 10:30 a.m. at the MARC Office.