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Executive Summary

This executive summary provides an overview of the purpose, study area, recommendations, implementation and potential implementation timeline of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Plan. Additional study documentation may be found in the ‘350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Plan – A land use, transportation, aesthetic, and implementation strategy for the redevelopment of the corridor’, dated October 23, 2006, and the ‘350 Highway / Blue Parkway - Existing Conditions Report’, dated October 4, 2004.

OVERVIEW

The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Study is a redevelopment and economic development study for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. It is a joint effort by the City of Raytown, Missouri; the City of Kansas City, Missouri; the Missouri Department of Transportation; the Mid-America Regional Council; citizens; and business owners to develop a plan for the economic rejuvenation and redevelopment of the corridor and the study area. The study area is approximately ½ mile wide along 350 Highway from I-435 south to I-470.

The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor is approximately 8.28 miles long. The study area along the corridor encompasses retail development, office development, light industrial development, civic and community uses, and residential neighborhoods.

The study area is governed by City of Raytown, Missouri; the City of Kansas City, Missouri; the City of Lee’s Summit, Missouri; The Village of Unity Village, Missouri; the County of Jackson County, Missouri; The Missouri Department of Transportation owns and maintains the roadway. The project area also lies within the Mid-America Regional Council regional planning area.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This study was prepared because the jurisdictions, business owners, and residents in the study area, recognized the need to address numerous and intertwined land use, transportation, and aesthetic issues along the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. This study identifies and analyzes those issues (The Existing Conditions Report), provides a framework to modify or enhance the conditions contributing to those issues (The Corridor Plan), and provides a roadmap to address those issues (The Implementation Plan).

THE STUDY

The Study was prepared in four parts; The Existing Conditions Report; The Market Strategy and Visioning, The Corridor Plan; and The Implementation Plan.
The Existing Conditions Report

The Existing Conditions Report provided in-depth information on the Physical Characteristics, the Market Characteristics, and the Public Perception and Desired Future Development of the corridor.

Physical Characteristics

The physical characteristics of the corridor studied were the Urban Design, Aesthetics, Zoning, Land Use, Topography, Public Facilities, Parks and Open Space, Infrastructure and Transportation.

Four key elements were identified as determining factors in the existing character, condition, and resultant issues of the corridor:

1. The Route – The roadway itself is the major contributing factor for the existing character and nature of the corridor. The initial design complied with accepted standards of the times. The routes expansion to a 4 lane divided highway, put into place more modern standards, new lanes were separated from the original lanes creating developed land in the median, and numerous intersections.

   Additionally, when the route was designed it cut diagonally through the urban grid, divorcing the grid on the north side from the south side, creating skewed intersections, and odd shaped lots adjacent to the roadway.

   The route also carries a high volume of traffic. In 2002, the Average Daily Traffic ranged between 10,000 to 22,000 vehicles per day. The heavier volumes were found at the western end of the corridor, near I-435, and the lightest traffic volumes were found at the eastern end of the corridor near I-470.

   The route is a state highway, so the expectation for most drivers is one of convenient, fast, access to and from Downtown Kansas City. This causes numerous conflicts in balancing the efficiency of the commuter traffic, with the viability, ease of access, and safety of the surrounding commercial and local traffic.

   The changing nature and function of the roadway also contributes to the character of the corridor. On the west, the corridor is a standard controlled access urban expressway. In Raytown, the road functions as an accessible commercial corridor. To the east, in the Little Blue River Valley, the road functions as a rural expressway, and further east to Lee’s Summit, functions as an urban expressway again. This is significant change of use, of function, of character in 8 miles.

2. Age – The age of the roadway itself, the age of the municipalities and their location in the development of Greater Kansas City (Raytown is a first ring suburb with all the assets and challenges that come with it), contribute to an aged corridor in need of revitalization
and redevelopment. The few undeveloped areas that exist in the corridor are undeveloped because of lack of infrastructure.

Age is contributing to the overall aesthetic character and quality of the corridor as well. Older buildings in need of rehabilitation are abundant, as are signs, each competing in size for visibility. Overhead lines are abundant. Additionally, numerous outdated and outmoded parcels do not have modern site layouts, lot sizes, storm drainage systems, landscape, or facades. This contributes to an overall feeling of the corridor being dated and not modern.

3. Boundaries – The corridor itself has along its length, 6 separate jurisdictions, KCMO, Raytown, Lee’s Summit, Jackson County, Unity Village, and MoDOT, the owner of the road way. Each has its own set of regulations, codes, guidelines, and agenda for the corridor contributing to the highly diverse character and conflicting uses along the route. Generally those changes occur at the municipal and jurisdictional boundaries.

4. Infrastructure – A significant factor contributing to the existing character of the corridor is the existing infrastructure. While the Little Blue Valley Interceptor Sewer runs through the Valley, significant portions of the Little Blue Valley area have not developed because of lack of parcel specific accessible sanitary sewer. There are also significant areas in the Valley within the flood plain of the Little Blue River in the Valley. Additionally, lack of storm sewer and storm water detention are significant issues in the Raytown and the Knobtown areas.

The corridor also lacks significant non-vehicular walk/trail/connection infrastructure.

These key factors contribute greatly to the existing nature and character of the corridor. They represent challenges and opportunities for the future development and redevelopment of the corridor.

**Market Characteristics**

A Market Study was conducted to analyze the market factors contributing to the study area. That study identified the following assets and limitations for development and redevelopment of the corridor:

Assets of the Highway 350 Corridor include:

- Direct connection to downtown Kansas City.
- Connecting link between two interstate highways (I-435 and I-470).
- Centralized location in an area circled by an interstate highway system.
- A high volume of commuter traffic flowing from employment centers to suburban housing developments.
- Location on the fringe of a major growth area.
- Large expanses of vacant, developable land in the southern portion of the corridor in Kansas City.
• Reasonably priced land and development sites.
• Local economic development policies that encourage development.
• A relatively high concentration of housing density (rooftops) flanking the central portion of the corridor that represents a market for neighborhood retail and service commercial uses.

Limitations of the Highway 350 Corridor include:

• Rough terrain and absence of infrastructure for the majority of the undeveloped areas in the southeastern portion of the corridor in Kansas City, primarily east of Noland Road.
• Arterial function and traffic flow/speed on Highway 350 limits capturing through-traffic and creates a “pedestrian unfriendly” environment.
• Awkward vehicular circulation at strategic intersections with Highway 350 within the City of Raytown (e.g. Blue Ridge Boulevard, and Raytown Road and 75th Street).
• Preponderance of excessive curb cuts, limited lot depth and small parcels fronting Highway 350 in the commercial district in Raytown.
• Intense competition for consumer expenditures from other corridors.
• Discontinuities between businesses and functions served along the corridor in Raytown.
• Absence of true “nodes” or “nuclei” of business and activity centers.
• Lack of growth and only moderate purchasing power with stagnant household income growth within the surrounding market area.

Public Perception and Desires

The public was given numerous opportunities to provide input and express their desires for the redevelopment of the corridor. A main focus of the public input was a series of public forums, information meetings, and workshops. There were several public meetings during the project:

• 350 Highway Issues Forum - June 26, 2002 (Pre-Consultant Selection)
• Issues Identification Workshop - March 26, 2003 (Pre-Consultant Selection)
• Corridor Plan Kick-off Meeting - January 22, 2004
• Visioning Workshop - February 26, 2004
• Existing Conditions & Transportation Open House- May 25, 2004
• Transportation, Land use & Aesthetics Concepts Open House -Feb 3, 2005
• Transportation, Land use & Aesthetics Final Plans Open House - Sept. 12, 2005
• Implementation Plan Presentation - October 27, 2005

The comments gathered can be organized in three broad categories: Vision and Aesthetics, Land Use and Infrastructure, and Implementation and Financing.
Key input on Vision and Aesthetics:

- A Vision is needed to help guide the future development of the corridor.
- The Vision should knit the community together.
- Safety is a key issue in the corridor.
- One organization is needed to tie the entire corridor together.
- The aesthetic appearance of the corridor needs to be updated and improved.

Key input on Land Use and Infrastructure:

- Utilize the existing framework as a starting place.
- Develop guidelines for future development.
- Update and upgrade look of corridor.
- Roadway does not function well for Raytown.
- Infrastructure should be extended to areas without it.

Key input on Financing and Implementation:

- Need a governing group of all jurisdictions.
- How do you pay for changes?
- Need alternative ways to finance development.
- Whatever the cost, increased safety on the highway would be worth it.
- Should be long range and be able to do in pieces (phases).

**Market Strategy and Visioning**

Utilizing the info gathered in the Existing Conditions Report, a market positioning report, and a vision statement and goals and objectives were developed prior to guide the plan.

**Market Positioning Strategy**

A market positioning strategy for the Highway 350 Corridor will involve related combined strategies for successful implementation. Successful development of the Highway 350 Corridor will involve both new development, and redevelopment of existing developed parcels.

The analysis concluded that the primary market focus for the Highway 350 Corridor will be the local market, not a regional or sub-regional market. Local and area-wide demographics and development trends have determined the current and, to some degree, the potential market. An aging population, modest household purchasing power, and stagnant household income growth pose limitations for any robust boom in commercial retail/services along the corridor. However, the potential for new residential development, especially in the southern or Kansas City portion of the Highway 350 corridor in addition to higher density residential development along the corridor in Raytown, supplemented with mid-size office and/or light industrial uses, would increase the local market for consumer goods and services.

Considering the above analysis, the following is a summary of the suggested key recommendations and positioning strategies for the Highway 350 Corridor.
The demand for automobile-related retail and service uses (e.g. auto sales, auto repair shops, tire and battery businesses, auto parts shops, etc.) remains strong, and those businesses should continue to be accommodated in the corridor.

The potential to “capture” through commuter traffic for retail sales and services does exist, and presents a viable, but limited, strategy. However, realistically this market is limited to a number of very select types of uses, such as convenience stores, fast food restaurants, day care centers, banking facilities, etc. While this certainly presents one component of a market positioning strategy for the corridor, it cannot be the primary component.

An increasing aging population and concentration within easy walking distance of the Highway 350 Corridor could present market opportunities for certain neighborhood retail and personal services, particularly of the pedestrian-friendly convenience variety.

The current composition of businesses along the Highway 350 Corridor reflects a void in several retail/service and other categories, including quality sit-down restaurants, specialty shops, apparel, home furnishings, and public gathering places. Young families and the older segments of the population (over 55) should be considered as primary consumer targets. Some examples of specific uses targeted to these markets could include bookstores, health food stores, fitness center, coffee shops, farmers market, hobbies/ceramics, and nursery/landscaping supplies.

A strategy for focusing neighborhood retail and other uses, resulting in mixed-used centers, at selected “nodes” should be a major objective of the Highway 350 Master Plan within the Raytown portion of the corridor. These activity “nuclei” should be developed at strategic intersections or points along the corridor. To be successful these neighborhood and community retail and mixed-use centers need to provide improved vehicular and pedestrian traffic linkages and provide better convenience than competing retail corridors.

Consideration should be given to the establishment of public facilities along the Highway 350 Corridor in the City of Raytown that would serve as public gathering places and destination attractions, and which in turn would have synergistic or “spin-off” monetary effects on other businesses in the corridor. Examples include a community center, senior citizens center, library, or even City-owned and operated facilities such as City Hall.

The potential for “big-box” retail and/or anchored community shopping centers is most likely limited to the far north end of the Highway 350 Corridor in the I-435 interchange/63rd Street/Blue Ridge Boulevard area considering the current location and distribution of such centers within the market area and the limited depth of most sites in the central portion of the corridor. The potential would be increased if a suitable redevelopment site could be identified at one of the strategic “nuclei” points previously described. This would require a developer with big box tenants specifically targeting the
local market and offering local consumers advantages in location and convenience compared to other big box anchored centers in the market area.

- It appears there may be a potential for smaller-scale Class “B” office space and mixed office/light industrial (flex-uses) within the Highway 350 Corridor, most likely within the central portion of the corridor in the City of Raytown.

- Larger-scale office and light industrial/distribution uses appear to have some potential for development at selected locations in the southern portion of the Highway 350 Corridor between Noland Road and the I-470 interchange. Considering the recent development of the Summit Woods Crossing ‘power center’ and other existing and proposed commercial development near this interchange, additional commercial development could result in an over-saturation of the commercial retail market in this area. A hotel near the Highway 350 and I-470 interchange could also be a consideration for this southernmost area.

- Considering the success of “Jessica’s Estates” and composition of local area demographics, it appears there is a potential market for additional senior housing and similar housing in the Highway 350 Corridor. Likewise, since the City of Raytown is substantially built out with limited undeveloped land available for residential development, the Highway 350 Corridor can provide opportunities for higher density housing which can serve as ‘nodes’ for mixed-use developments. The addition of higher density housing within the corridor’s “core area” of the Raytown corridor segment could form an anchor for “mixed use” development, and also enhance market demand for retail goods and services.

- There is a need for move-up moderate and higher-end priced single-family housing within the Highway 350 Corridor market area. Housing in several price range categories should be developed, including the lower moderate range ($100,000 to $125,000); moderate range ($125,000-$175,000); and higher-moderate range ($175,000-$250,000). Additionally, higher end housing ($250,000.00 +) should continue to be developed in the corridor (as it is in the Kansas City Southeastern segment). The area along the southern portion of the corridor, south of the Raytown city limits and continuing south of Noland Road to I-470, appears to be a logical area for development of this type. The rough terrain and lack of infrastructure have restricted development in this area. Residential development within this area could be a precursor to new commercial development in the Raytown portion of the Highway 350 corridor as the market for commercial goods and services would be expanded.

- For corridor planning purposes the following space/land use allocations would be reasonable. These estimates of future development and redevelopment absorption within the Highway 350 Corridor are based upon the market analysis conducted as part of this assignment. The estimates assume a range of possible capture rates (percentages) applied to known levels of development within the Highway 350 market area in recent years (as explained below for each type of use). It also assumes that urban design and traffic improvements are in place or underway to accommodate and encourage new investment.
and development. The estimates are given as ranges due to the cyclical market and economic factors that typically influence real estate development and redevelopment.

1. For retail commercial uses 70,000 - 225,000 square feet of space over the next five years (140,000 to 450,000 square feet over a ten year horizon). This estimate is based upon a capture rate of 3.5% to 12.5% applied to the average 380,000 square feet of retail space that has been developed each year in the market area over the past six years.

2. For office uses 25,000 - 100,000 square feet of space over the next five years (50,000 – 200,000 square feet of space over a ten year horizon). This estimate is based upon a capture rate of 3% to 12% applied to the average 150,000 square feet of office space being absorbed annually in the market area over the past five years.

3. For light industrial and office/flex space uses 50,000 – 200,000 square feet of space over the next five years (100,000 – 400,000 square feet over a ten year horizon). This estimate has been the most challenging to quantify because the industrial/flex space configurations are not generally recorded separately in development data and most of this type of space is build-to-suit projects. However, the estimate given here assumes a fairly generous capture rate of 10% to 40% applied to the estimated 100,000 square feet developed annually (the availability of sites in the Highway 350 corridor also influenced this capture rate).

4. For residential uses 75 – 250 units over the next five years (150 – 500 units over a ten year horizon) within the corridor and areas immediately adjacent to it. The lower end of this estimate is based on a capture rate of 20% of the average 60 units per year being developed in the local market. It is also recognized that there is potential for even greater residential development (probably higher-end, lower density) in those areas beyond and adjacent to the second tier of properties near the corridor.

Vision, Goals, and Objectives

Through public input, and Steering Committee guidance, a vision statement for the future of the corridor was defined. It will serve as the foundation for current and future strategies and actions related to the corridor. The 350 Highway/Blue Parkway Corridor should be:

A vibrant regional corridor, where the long-term vitality for prosperous commerce with livable surrounding neighborhood, is achieved in a continuing partnership among the residential, business and governmental sectors that leads toward attaining a safe and efficient transportation corridor and the highest quality of life.

The following goals help form the foundation for the future of the corridor:

I. Goal: Enhance the long-term vitality and attractiveness of 350 Blue Parkway Corridor as a quality mixed-use environment.
II. Goal: Enhance the potential for prosperous commerce and quality living within the 350 Blue Parkway Corridor.

III. Goal: Provide a safe, efficient and cost-effective transportation system

The Corridor Plan

The Corridor Plan consists of three plans with associated recommendations for each. The plans are: the Land Use Plan; the Transportation Plan; the Aesthetics Plan. It is important to note that all of the plans work in conjunction with each other.

The Land Use Plan

The land use plan develops strategies to deal with land use issues. A proposed land use plan was developed as well as a plan identifying development and redevelopment opportunities.

The existing land use plans by the governing jurisdictions are concerned with land use only within their own boundaries. Because of this, a simplified, high level look at a cross-jurisdictional plan for future land use development throughout the corridor was needed. The benefits of this are:

- When adopted by the jurisdictions, the plan would be an agreement on basic land use direction along the corridor
- Cohesive and inter-jurisdictional
- Creation of a unified, cohesive plan that can be supplemented by the jurisdictions in detailed area plans
- Allow for ‘big idea’ concepts

Additionally, Smart Growth principles were used as a foundation for development of the land use plan. These principles coincide with the information gathered from the public input meetings, and the market positioning strategies. Details of Smart Growth principles may be found at www.smartgrowth.org.

Land Use Plan Recommendations

The following recommendations were developed for the proposed Land Use Plan:

- Create a simplified, study area-wide land use plan, that is cross-jurisdictional.
- Create and/or reinforce mixed use activity nodes at major intersections.
- Surround activity nodes with residential uses for local support, provide capture areas for local and commuter traffic.
- Create walkability within each node.
- Form multi-modal connections between and through uses in study area.
- Redevelop existing, outmoded commercial properties along corridor.
- Provide infrastructure to Little Blue Valley to stimulate residential move up and higher end residential uses.
Integrate varied residential housing types into corridor as complementary and buffer uses to mixed use nodes and general commercial business along corridor.

Redevelop existing housing to compete in an open market.

Target existing businesses that are assets along corridor. Provide opportunities for site/building upgrades.

Consolidate vacant to underdeveloped properties and bring to highest, best use standard.

Develop updated zoning ordinances for the corridor.

Development and Redevelopment Recommendations

There are current areas of opportunity along the corridor ripe for development or redevelopment, or areas ready for development and redevelopment once other land use, transportation or aesthetic strategies are developed. A series of key strategies was developed to encourage capitalizing opportunities in the corridor.

- Develop Mixed-Use Nodes to extend the activity level and increase the walkability and connectivity of land uses surrounding neighborhoods, and the transportation system.
- Redevelop large, outdated, and under developed existing properties.
- Consolidate smaller vacant properties into larger, contiguous properties.
- Rehabilitate and redevelop individual business sites on narrow or restricted properties.
- Redevelop neighborhoods that have inadequate utilities, sidewalks, streetscape, and outdated housing.
- Develop corridor guidelines to allow for the redevelopment of multiple ownership properties.
- Develop key infrastructure and utilities for properties undeveloped because of lack of infrastructure.
- Provide for the utilization of incentive and creative financing solutions to assist in the redevelopment of properties, upgrading of existing properties, and redevelopment of neighborhoods.
- Create a Corridor Plan Organization to guide redevelopment efforts, assist in developing funding sources, and implement the plan.

Housing & Residential Development Recommendations

Addressing the housing issues in the study area is critical to the success of the plan. Housing is integral to the success of commercial, retail and open space development. The large tax generators (commercial and retail) require housing in close proximity to be successful. New commercial developments require not only rooftops, but rely heavily on other demographic indicators of the income and potential dollars available for the purchase of goods and services. There for, it is critical to have healthy neighborhoods, with quality housing stock, and housing choices for different levels of income.

Existing Housing Recommendations

- Rehabilitate existing homes (within plan area)
- Provide programs for the implementation of rehabilitation. Options include:
  - CDC, CHDO programs (use of HOME funds)
  - Chapter 353 tax abatement
  - Purchase/rehab/resale program (homebuilders)
  - Redevelopment authority (LCRA)
  - First time homebuyers program
  - Community Improvement Districts, Neighborhood Improvement Districts
  - Spin-off improvements from commercial Tax Increment Financing projects

**New Housing Recommendations**

- Finding and packaging sites for new development
- Fill gap in lack of move up housing
- Target markets
  - Move-up housing
  - Young families
  - Seniors over age 55

**Commercial/Retail/Industrial/Other Recommendations**

The commercial, retail, and industrial developments are the real tax generators for the municipalities. Infrastructure requirements (transportation, utilities, etc.) and consumer and workforce needs, contribute to the viability of these uses in the corridor. The health of these uses is vital to the health of the corridor. Additional commercial, retail and industrial issues to be addressed:

- Because no single market niche is identified in the corridor, focus on:
  - local market, not regional or sub-regional market
  - capturing commuter traffic for retail/services viable but limited strategy
  - create strong environment for developer interest (good developer/zoning process)

- Encourage the development of market gaps in the corridor. Apparent gaps in the market include:

  | mid-size office   | home furnishings | farmers market |
  | light industrial  | fitness center   | hobbies/ceramics|
  | quality sit-down restaurants | specialty shops | health food stores |
  | apparel           | bookstores       | sporting goods  |
  | nursery/landscaping supplies | music stores   | entertainment |

- Because of the existing strength and historic significance of auto related uses and services in the corridor, they should continue to be accommodated. All auto related uses and services should be redeveloped to upgraded standards of site planning, architecture, landscape and aesthetics. These standards should be developed and included in the new design guidelines for the corridor. Additional used car lots should be discouraged.
The Transportation Plan

The Transportation Strategies explore the existing transportation framework, existing access to properties, safety, and proposes modifications to each to create a safer, higher quality transportation corridor that can be shared by the adjacent home and business owners and commuters alike.

Because 350 Highway/Blue Parkway is a State of Missouri Highway, it is under the jurisdiction of the Missouri Department of Transportation. It is owned, and maintained by MoDOT, and access is granted or denied by their authority.

Similar to the Land Use Strategies, the Transportation Strategies are long term in nature. Because of the complexity, size, cost, long timeline, and disruption to the corridor for the proposed major transportation strategies, these strategies will be the most difficult to implement. They are large in scale, and have many regulatory layers to navigate for a project to come to fruition. Despite this, the potential positive impact to the corridor on adjacent property owners, safety, access, land use, and aesthetics warrants short and long term focus.

Transportation Recommendations

Five major transportation improvements are proposed in this study. They are (from west to east):

1. Interchange enhancement at Blue Ridge and 350 Highway / Blue Parkway. Add ramp access to make Blue Ridge a full interchange. Because of limited property availability, geometric requirements, and existing ROW, a single point interchange is proposed as a possible solution at this intersection.
2. Realignment of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway at wide median separation east and west of Raytown Road. Realign west bound lanes to run parallel to east bound lanes with narrow median. Realign Raytown Road to create a non-skewed, four way intersection. Utilize the vacated land for redevelopment.
3. Realignment of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway at wide median separation east and west of Westridge Road. Realign west bound lanes to run parallel to east bound lanes with narrow median. Realign Westridge to create a non-skewed, four way intersection. Utilize the vacated ground for redevelopment.
4. Rail Bridge at Knobtown. Widen or remove rail-bridge west of Knobtown to allow for additional lane and storm sewer improvements.
5. Realignment of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway west of Bannister Road. Realign east bound lanes to run parallel to west bound lanes with narrow median to reduce accidents in this area. Utilize the vacated ground for redevelopment.

These projects have the greatest potential positive impact on the transportation system in the corridor. These projects will also require significant efforts in planning, design, funding and implementation. Additionally, there will be significant additional study required for these proposed major improvements to become reality.

Additional Transportation and Infrastructure Recommendations
Significant additional transportation improvements are recommended in the study. They include:

- Intersection improvements.
- Incorporation of multi-modal transportation into the planned transportation improvements.
- Management of access along corridor.
- Development of local sanitary sewer in non-sewered areas within study boundary (Little Blue Valley).
- Development of storm sewer utilities for non-sewered areas in Raytown and Knobtown areas.
- Development of Curb and Gutter for the Raytown Segment, both on 350 Highway/Blue Parkway, and adjacent neighborhoods. Develop these improvements with the new storm sewer utilities mentioned above.

**The Aesthetics Plan**

One of the key opportunities of the Corridor Plan, is the potential to develop and implement aesthetic improvements along the corridor. These will typically have a shorter time frame, with easier implementation, require less funding, cause less corridor disruption, and provide early visible changes and beautification that elicit public understanding and reaction.

The Aesthetics Plan addresses the enhancement of the following:

**Bridge Enhancement:** Utilize existing bridge structures as gateways for major enhancement opportunities.

**Issues:**
- Existing bridges are utilitarian.
- All bridge locations (63rd Street, Blue Ridge Rail bridge at Knobtown, Bannister) represent key gateways in the study area.

**Recommendations:**
- Utilize existing bridges as structure for applied enhancements.
- Locate funding sources for enhancements of bridges and roads.
- Each bridge is unique, design should be unique for each one.
- Railbridge at Knobtown should be widened and enhanced or removed.
- Blue Ridge and Knobtown are primary opportunities.
- Tie into streetscape and landscape enhancements.
- High cost, high impact enhancement.
- Funded through primary public and incentive sources.

**Curb, Gutter, & Storm Sewer:** Add curb, gutter, and storm sewers in urban segments of corridor and neighborhoods.

**Issues:**
- Urban roadway section has ditches and shoulders.
• Existing storm drainage issues at several places along corridor.
• Would stimulate access management issues discussion and study.
• Would be a publicly funded effort.

**Recommendations:**
• Curb and gutter only urban section, from Blue Ridge to Knobtown.
• Provide for regional detention in median near 75th Street.
• Do full access management study with improvements.
• Install in phases - as a part of streetscape projects.
• Provide for storm improvements at Knobtown rail bridge.
• Add in neighborhoods to improve conditions.
• Develop phasing plan, if needed.
• High cost of construction, high visual impact potential.

**Gateways & Monumationation:** Use gateway and monumentation enhancements to mark important intersections, corners, thresholds and municipal boundaries.

**Issues:**
• No enhancements of existing gateway areas.
• Several import primary gateways (municipal boundaries).
• Node gateways exist at 63rd, Blue Ridge, Raytown Road, Knobtown).
• Cost would be a mix of public, incentive and individual developer.
• Need general design guidelines.
• Medium cost, high impact

**Recommendations:**
• Develop primary gateways with streetscape design.
• Reserve areas at intersections for gateway landscape and markers.
• Incorporate pedestrian, landscape, crossings, into gateways.
• Allow for different design of secondary gateways on developer property, but have general guidelines for materials and requirements.
• Design primary gateways initially so they are ready for construction as soon as funding becomes available. Utilize designs for fund-raising.
• Primary gateways are the responsibility of the Corridor Plan Organization and Cities. Can be in dedicated tracts and right-of-way. Secure ample property/easements based upon designs. Make dedication of property/easements for primary gateway areas a requirement of new development or redevelopment of an adjacent property.
• Secondary gateways and monumentation are the responsibility of the developer and are on developer property adjacent to right-of-way.
• Develop and construct early in corridor redevelopment to provide enhanced aesthetics for a relatively low cost, short time frame, and high aesthetics enhancement.

**Intersection Enhancements:** Increase visual character and quality of corridor Identify and mark thresholds and gateways to areas/sub areas.
Issues:
- No current intersection improvements.
- Skewed intersections add to clutter.
- Should be done with streetscape.
- Cost could be shared with individual developer and public.
- Should be a part of overall streetscape design.
- High cost, high impact

Recommendations:
- Develop high impact intersection improvements at nodes.
- Develop and construct as part of streetscape improvements.
- Make developers responsible for corner improvements.

Landscape/Streetscape/Amenity: Increase visual character and quality of corridor.

Issues:
- Lack of landscape in medians.
- Lack of roadway/streetscape landscape.
- No coordinated or unifying elements.
- Lack of landscape on private property.
- Lack of public spaces and amenities.
- Low level of maintenance of public spaces

Recommendations:
- Revise landscape ordinance/guidelines for new development and redevelopment.
- Develop detailed coordinated landscape masterplan.
- Develop coordinated streetscape/walk/amenity plans with landscape masterplan.
- Increase general level of landscape maintenance in corridor.

Lighting: Increase safety (vehicular, pedestrian, and personal) and provide increased aesthetic value through the use of a unified lighting palette.

Issues:
- Little existing lighting in roadway.
- Lighting only at MoDOT minimum.
- Most lighting is on private property.
- Some very dark areas in developed part of corridor.
- Haphazard collection of lighting
- Utility lighting only, without aesthetic quality.

Recommendations:
- Develop master plan for increased lighting safety and aesthetics.
- Develop a balanced plan that recognizes cars, pedestrians, and businesses have different safety and aesthetic needs (scale).
- Develop as an integral part of the streetscape/landscape plan.
• Include in demonstration block project.
• Should be easily maintained, quality modern lighting system.

**Sidewalks / Trails:** Provide safe, accessible sidewalks, crossings and trails to allow pedestrians to use transportation systems.

**Issues:**
• Few walks and crosswalks along highway.
• No safe crossing or refuge areas.
• Speed, and volume of cars on roadway increases danger.
• Majority of cost would be on public side.
• Few internal neighborhood connections.
• No pedestrian connections to open space or amenities.

**Recommendations:**
• Pedestrian activated signals.
• Provide refuge points at crossings.
• Crossings that are in scale and noticeable for vehicular traffic.
• Clearly identifiable crossing areas.
• Provide walks along roadway, but buffered from danger.
• Provide sidewalk connections to and through neighborhoods.

**Signage:** Reduction of signage clutter and development of reasonable signage standards

**Issues:**
• Quantity of existing signs.
• Speed of roadway tends to require larger signage for readability.
• Could take long time to implement.
• Cost would be on individual developer.
• Need fair design guidelines.
• Encourage restrictions on expressway sections.

**Recommendations:**
• Revised signage ordinance/guidelines including:
  • Lower height signs.
  • Signage area related to architecture.
  • Gradual removal of old signs through redevelopment.
  • Fair guidelines - balance business/aesthetics, sign material quality and type restrictions.

**The Implementation Plan**

The heart of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Plan is the Implementation Plan and its execution. The Implementation Plan provides a roadmap of what are the next steps, who will be responsible for those steps, and when will those next steps be taken.
There are six key items to be addressed in the Implementation Plan. The success of the project will be determined, in large part, by how successfully these items are implemented and addressed not only initially at project start-up, but over the life of the implementation of the Corridor Plan. The six items are:

- Policy
- Creation of a Corridor Plan Organization
- Development of a Marketing and Communications Plan
- Detailed Planning and Engineering Study of the Plan Recommendations
- Securing Funding
- Successful Construction of Funded Improvements

These key items can be addressed as milestones that lay ahead for the Corridor Plan. These are illustrated on the following chart. Additionally, the entity(s) responsible for that milestone, and the recommended start date are also indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approve Final Corridor Plan Document</td>
<td>Client Team Members</td>
<td>Nov 06’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of Corridor Plan as Policy</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions – KCMO, Raytown</td>
<td>Following Approval of Corridor Plan – Dec 06’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modifications of local ordinances and plans to be consistent with the Plan</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions – KCMO, Raytown</td>
<td>Following Adoption of Corridor Plan – Jan 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of organization to shepherd project</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions, private entities, citizens</td>
<td>Following approved ordinance and plan changes - Second Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Corridor Plan Organization funding to begin project set up</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions, private entities, citizens</td>
<td>Following approved code changes - Second Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Funding Analysis</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization –</td>
<td>During development of Marketing and Communications Plan – 2nd Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Marketing and Communications Plan</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization –</td>
<td>Following creation and initial funding of Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of next phase of planning and engineering studies</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following creation and initial funding of Plan Organization – 2nd or 3rd Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation, approval, and/or continued development of next phase planning and engineering studies</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following development of next phase studies - 4th Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop demonstration projects/blocks</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following approval by Leadership Committee of next phase engineering studies – Jan-08’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop funding plan for approved next phase projects and demonstration blocks</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following development of next phase studies - 4th Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure funding for next phase projects and demonstration blocks according to funding plan</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following development of funding plan for next phase projects – 1st Quarter 08’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin implementation and construction of initial project/demonstration block(s)</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following securing of funding for next phase projects – 4th Quarter 08’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued development of planning and engineering studies</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>During life of project – On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going review, approval, and implementation of developed Corridor Plan recommendations</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>During life of project – On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going capital improvements funding, design, and construction</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following installation of initial project/demonstration block(s) – On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going Marketing and maintenance</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>During life of project – On-going</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vital to the success of the redevelopment plan is the creation of a Corridor Plan Organization to champion the plan, organize daily implementation of the plan, and be steward to the vision of the corridor plan. A combination of board, advisors, task forces and ad hoc committees is
recommended. A permanent office should be established as a funded, free-standing entity. Professional staffing should be charged with daily operations.

The Plan proposes some very large scale transportation and aesthetic improvements to the corridor. It is necessary to have a realistic view of these improvements, their complexity, their cost, and their ease of implementation.

The transportation improvements are large scale and long range. The key proposed transportation improvements are complex, and require state and federally mandated studies. Additionally, the high cost in dollars of the improvements will require the use of municipal, state, and federal funding, and incentive based funding sources to be successful. This will add to the complexity and time required to secure funding for those improvements. At the current time, no commitment in funding has been made by any of the municipal, state, federal, or private entities for the next phase of the Plan.

The key, short range, opportunity of the Plan, is the development of aesthetic improvements along the corridor. These visible changes in architecture, site planning, landscape, maintenance, signage, bridges and gateways can have a dramatic impact on the overall sense of the quality of the 350 Blue Parkway environment.

Because of the specific nature of these types of improvements, their relatively modest size, and medium to low complexity, aesthetic improvements can have a shorter time line, easier implementation, may require less funding, cause less corridor disruption, and provide early visible changes and beautification. These early successes help drive public perception that change is occurring and increase private investors confidence in the future viability of the area.

This private investment in the area is critical to the success of the redevelopment plan. The plan has to be funded to be implemented, and in order to reach the vision, the goals and the objectives stated for the redevelopment of the corridor, a great infusion of financial resources must occur in the area.

It is important to understand that in a redevelopment area, there is never enough public monies to fund the entire plan. The plan will only be successful if private development is encouraged, incentives are made available, and private investment sees opportunity in the redevelopment area. The public monies should be used in ways to encourage private investment. And the creative packaging and use of incentives and public/private partnerships will have to be utilized to leverage the public monies for additional funding opportunities.

Implementation of the Corridor Plan will require commitment and coordinated efforts among public, private and voluntary organizations and individuals and the Corridor Plan Organization. It will also require policy commitments and human and financial resources dedicated to the project over an extended period of time. But, this commitment will be rewarded with a revitalized corridor with new living, working, shopping, and playing opportunities.
Summary of Project Purpose

What is the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Study?
The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Study is a redevelopment and economic development study for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. It is a joint effort by the City of Raytown, Missouri; the City of Kansas City, Missouri; the Missouri Department of Transportation; the Mid-America Regional Council; citizens and business owners, to develop a plan for the economic rejuvenation and redevelopment of the corridor in the study area. The study area is approximately ½ mile wide along 350 Highway / Blue Parkway from I-435 south to I-470.

The study was developed in five (5) phases:
- Phase I – Existing Conditions and Market Analysis
- Phase II - Alternative Concepts Development
- Phase III – Final Development Plan
- Phase IV – Implementation Plan and Final Report
- Phase V – Zoning Ordinance Update (Raytown)

What is this report?
This is the final plan report for the overall 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Study. This report includes a summary of the study process, a summary of the existing conditions report, the market positioning strategy, the corridor vision, goals, and objectives, the corridor land use, transportation, aesthetic, and implementation strategies.

What has led up to the development of this Study?
The City of Raytown, Missouri; the City of Kansas City, Missouri; the Missouri Department of Transportation; the Mid-America Regional Council; citizens and business owners realized that it was important to understand the issues that surround the economic, transportation and quality of life issues that surround the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway corridor today.

In late 2001 and 2002, a tremendous effort of cooperation between municipal and agency officials and staff, business owners and concerned citizens, began. This group, the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Client Team, directed their efforts toward developing a study for defining, analyzing and addressing the issues of the corridor, and identifying potential funding for the anticipated study.

The Client Team made a commitment to pool their resources, solicit others for donations, and collectively hire a team of professional consultants to further study, define, and address the corridor issues. After a competitive proposal and interview process, the Consultant Team was selected by the Client Team and began the project.

A Steering Committee was formed to act as a sounding board for the development of the Study. Through their guidance, the input gathered at public workshops, and interaction with the Client
Team, the Consultant Team has assembled this final report for the study, The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Plan.

**What is the result of the Study?**

The study will provide a guidebook for the economic revitalization and redevelopment of the corridor. Through the coordinated efforts of the municipal, agency and private interests, a unified vision for the future of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor may be championed and accomplished, for an economic, safety and quality of life benefit.

**HISTORY, REGIONAL CONTEXT AND PLANNING AREA**

**History of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway**

The origin of the route that eventually became 350 Highway / Blue Parkway can be tied to the beginning of the Santa Fe Trail, which opened sometime during the late 1820s. The trail crossed the route that became 350 Highway / Blue Parkway near what we call today Blue Ridge Boulevard. The Trail increased the number of people coming into Jackson County, as this area was the final "jumping off" point for settlers and adventurers heading west.

350 Highway / Blue Parkway began its life as a Missouri State Highway in 1927 and was initially named 50 F.A.P. (Federal Aid Primary). Built upon Old 50 F.A.P., 350 Highway / Blue Parkway was commissioned between Interstate 435 and Interstate 470 when 50 F.A.P. was moved to the south of the Metro Area along Interstate 435 and Interstate 470. In 1979, 50 F.A.P. was officially re-designated as Missouri Route 350. The total length of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway is 8.28 miles long.

**Location of Corridor Study Area Within the Region**

The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor study area is located in southeastern Jackson County, Missouri, and crosses three jurisdictions: Kansas City, Raytown, and Lee’s Summit. The Corridor’s northwest tip is situated within Kansas City, southeast of I-435, and lies eight miles from the City’s downtown (vicinity of I-70/I-35 interchange). Crossing through Raytown, a first ring suburb of Kansas City, the Corridor’s southeast tip extends into Lee’s Summit and lies 14 miles from Kansas City’s downtown.

Major landmarks around the Corridor include Truman Sports Complex to the north; Longview Community College to the south; Truman Medical Center Lakewood to the east; Unity Village to the southeast; and Swope Park to the west.

Other regional jurisdictions surrounding the Corridor include Raytown and Independence to the north; Raytown, Grandview and Lee’s Summit to the south and southeast; Blue Springs to the east; and Kansas City to the west and east.
Corridor Study Area Boundary

The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor begins at the I-435/350 Highway / Blue Parkway interchange vicinity in Kansas City (northeast tip) and runs southeast for six miles, ending at I-470 in Lee’s Summit (southeast tip). The actual outlines of the study area’s outer parallel boundaries are delineated by a network of roads, side streets, and other physical markings throughout the Corridor. (Refer to map, pages 28 -29)

The Corridor’s outer study area boundary running parallel to 350 Highway / Blue Parkway on both sides is 1/4 mile wide from the highway’s centerline, resulting in a 1/2 mile swath from end to end of the study area.

Governning Plans of Jurisdictions

For Kansas City, a governing plan centerpiece is the FOCUS Kansas City Plan (Forging Our Comprehensive Urban Strategy). FOCUS is Kansas City's Comprehensive and Strategic Plan for the next 25 years. This project is a unique partnership between the City of Kansas City and its citizens to develop an action plan the community can support for the 21st century.

In Raytown, the city’s Comprehensive Plan guides present and future growth and development and serves as a primary governing plan for this jurisdiction.

Growth and development within Lee’s Summit is also guided by the city’s Comprehensive Plan that sets forth a long-term vision for the city’s land use pattern, infrastructure provision, and community well-being.

Adopted Plans of Jurisdictions

The adopted plans of the jurisdictions below that relate to the Corridor study area are as follows:

**City of Kansas City, Missouri**
- Little Blue Valley, Area Plan 13.
- Winchester Land Use and Development Plan.
- Longview Area Plan 29.
- Comprehensive Plan for the Sports Complex Area.

**City of Raytown**
The Raytown Area CHAT Report (Aquila Community Housing Assessment Team).

**City of Lee’s Summit**
The Raytown Area CHAT Report (Aquila Community Housing Assessment Team).

**MARC**
- Long Range Transportation Study
- Metro Green
- MARC’s Creating Quality Places Program: Successful Communities by Design.
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Existing Conditions Summary

This section is a summary of the full existing conditions report, ‘350 Highway / Blue Parkway - Existing Conditions Report’, dated October 4, 2004.

INTRODUCTION/PURPOSE

The existing conditions report was the initial report in the overall 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Study. The report includes a summary of the study process, a summary of the public participation and visioning process, and the market analysis and market positioning strategy for the corridor area. It also describes the existing land use, transportation and infrastructure conditions along the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. It illustrates the physical opportunities and constraints within the study area. It was used as the guide for the direction of the alternative concept and final designs for redevelopment of the corridor.

The public input, the visioning process, and the market positioning strategies are summarized in the following section beginning on page 16, and will not be included in this section.

For the existing conditions report, the corridor was divided into four distinct territories: The Northwestern KCMO Territory, The City of Raytown Territory, The Southeastern KCMO Territory, and The City of Lee’s Summit Territory.

The report studied the Urban Design, Aesthetics, Zoning, Land Use, Topography, Public Facilities, and Parks and Open Space, as well as infrastructure and transportation. Four key elements were identified as determining factors in the existing character, condition, and resultant issues of the corridor:

1. The Route – The roadway itself is the major contributing factor for the existing character and nature of the corridor. The initial design complied with accepted standards of the times. The route expansion to a 4 lane divided highway, put into place more modern standards, new lanes were separated from the original lanes creating developed land in the median, and numerous intersections.

   Additionally, when the route was designed it cut diagonally through the urban grid, divorcing the grid on the north side from the south side, creating skewed intersections, and odd shaped lots adjacent to the roadway.

Development Within Corridor Median
The route also carries a high volume of traffic. In 2002, the Average Daily Traffic ranged between 10,000 to 22,000 vehicles per day. The heavier volumes were found at the western end of the corridor, near I-435, and the lightest traffic volumes were found at the eastern end of the corridor near I-470.

The route is a state highway and not a local street, so the expectation for a large portion of drivers is one of convenient, fast, access to Downtown Kansas City or back home to the suburbs. This causes numerous conflicts in balancing the efficiency of the commuter traffic, with the viability, ease of access, and safety of the surrounding commercial and local traffic.

The changing nature and function of the roadway also contributes to character of the corridor. On the west, the corridor is a standard controlled access urban expressway. In Raytown, the road functions as an accessible commercial corridor. To the east, in the Little Blue River Valley, the road functions as a rural expressway, and further east to Lee’s Summit, functions as an urban expressway again. This is significant change of use, of function, of character in only 8 miles of corridor.

2. Age – The age of the roadway itself, the age of the municipalities and their location in the development of Greater Kansas City (Raytown is a first ring suburb with all the assets and challenges that come with it), contribute to an aged corridor in need of revitalization and redevelopment. Few undeveloped areas exist in the corridor. Those that do are undeveloped because of lack of infrastructure.

Age is contributing to the overall aesthetic character and quality of the corridor as well. Older buildings in need of rehabilitation are abundant, as are signs, each competing in size and color to be recognized by the tremendous amount of traffic that travels the corridor each day. Overhead lines are abundant. Additionally, numerous outdated and
outmoded parcels do not have modern site layouts, lot sizes, storm drainage systems, landscape, or facades. This contributes to an overall feeling of the corridor being dated and not modern.

3. Boundaries – The corridor itself has along its length, 5 separate jurisdictions, KCMO, Raytown, Lee’s Summit, Unity Village, and MoDOT, the owner of the road way. Each of these has its own set of regulations, codes, guidelines, and agenda for the corridor. These boundaries manifest themselves in the corridor in the form of land use and development decisions that are made along the corridor. Each is governed by its own guidelines. This contributes to an uncoordinated and wildly divergent view of the nature and function of the corridor.

Additionally, as described above, the function and character of the road changes along its length. Generally those changes occur at the municipal and jurisdictional boundaries.

4. Infrastructure – A significant factor contributing to the existing character of the corridor is the existing infrastructure. Significant portions of the Little Blue Valley area have not developed because of lack of sanitary sewer. Other parcels closer to the eastern boundary of Raytown have not developed because of this as well. Additionally, lack of storm sewer and storm water detention are significant issues in the Raytown and the Knobtown areas.

The corridor also lacks significant non-vehicular walk/trail/connection infrastructure.

These key factors contribute greatly to the existing nature and character of the corridor. They represent challenges, but also opportunities for the development and redevelopment of the corridor. A full discussion of the existing conditions may be found in the Existing Conditions Report.
Project Public Input

SUMMARY OF CITIZEN INPUT PROCESS

The corridor plan reflects the input received at workshops, public meetings, meetings with individual property owners or developers interested in the area, and review by the Steering Committee, and the many hundreds of individual conversations held over the course of the project. The information received during the public input process was used to assist in formulating the Corridor Vision, Goals, and Objectives. This then became the framework for the development of the Land Use, Transportation and Aesthetic Strategies as well as the Implementation Strategy.

A main focus of the public input was a series of public forums, information meetings, and workshops. There were several public meetings during the project:

- 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Highway Issues Forum - June 26, 2002 (Pre-Consultant Selection)
- Issues Identification Workshop - March 26, 2003 (Pre-Consultant Selection)
- Corridor Plan Kick-off Meeting - January 22, 2004
- Visioning Workshop - February 26, 2004
- Existing Conditions & Transportation Open House - May 25, 2004
- Transportation, Land use & Aesthetics Concepts Open House - Feb 3, 2005
- Transportation, Land use & Aesthetics Final Plans Open House - Sept. 12, 2005
- Implementation Plan Presentation - October 27, 2005

A detailed summary of specific input can be found in the Existing Conditions Report.

The comments gathered can be organized in three broad categories: Vision and Aesthetics, Land Use and Infrastructure, and Implementation and Financing. Each of these categories is addressed in sections of this report.

Key input on Vision and Aesthetics:
- A Vision is needed to help guide the future development of the corridor.
- The Vision should try to knit the community together instead of divide it as it currently does.
- Safety is a key issue in the corridor.
- One organization is needed to tie the entire corridor together.
- The aesthetic appearance of the corridor needs to be updated and improved.

Key input on Land Use and Infrastructure:
- Utilize the existing framework as a starting place.
- Develop guidelines for future development.
- Update and upgrade existing look of corridor.
- Roadway does not function well for Raytown.
- Infrastructure should be extended to areas without it.

Key input on Financing and Implementation:
- Need a governing group of all jurisdictions.
- How do you pay for changes?
- Need alternative ways to finance development.
- Whatever the cost, increased safety on the highway would be worth it.
- Should be long range and be able to do in pieces (phases).
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Corridor Vision, Goal and Objectives

Developing a Framework of Understanding for the Corridor

**INTRODUCTION**

This section describes a framework of understanding for the project and outlines the proposed vision, goals, objectives, and measurable actions for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. These were developed based upon direct input from the public, the Client Team, and The Steering Committee.

A *vision statement* provides a broad statement about the overarching desires for the direction of the project. It is necessarily a “big picture” statement and can be thought of as a statement of “If I could do anything I would do…”, and is fleshed out by the goals and objectives.

The *goals* begin to lay the foundation for the path to fruition of the vision statement. The goals group major categories of issues together as a way to focus on them. They define the “what we want”. They are further defined by the objectives and the measurable actions.

The *objectives* define the “how we get there” for the goal. They contain detailed information for the attainment of the goal.

The *measurable actions* are the yardstick by which the progress of the project are measured. They are real. They are quantifiable. And usually they define specific projects, big and small.

The visioning process is usually pyramidal in shape, with the broad vision statement at the top, with the handful of goals below that, with numerous objectives under each goal, and finally the measurable actions under each objective. The pyramid increases in detail and complexity the each step farther down from the top.

The Vision Statement, Goals, Objectives and Measurable Goals developed for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor are as follows:

**VISION**

The vision statement reflects what the community desires to become with regard to the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor, and serves as the foundation for current and future strategies and actions.

A proposed vision statement is as follows:

*A vibrant, mixed use community corridor, uniquely sensitive to natural features and aesthetic appearance, where long term vitality for prosperous commerce and surrounding neighborhoods,*
is achieved in a continuing partnership between the residential and business sectors, through effective implementation of strategies and actions, that lead toward attaining the highest quality of life possible.

GOALS

The four (4) goals listed on the following pages help to form a foundation for the future of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. Through these goals, a general framework is established for the plan's more specific objectives and measurable actions listed under each goal.

Goal: Enhance the long term vitality and attractiveness of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor as a quality, mixed use environment.

Objectives

1. Promote an efficient and compatible land use pattern that establishes community focal points throughout the Corridor.

2. Strengthen aesthetic quality and a sense of identity for the community through development tools that foster harmonious site planning, architectural and landscape design for new development, and encourage aesthetic improvements at existing business locations.

3. Maintain the diverse visual character of the natural landscape by encouraging protection of sensitive and unique environmental features and views of special significance, and integrating them as key amenities of future development.

4. Provide for a diversity of innovative housing types including creative designs that are responsive to changing population needs and compatible with surrounding neighborhoods.

Measurable Actions (Corridor Aesthetics):

1. Develop corridor overlay district(s);

2. Enhance landscape requirements;

3. Enhance signage requirements;

4. Develop architectural design guidelines (tied to development incentives);

5. Identify Corridor Gateway monumentation sites;
(6) Promote underground utilities (reduce overhead power lines);
(7) Modify corridor transportation (traffic) controls (color, signage, etc.); and,
(8) Develop corridor banner system.

**Goal:** **Enhance the potential for prosperous commerce.**

**Objectives**

(1) Provide for necessary commercial and public services to meet future population needs and protect prime sites from inappropriate development.

(2) Ensure that the level and type of business uses are compatible with the scale and character of established neighborhoods and other adjacent land uses.

(3) Focus neighborhood mixed-use centers/retail/other uses at selected “nodes” within Raytown.

(4) Develop a business and residential community partnership in the Corridor to carry out a comprehensive implementation strategy and undertake marketing efforts.

**Measurable Actions (Economic Development)**

(1) Encourage new commercial development;

(2) Reduce vacant commercial space; (increase occupancy rate of existing commercial space);

(3) Accomplish redevelopment of obsolete commercial building(s);

(4) Increase corridor employment;

(5) Increase corridor retail sales;

(6) Increase corridor property assessments;

(7) Increase corridor market area housing development;

(8) Develop and implement a corridor marketing program; and,

(9) Utilize available development incentives (TIF, 353, etc.).
**Goal:** Enhance public facilities and services to maintain a high quality of life and to encourage infill development.

**Objectives**

(1) Support enhancements, as necessary, to existing utility systems and leisure facilities;

(2) Promote safe pedestrian access to commercial and public services and natural amenity areas from nearby neighborhoods and employment centers;

(3) Establish public facility gathering places; and,

(4) Accommodate auto-related businesses and services along the corridor.

**Measurable Actions (Infrastructure)**

(1) Improve storm drainage;

(2) Encourage sanitary sewer extension to vacant land by developers; and,

(3) Consolidate small land parcels into larger sections to facilitate development.

**Goal:** Provide a safe, efficient, and cost effective transportation system.

**Objectives**

(1) Ensure proposed land uses and densities along the Corridor are controlled so that the smooth flow of traffic on 350 Highway / Blue Parkway is not disrupted.

(2) Limit the number and properly locate direct access to 350 Highway / Blue Parkway and connecting streets, minimizing the number of new signalized intersections along the Corridor.

(3) Develop collector/local/frontage roads as new development occurs to help relieve the increase in traffic generated by growth in the Corridor.

(4) Encourage pedestrian activity.

(5) Encourage transportation patterns in light of the MetroGreen trail system.
**Measurable Actions (Transportation)**

(1) Modify intersections to increase both capacity and safety on the corridor;

(2) Realign lanes to reduce extra-wide medians and open up new areas for development;

(3) Enhance capacity for cross-traffic;

(4) Provide for pedestrian and bike traffic;

(5) Increase utilization of mass transit; and;

(6) Identify Committee funding sources and schedules.

(this space left intentionally blank)
Market Positioning Plan

The following market positioning strategy is abbreviated from the full report included in the Existing Conditions Report. It contains the introduction, the assets and limitations of the corridor, and the market strategy.

The Market Positioning Strategy was utilized to help guide development decisions during the plan process.

**INTRODUCTION/PURPOSE**

The purpose of this section is to provide a summary of the market analysis and positioning strategy for the redevelopment of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor in the City of Raytown and adjacent areas in Kansas City between I-435 and I-470. The demographic and economic data, real estate trends, and competitive corridors information described herein has been used to evaluate the corridor’s redevelopment potential and to suggest to the planning team the mix and scale of uses that the corridor could support. It should be noted that while the market area defined includes portions of Kansas City as well as the City of Raytown, much of the focus of the report is on Raytown simply because that community encompasses the corridor’s commercial core. However, data and references to the “Market Area” in tables and text incorporate information from both jurisdictions unless broken out separately.

The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor consists primarily of commercial strip development, with a substantial portion of uses comprised of auto-oriented businesses. This corridor is representative of the highway-oriented strip developments that became the norm in urban and suburban areas during the advent of auto commuter traffic in the post-World War II era. Commercial development along the corridor is typically shallow in depth (usually less than 300 feet deep). Residential development, mostly modest single-family homes, generally flanks the commercial development with little or no buffer or transition uses in between.

With the passage of time and the introduction of new types of commercial development (e.g. single-anchored neighborhood and community retail centers, regional shopping centers, retail power centers, big-box retail) many older strip commercial areas have become physically and functionally obsolete, out-of-fashion, and no longer remain competitive with the more modern commercial centers. For example, the composition of goods and services offered in many commercial strip areas has changed, often to lower quality merchandise coupled with less variety and choice of selection. In addition, many retail and service businesses formerly oriented to the local market have abandoned these commercial strips, and have been replaced by businesses oriented to the passing vehicular traffic (e.g. service stations, auto dealerships and auto service/repair, fast food restaurants).
350 HIGHWAY / BLUE PARKWAY CORRIDOR: MARKET ASSETS AND LIMITATIONS

Raytown and the immediate surrounding market represent an older inner-ring suburb, east of Kansas City, Missouri. The area directly to the east of Raytown (The Little Blue Valley) was skipped over during suburbanization because of the lack of infrastructure investments and flood control. East of the Little Blue Valley, the development of the suburbs continued with Lee’s Summit.

An evaluation of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor in a local and regional context reveals a number of assets and, also, limitations related to its current status and potential for development and redevelopment. These assets and liabilities include the following:

- Assets of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor include:
  - Direct connection to downtown Kansas City.
  - Connecting link between two interstate highways (I-435 and I-470).
  - Centralized location in an area circled by an interstate highway system.
  - A high volume of commuter traffic flowing from employment centers to suburban housing developments.
  - Location on the fringe of a major growth area.
  - Large expanses of vacant, developable land in the southern portion of the corridor in Kansas City.
  - Reasonably priced land and development sites.
  - Local economic development policies that encourage development.
  - A relatively high concentration of housing density (rooftops) flanking the central portion of the corridor that represents a market for neighborhood retail and service commercial uses.

- Limitations of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor include:
  - Rough terrain and absence of infrastructure for the majority of the undeveloped areas in the eastern portion of the corridor in Kansas City, primarily east of Noland Road.
  - Arterial function and traffic flow/speed on 350 Highway / Blue Parkway limits capturing through-traffic and creates a “pedestrian unfriendly” environment.
  - Awkward vehicular circulation at strategic intersections with 350 Highway / Blue Parkway within the City of Raytown (e.g. Blue Ridge Boulevard, and Raytown Road and 75th Street).
  - Preponderance of excessive curb cuts, limited lot depth and small parcels fronting 350 Highway / Blue Parkway in the commercial district in Raytown.
  - Intense competition for consumer expenditures from other corridors.
  - Discontinuities between businesses and functions served along the corridor in Raytown.
  - Absence of true “nodes” or “nuclei” of business and activity centers.
  - Lack of growth and only moderate purchasing power with stagnant household income growth within the surrounding market area.
MARKETING ANALYSIS/STRATEGY

The market positioning strategy for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor involves myriad related combined strategies for successful implementation. Successful development of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor will involve both new development, and redevelopment of existing developed parcels. New development is more likely for the Kansas City portion of the corridor where larger tracts of undeveloped land exist. Although there are a few vacant undeveloped parcels along the corridor in Raytown, any extensive future new development will most likely involve the redevelopment of existing developed parcels.

The redevelopment of existing developed parcels will involve a number of actions prior to their actual development. These actions will entail the following: (1) consolidation or aggregation of existing parcels to form larger parcels; (2) utilization of special redevelopment tools for land acquisition and financing mechanisms; (3) establishment of special zoning districts, such as Planned Districts, Mixed-Use Districts, or Special Overlay Districts, with comprehensive development and design guidelines and regulations.

No single specific “market niche” has been identified for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor in this analysis. A “market niche” represents a focused, targeted portion of a consumer market. Examples of “market niches” are those commercial areas, which focus or specialize on specific consumer markets, such as life style, entertainment, recreation, specialty retail, etc. A number of factors establish limitations on the creation of a specialized “niche” in this corridor. These factors include the location of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor relative to other “market niches” which have been established in the area; the function of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway as an arterial roadway; and local and market area demographics. However, these same factors provide direction for how to focus and position the corridor’s future development vis-à-vis its competition.

This analysis has concluded that the primary market focus for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor will be the local market, not a regional or sub-regional market. Local and area-wide demographics and development trends have determined the current and, to some degree, the potential market. An aging population, modest household purchasing power, and stagnant household income growth pose limitations for any robust boom in commercial retail/services along the corridor. However, the potential for new residential development, especially in the southern or Kansas City portion of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway corridor in addition to higher density residential development along the corridor in Raytown, supplemented with mid-size office and/or light industrial uses, would increase the local market for consumer goods and services.

Considering the above analysis, following is a summary of the suggested key recommendations and positioning strategies for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor.

- The demand for automobile-related retail and service uses (e.g. auto sales, auto repair shops, tire and battery businesses, auto parts shops, etc.) remains strong, and those businesses should continue to be accommodated in the corridor.
The potential to “capture” through commuter traffic for retail sales and services does exist, and presents a viable, but limited, strategy. However, realistically this market is limited to a number of very select types of uses, such as convenience stores, fast food restaurants, day care centers, banking facilities, etc. While this certainly presents one component of a market positioning strategy for the corridor, it cannot be the primary component.

An increasing aging population and concentration within easy walking distance of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor could present market opportunities for certain neighborhood retail and personal services, particularly of the pedestrian-friendly convenience variety.

The current composition of businesses along the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor reflects a void in several retail/service and other categories, including quality sit-down restaurants, specialty shops, apparel, home furnishings, and public gathering places. Young families and the older segments of the population (over 55) should be considered as primary consumer targets. Some examples of specific uses targeted to these markets could include bookstores, health food stores, fitness center, coffee shops, farmers market, hobbies/ceramics, and nursery/landscaping supplies.

A strategy for focusing neighborhood retail and other uses, resulting in mixed-used centers, at selected “nodes” should be a major objective of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Master Plan within the Raytown portion of the corridor. These activity “nuclei” should be developed at strategic intersections or points along the corridor. To be successful these neighborhood and community retail and mixed-use centers need to provide improved vehicular and pedestrian traffic linkages and provide better convenience than competing retail corridors.

Consideration should be given to the establishment of public facilities along the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor in the City of Raytown that would serve as public gathering places and destination attractions, and which in turn would have synergistic or “spin-off” monetary effects on other businesses in the corridor. Examples include a community center, senior citizens center, library, or even City-owned and operated facilities such as City Hall.

The potential for “big-box” retail and/or anchored community shopping centers is most likely limited to the far north end of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor in the I-435 interchange/63rd Street/Blue Ridge Boulevard area considering the current location and distribution of such centers within the market area and the limited depth of most sites in the central portion of the corridor. The potential would be increased if a suitable redevelopment site could be identified at one of the strategic “nuclei” points previously described. This would require a developer with big box tenants specifically targeting the local market and offering local consumers advantages in location and convenience compared to other big box anchored centers in the market area.
• It appears there may be a potential for smaller-scale Class “B” office space and mixed office/light industrial (flex-uses) within the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor, most likely within the central portion of the corridor in the City of Raytown.

• Larger-scale office and light industrial/distribution uses appear to have some potential for development at selected locations in the southern portion of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor between Noland Road and the I-470 interchange. Considering the recent development of the Summit Woods Crossing “power center” and other existing and proposed commercial development near this interchange, additional commercial development could result in an over-saturation of the commercial retail market in this area. A hotel near the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway and I-470 interchange could also be a consideration for this southernmost area.

• Considering the success of “Jessica’s Estates” and composition of local area demographics, it appears there is a potential market for additional senior housing and similar housing (e.g. attached villas) in the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. Likewise, since the City of Raytown is substantially built out with limited undeveloped land available for residential development, the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor can provide opportunities for higher density housing which can serve as “nodes” for mixed-use developments. The addition of higher density housing within the corridor’s “core area” of the Raytown corridor segment could form an anchor for “mixed use” development, and also enhance market demand for retail goods and services.

• There is a need for move-up moderate and higher-end priced single-family housing within the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor market area. Housing in several price range categories should be developed, including the lower moderate range ($100,000 to $125,000); moderate range ($125,000-$175,000); and higher-end range ($175,000-$250,000). The area along the southern portion of the corridor, south of the Raytown City Limits and continuing south of Noland Road to I-470, appears to be a logical area for development of this type. The rough terrain and lack of infrastructure have restricted development in this area. Residential development within this area could be a precursor to new commercial development in the Raytown portion of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway corridor as the market for commercial goods and services would be expanded.

• For corridor planning purposes the following space/land use allocations would be reasonable. These estimates of future development and redevelopment absorption within the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor are based upon the market analysis work conducted as part of this assignment. The estimates assume a range of possible capture rates (percentages) applied to known levels of development within the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway market area in recent years (as explained below for each type of use). It also assumes that urban design and traffic improvements are in place or underway to accommodate and encourage new investment and development. The estimates are given as ranges due to the cyclical market and economic factors that typically influence real estate development and redevelopment.

  1. For retail commercial uses 70,000 - 225,000 square feet of space over the next five years (140,000 to 450,000 square feet over a ten year horizon). This estimate
is based upon a capture rate of 3.5% to 12.5% applied to the average 380,000 square feet of retail space that has been developed each year in the market area over the past six years.

2. For office uses 25,000 - 100,000 square feet of space over the next five years (50,000 – 200,000 square feet of space over a ten year horizon). This estimate is based upon a capture rate of 3% to 12% applied to the average 150,000 square feet of office space being absorbed annually in the market area over the past five years.

3. For light industrial and office/flex space uses 50,000 – 200,000 square feet of space over the next five years (100,000 – 400,000 square feet over a ten year horizon). This estimate has been the most challenging to quantify because the industrial/flex space configurations are not generally recorded separately in development data and most of this type of space is build-to-suit projects. However, the estimate given here assumes a fairly generous capture rate of 10% to 40% applied to the estimated 100,000 square feet developed annually (the availability of sites in the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway corridor also influenced this capture rate).

4. For residential uses 75 – 250 units over the next five years (150 – 500 units over a ten year horizon) within the corridor and areas immediately adjacent to it. The lower end of this estimate is based on a capture rate of 20% of the average 60 units per year being developed in the local market. It is also recognized that there is potential for even greater residential development (probably higher-end, lower density) in those areas beyond and adjacent to the second tier of properties near the corridor.

The configuration and locations of these uses should conform to the previously described strategies and be consistent with the overall corridor design concepts.

Over the period of implementation of the Corridor Plan, it will be necessary to evaluate and update the market strategy to current market conditions. This should occur at a minimum every five(5) years, or sooner in a significant development change occurs in the corridor within that period.

The positioning strategies are the starting point for the recommendations incorporated into the plan in the Land Use, Transportation, and Aesthetics Plans.
Corridor Plan

Based upon the existing conditions review, the public input process, the visioning and goal setting process, and the market positioning strategy, the corridor plan is developed. The plan provides recommendations that target available opportunities along the corridor and provide potential ways to capitalize on these opportunities.

In order to define the available opportunities, it is necessary to understand the segments of the corridor and their character. The opportunities available are created by the character and nature of the different segments of the corridor. There are four distinct segments of the corridor, each defined by their use, location, and municipal boundary.

**KCMO Northwest Segment**

This segment begins at the I-435 and 350 Highway/Blue Parkway interchange in the northwest of the study area, and ends at the Blue Ridge Boulevard bridge. This is approximately the joint city limit line of Kansas City, Missouri and Raytown, Missouri. The following describes the use and character of this segment of the corridor:

Use – Urban Expressway

What characterizes an Urban Expressway?

- High speed travel (intended to move large volumes of traffic, quickly)
- Open character (because of wide ROW, grading and clear zones)
- Commuter oriented (caters to moving vehicles quickly and efficiently)
- Cuts through surrounding land use (limiting connections and therefore conflicts)
- Access is highly controlled (in order to reduce conflicts and secure higher speeds)
- Minimal standards for lighting (because majority comes from autos)

**Existing Character of the Segment**

- Front door to KCMO & Raytown
- Good views to KCMO
- High speed on roadway
- Few road cuts to adjoining properties
- Good full access interchange (grade sep.) at 63rd Street
- Highway lighting standards (Int. only)
  - 2 – lane each way east 63rd median divided
  - 3 – lane each way west 63rd median divided
- Newly upgraded roadway (pavements, barriers, bridges, etc.)
Shoulders/swale drainage
Very few billboards little visual clutter along roadway
Very open and stripped down
Engineered straight side slopes
Divorced from surrounding land use
Feels like typical urban expressway through city
Little vegetation/landscape
Not great demand for other trans modes along roadway, crossings more important

Overall Opportunities

- Redevelopment opportunities near Blue Ridge - 63rd Street
- Needs upgraded aesthetics/landscape that is maintainable – for improved image
- Control access/signage regulations for future protection of segment
- Gateway opportunity for both Raytown and KCMO

Raytown – Central Segment

This segment begins at Blue Ridge Boulevard bridge, the near the joint city limit line of Kansas City, Missouri and Raytown, Missouri. It ends just east of Westridge Road, adjacent to the joint city limit line of Kansas City, Missouri and Raytown, Missouri. The following describes the use and character of this segment of the corridor:

Use – Urban Parkway/Commercial Corridor

What characterizes a quality Urban Parkway/Commercial Corridor?

- Sidewalks on both sides
- Street trees - formal style
- Planted median
- Ornamental lighting
- Grass areas are mowed as turf
- Curb & gutter, subsurface drainage
- Underground utilities
- Signage control
- Slower design speed
- Enhancements (shrubs, groundcover, etc.) at key intersections
- Can cater to mix of uses with access along roadway (Commercial, Residential, Industrial) and commuters

Existing Character of the Segment

- Mixed commercial/urban artery
- Rolling grades
- Multiple signalized intersections
• Lanes, shoulders and ditch drainage
• Alternating commercial/residential frontages
• Primary commercial corridor – retail, service and auto
• Shares use as commercial corridor with commuters (L.S.)
• Community leadership on corridor (Aquila, school, FBC, car dealers)
• Mish-mash of architectural styles – in various degrees of upkeep
• Little aesthetic quality in arch/landscape/site planning
• Too many oversized signs
• Multiple access drives (at least 1 each parcel) – wide access drives
• Little connection to surrounding parcels and neighborhoods
• Highway lighting (intersections) & parcel lighting (commercial)
• Narrow depth commercial typical & odd triangle parcels
• Multiple unsignalized intersections – full access
• Newly overlaid – 1 grade separation (Blue Ridge)
• Overhead utilities – a lot of overhead clutter that is visually obstructive and objectionable

**Overall Opportunities**

• Should actively pursue both development (new) and redevelopment opportunities at the same time.
• Most difficult segment
• Challenge is mix of community vs. commuter
• Needs land use for future that can address hard issues of existing business/future potential
• Most “urban” segment – can be different than rest
• Needs aesthetic improvements & controls
• Integration of residential and commercial uses is important at nodes
• Consolidation of parcels is important for new development and redevelopment

**KCMO Southeast Segment (Valley Segment - Westridge to Bannister Road)**

This segment just east of Westridge Road, adjacent to the joint city limit line of Kansas City, Missouri and Raytown, Missouri. It ends at Bannister Road. The following describes the use and character of this segment of the corridor:

Use – Rural Highway
What characterizes this as a Rural Highway?

- Medium to High typical speeds (collector for surrounding area and through traffic)
- Less density along roadway (uses are on larger parcels and are more spread out)
- Some controlled access (less dense development along route allows more access)
- Landscape and trees are planted in a natural/scattered manner (planted or existing material)
- Lower maintenance levels (rural character allows for longer grass, undergrowth, etc.)
- Safety lighting only at critical intersections (most roadway lighting is from autos)
- Shoulder rather than curb & gutter (few piped storm utilities, wider clear zones because of speed, cost)
- Roadway follows topography closely (vertical and horizontal)

**Existing Character of the Segment**

- Western edge blends right into Raytown - No sense of separation between
- One signalized intersection (Noland Road)
- 2 Lanes, shoulders and ditch drainage - fits character of surroundings
- Western and eastern edges are upland before drop into valley
- Rail bridge at Noland Road restricts flow at Noland Road intersection
- Rail bridge and line limits development directly west of Noland Road intersection
- Primary use as corridor for commuters (L.S.), arterial to residential in the valley
- Deteriorated areas around Noland Road
- Development is sporadic - concentrated at Noland Road and adjacent to river
- Some uses in Knob town have been described by public as objectionable
- Highway lighting (intersections) & some parcel lighting (industrial/commercial)
- Rural in character
- Landscape character is pleasant and an asset
- Abundance of greenway/parks/trails/active recreation opportunities - but not connected together to surroundings

**Overall Opportunities**

- Great visual character and setting - capitalize on this segment’s uniqueness
- Consolidation of vacant undeveloped property for development use is important
• Redevelopment opportunity at Noland Road/350 Highway / Blue Parkway - viewed as a priority project by public
• Most "rural" segment - can be different than rest
• Roadway realignments could open up larger contiguous developable parcels
• Overall landscape/streetscape and lighting themes should be carried through this section for continuity, but should be reflective of uniqueness of corridor
• Connect recreation/trails/open space assets. Preserve land for planned Metro Green trail.
• Rail Bridge west of Noland Road - Modifications based upon future use (rail, trail, gateway)
• Significant development opportunities along the segment

Existing Visual Character East of Knobtown

KCMO/Lee’s Summit Southeast Segment (Upland Segment - Bannister Road to I-470)

This segment just east of Westridge Road, adjacent to the joint city limit line of Kansas City, Missouri and Raytown, Missouri. It ends at Bannister Road. The following describes the use and character of this segment of the corridor:

Use – Urban Expressway

What characterizes an Urban Expressway?

• High speed travel (intended to move large volumes of traffic, quickly)
• Open character (because of wide ROW, grading and clear zones)
• Commuter oriented (caters to moving vehicles quickly and efficiently)
• Cuts through surrounding land use (limiting connections and therefore conflicts)
• Access is highly controlled (in order to reduce conflicts and secure higher speeds)
• Minimal standards for lighting (because majority comes from autos)
Exsiting Character of the Segment

- Front door to Little Blue Valley, Knobtown, Unity Village and Lee’s Summit
- High speed on roadway
- Few road cuts to adjoining properties
- Good full access interchange (grade separated) at Bannister Road
- Highway lighting standards (interchanges and signalized intersections only)
- Shoulders/swale drainage
- Very few billboards little visual clutter along roadway
- Roadway depressed from surrounding land use
- Feels like typical urban expressway through city
- Vegetation / landscape at edges of ROW of highway.

Overall Opportunities

- Development opportunities near Bannister Road
- Typical urban expressway
- Needs upgraded aesthetics/landscape that is maintainable – improved image
- Control access/signage regulations for future protection of segment
- Gateway opportunity for both Raytown and KCMO

There is one overall impression of the corridor. The segments of the corridor are different. They are different because of the different function and mix of uses along the roadway, and of the roadway itself. This difference is one of the greatest assets of the corridor. It creates the myriad opportunities available.

The Corridor Plan is divided into three major categories: Land Use Plan, Transportation Plan, and Aesthetic Plan. Those plans are in the sections following. It should be noted that for the sake of understanding and clarity, the Land Use, Transportation, and Aesthetic Plans are separated as individual components in this report. In implementation and practice, these components are deeply intertwined, each one affecting, to varying degrees, each other.
Land Use Plan

INTRODUCTION

The Land Use Plan explores land use, development and redevelopment along the corridor. Land Use Plan is long term in nature, trying to effect an overall change to the very fabric of the corridor. These changes, in type, pattern, and style of use, are depicted in the proposed land use plan. Additionally, development and redevelopment opportunities are depicted as well.

The Land Use Plan tries to encourage development and redevelopment of existing and undeveloped properties to a new standard of use, design and quality. When put into practice, the new standards and guidelines should be adopted parts of City Code, requiring developers and land owners to live up to those new standards. But, because of the ability of municipally mandated land use changes to occur only on properties owned by the municipality, or properties sold, developed, or redeveloped, it is critical to have a plan that enables the municipality to not only capitalize on those private development changes as they occur, but encourage them as well.

Thus the Land Use Plan recommendations are used to help guide elected officials, city staff members, and decision makers through their evaluation processes when new development proposals are presented.

LAND USE

The existing land use for the study area is shown on the combined Existing Land Use Plan (Refer to map, pages 53 -54). This plan defines current land use of the different municipalities. This is the baseline for future land use changes shown in this report.

One of the key components of the Corridor Plan was to develop a new, corridor wide, land use plan. The existing land use plans by the governing jurisdictions are concerned with land use only within their own boundaries. They do not address common issues together. Additionally they are parcel specific, so they do not necessarily look at the bigger picture of corridor wide land use issues.

Because of this, a simplified, high level look at a cross-jurisdictional plan for future land use development throughout the corridor was needed. The benefits of this are:

- When adopted by the jurisdictions, the plan would be an agreement on basic land use direction along the corridor
- Cohesive and inter-jurisdictional
- Creation of a unified, cohesive plan that functions as a high level framework that the jurisdictions may then supplement with more detailed area plans
- Allow for ‘big idea’ concepts
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Through design charettes with the Client Team and Steering Committee, and based upon the opportunities identified in the market positioning strategy, the Land Use Plan was developed.

Smart Growth principles were used as a foundation for general planning efforts. These principles coincide with the information gathered from the public input meetings, and the market positioning strategies. Some of these principles applied in this plan are:

- provide a range of housing opportunities and choices
- create walkable neighborhoods
- have community/stakeholder collaboration
- create distinctive/attractive communities with strong sense of place
- make development decisions predictable, fair, cost effective
- create a mix of land uses
- preserve open space/farmland/natural beauty/critical environmental areas
- provide a variety of transportation choices
- direct development towards existing communities
- promote compact building design

The Proposed Land Use Plan (Refer to map, pages 56-57) identifies the proposed future land use development for the study area.

The following recommendations were developed for the proposed Land Use Plan:

- Create a simplified, study area-wide land use plan, that is cross-jurisdictional.
- Create and/or reinforce mixed use activity nodes at major intersections.
- Surround activity nodes with residential uses for local support, provide capture areas for local and commuter traffic.
- Create walkability within each node.
- Form multi-modal connections between and through uses in study area.
- Redevelop existing, outmoded commercial properties along corridor.
- Provide infrastructure to Little Blue Valley to stimulate residential move up and higher end residential uses.
- Integrate varied residential housing types into corridor as complementary and buffer uses to mixed use nodes and general commercial business along corridor.
- Redevelop existing housing to compete in an open market.
- Target existing businesses that are assets along corridor. Provide opportunities for site/building upgrades.
- Consolidate vacant to underdeveloped properties and bring to highest, best use standard.
- Develop updated zoning ordinances for the corridor.
**Proposed Land Use Plan**

- Redevelop existing, outmoded commercial properties along corridor.
- Develop mixed use nodes at major intersections.
- Provide infrastructure in Little Blue Valley to kick off residential move up and higher end housing.
- Redevelop existing housing to allow it to compete in an open market.
- Target existing businesses that are assets along corridor. Provide opportunities for site/building upgrades.
- Consolidate vacant to underdeveloped properties and bring to highest, best use standard.
- Increase tax base of municipalities.
- Provide pedestrian connectors.

**Node #1 - 63rd St.**

- Capitalize on full access interchange. Redevelop struggling existing retail center to be a mixed use hub. Redevelop existing drive-in swap meet to a higher, better use, despite being undermined.

**Node #2 - Blue Ridge Blvd.**

- Add value to development by taking advantage of view sheds to downtown, proximity of I-435, and surrounding office uses. Buffer or redevelop existing warehousing to reduce visual impact to node.

**Node #3 - Raytown Rd.**

- Try to connect downtown to south of MO 350 and provide high access to MO 350 (more arterial character). Improve marginal commercial and create confluence and define area as a node.

*Proposed Land Use Map - Northwest 1 of 2*  
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Proposed Land Use Plan
- Redevelop existing, outmoded commercial properties along corridor.
- Develop mixed use nodes at major intersections.
- Provide infrastructure to valley to kick off residential move up move up and higher end housing.
- Redevelop existing housing to allow it to compete in an open market.
- Target existing businesses that are assets along corridor. Provide opportunities for site/building upgrades.
- Consolidate vacant to underdeveloped properties and bring to highest, best use standard.
- Increase tax base of municipalities.
- Provide for pedestrian connectors.

Node #4 - Westridge
Given transportation goals to align 350 E & W together to the south, node definition becomes north of MO 350. This is a compact node.
East of Westridge, transition from arterial to freeway/expressway. Create commercial activity to support higher density residential.

Node #5 - Knobtown.
Take advantage of recreation amenities with higher density residential. Take advantage or eliminate confluence (RR) by converting to a trail or greenway. Provide support high-density residential with commercial redevelopment at Noland Rd/MO 350.

Node #6 - 470/Colbern/Bannister
Transportation improvements. Regional commercial node.
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Land Use Categories

The Proposed Land Use Plan defines ten (10) land use categories:

**Estate/Large Lot Residential** – those uses focused on single family dwelling at 2 units to the acre or less (gross). Including estates, farms, and other large lot single family living units.

**Single Family Residential** – those uses focused on attached and detached single family dwellings of above 2 units to the acre in density. Allowable density should be determined by several factors: proximity to other uses, availability of transportation infrastructure, accessibility, access to utility infrastructure, surrounding zoning, intent of the land use plan.

**Multifamily Residential** - those uses focused on multifamily dwelling units. Allowable density should be determined by several factors: character, layout and massing of buildings and units, impact on surrounding uses, proximity to other uses, availability of transportation infrastructure, accessibility, access to utility infrastructure, surrounding zoning, intent of the land use plan. Multifamily uses are intended to be used as a transition between more intense uses (commercial, industrial, mixed use) and single family uses. The fit, buffering and relationship to existing residential uses should be studied before approval of any multifamily uses.

**Mixed-Use – Residential** – those uses focused on the mix of commercial and residential uses together with minor office uses allowed as an integrated development.

**Mixed-Use – Office** – those uses focused on the mix of commercial and office uses together with minor residential uses allowed as an integrated development.

**Commercial** - those uses related to commerce, including retail, service oriented commercial, hotel and motel, shopping, auto and repair.

**Office** – those uses focused on office as a primary use without a commercial component, including office and professional office, doctors and medical office, funeral homes, etc.

**Industrial / Warehousing** – those uses focused on manufacturing, warehousing and shipping of goods and services. Includes warehousing, storage units, industrial manufacturing, and machining.
**Public / Institutional** – those uses focused on the public good, municipal facilities, institution, and public education. Includes city offices, utility facilities, hospitals, churches, public schools, etc.

**Open Space** – those uses dedicated to parks, recreation and trails. Includes city parks, ball fields, preserved open space, trails, golf courses, etc.

**Node Development**

One of the key components of the land use plan is the development of mixed use nodes at significant crossroads or intersections. These nodes are indicted on the Proposed Land Use Plan, *(Refer to map, pages 56 -57)* and are located at:

Node # 1 - 63rd St.  
Node # 2 - Blue Ridge  
Node # 3 - Raytown Rd.  
Node # 4 - Westridge  
Node # 5 – Knobtown (Noland Road)  
Node # 6 - 470/Colbern/Bannister

The mixed use nodes are placed at key transportation intersections, where commercial uses dominate, but also where second tier office and second and third story office and residential uses could extend the activity level of the area. Additionally, these mixed use nodes function as gathering spots for civic uses, public uses, and public transportation systems developed now and in the future.

**DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT**

There are current areas of opportunity along the corridor ripe for development or redevelopment, or areas ready for development and redevelopment currently, and once other land use, transportation or aesthetic strategies are developed. A series of key strategies was developed to encourage capitalizing opportunities in the corridor:

- Develop Mixed-Use Nodes to extend the activity level and increase the walkability and connectivity of land uses surrounding neighborhoods, and the transportation system.
- Redevelop large, outdated, and under developed existing properties.
- Consolidate smaller vacant properties into larger, contiguous properties.
- Rehabilitate and redevelop individual business sites on narrow or restricted properties.
- Redevelop neighborhoods that have inadequate utilities, sidewalks, streetscape, and outdated housing.
- Develop corridor guidelines to allow for the redevelopment of multiple ownership properties.
- Develop key infrastructure and utilities for properties undeveloped because of lack of infrastructure.
- Provide for the utilization of incentive and creative financing solutions to assist in the redevelopment of properties, upgrading of existing properties, and redevelopment of neighborhoods.
- Create a Corridor Plan Organization to guide redevelopment efforts, assist in developing funding sources, and implement the plan.

**Land Development and Redevelopment Areas**

The Land Development and Redevelopment Areas on the Development and Redevelopment Opportunities Plan *(Refer to map, pages 61-62)* indicate potential project locations for development or redevelopment. The plan indicates an approximate area, whether assemblage of properties is necessary and type of property, and a list of suggested uses in the proposed project area. Site analysis will be required to determine compatibility of use, density and other development issues specific to the development or redevelopment area.

**Housing & Residential Development Recommendations**

Addressing the housing issues in the study area is critical to the success of the plan. Housing is integral to the success of commercial, retail and open space development. The large tax generators (commercial and retail) require housing in close proximity to be successful. New commercial developments require not only rooftops, but rely heavily on other demographic indicators of the income and potential dollars available for the purchase of goods and services. Therefore, it is critical to have healthy neighborhoods, with quality housing stock, and housing choices for different levels of income.

**Existing Housing Recommendations**

- Rehabilitate existing homes (within plan area)
- Provide programs for the implementation of rehabilitation. Options include:
  - CDC, CHDO programs (use of HOME funds)
  - Chapter 353 tax abatement
  - Purchase/rehab/resale program (homebuilders)
  - Redevelopment authority (LCRA)
  - First time homebuyers program
  - Community Improvement Districts, Neighborhood Improvement Districts
  - Spin-off improvements from commercial Tax Increment Financing projects
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New Housing Recommendations

- Identification of potential sites for new development
- Fill gap in lack of move up housing
- Target markets
  - Move-up housing
  - Young families
  - Seniors over age 55

Commercial/Retail/Industrial/Other Recommendations

The commercial, retail, and industrial developments are the real tax generators for the municipalities. Infrastructure requirements (transportation, utilities, etc.) and consumer and workforce needs, contribute to the viability of these uses in the corridor. The health of these uses is vital to the health of the corridor. Additional commercial, retail and industrial issues to be addressed:

- Because no single market niche is identified in the corridor, focus on:
  - local market, not regional or sub-regional market
  - capturing commuter traffic for retail/services viable but limited strategy
  - create strong environment for developer interest (good developer/zoning process)

- Encourage the development of market gaps in the corridor. Apparent gaps in the market include:
  - mid-size office  home furnishings  farmers market
  - light industrial  fitness center  hobbies/ceramics
  - quality sit-down restaurants  specialty shops  health food stores
  - apparel  bookstores  sporting goods
  - nursery/landscaping supplies  music stores  entertainment

- Because of the existing strength and historic significance of auto related uses and services in the corridor, they should continue to be accommodated. All auto related uses and services should be developed to upgraded standards of site planning, architecture, landscape and aesthetics. These standards should be developed and included in the new design guidelines for the corridor. Future development should be consistent with the recommendations of the “Aesthetic Plan.” Additional used car lots should be discouraged.
Transportation Plan

INTRODUCTION

The Transportation Plan explores the existing transportation framework, existing access to properties, safety, and proposes modifications to each to create a safer, higher quality transportation corridor that can be shared by the adjacent home and business owners and commuters alike. These proposed modifications are depicted in a series of plans and diagrams, following.

The Transportation strategies were developed based on comment from public input sessions, the advisement of the Client Team and Steering Committee, the development of the proposed land use plan and the opportunities identified from the existing conditions analysis.

Because 350 Highway / Blue Parkway is a State of Missouri Highway, it is under the jurisdiction of the Missouri Department of Transportation. It is owned, and maintained by MoDOT, and access is granted or denied by their authority. Historically, MoDOT’s focus has been on moving traffic as efficiently and as safely as possible. In recent years, concern for the interconnectivity of land use and aesthetic considerations and transportation issues has emerged, enabling the creation of studies such as this, where transportation, land use, and aesthetics are deeply intertwined.

Similar to the Land Use Plan, the Transportation Plan recommendations are long term in nature. Because of the complexity, size, cost, long timeline, and disruption to the corridor for the proposed major transportation strategies, these strategies will be the most difficult to implement. They are large in scale, and have many regulatory layers to navigate for a project to come to fruition. Despite this, the potential positive impact to the corridor on adjacent property owners, safety, access, land use, and aesthetics warrants short and long term focus.

The Transportation Plan should be used to help guide MoDOT officials, elected officials, city staff members, and decision makers in designating projects for potential funding and support, and through their evaluation processes when new development proposals are presented for the corridor.

Because of the significance of the roadway and its impact on the corridor, the majority of the transportation strategies are focused on it and the major intersections along its length.

Roadway – East of Bannister Road
BACKGROUND

As described in the existing conditions summary, the roadway itself is the major contributing factor for the existing character and nature of the corridor. The roadway itself is the major contributing factor for the existing character and nature of the corridor. The initial design complied with accepted standards of the times. The routes expansion to a 4 lane divided highway, put into place more modern standards, new lanes were separated from the original lanes creating developed land in the median, and numerous intersections.

Another defining feature with tremendous impact on safety, and impact to the surrounding land use is the way the highway cuts diagonally across the urban grid. This divorced the grid on the north side from the south side, creating skewed intersections, and odd shaped lots adjacent to the roadway.

The route also carries a high volume of traffic. In 2002, the Average Daily Traffic ranged between 10,000 to 22,000 vehicles per day. The heavier volumes were found at the western end of the corridor, near I-435, and the lightest traffic volumes were found at the eastern end of the corridor near I-470.

The route is a state highway and not a local street, so the expectation for a large portion of drivers is one of convenient, fast, access to Downtown Kansas City or back home to the suburbs. This causes numerous conflicts in balancing the efficiency of the commuter traffic, with the viability, ease of access, and safety of the surrounding commercial and local traffic, particularly in the Raytown segment of the corridor.

There also is significant change of use, of function, of character in the eight (8) miles of corridor in the study. On the west, the corridor is a standard controlled access urban expressway. In Raytown, the road functions as an accessible commercial corridor. To the east, in the Little Blue River Valley, the road functions as a rural expressway, and further east to Lee’s Summit, functions as an urban expressway again.

APPROACH

During evaluation of the existing conditions, the roadway was analyzed for function, use, safety concerns, access, and aesthetics. Several issues became clear:

The roadway has differing functions along its length. It is an expressway at the west and east ends, and an urban parkway in the middle. It balances business and commercial uses in the middle with the through put of the commuter traffic along its length.
The roadway itself has limited direct impact to the surrounding uses in the KCMO portions of the parkway. In these segments, access is limited, and the roadway is grade separated from the uses. The greatest impact of the roadway itself is to allow easy and convenient access to the interstate roadway system. In the Raytown segment, the roadway is the primary commercial corridor for the City. It is not grade separated from the surrounding uses, and all properties have access on the roadway. This creates conflict between the two primary users of the roadway: Commuters versus Community.

In order to operate efficiently and to the benefit of all parties, the roadway has to balance the interests of its commuter function (efficient, safe, high speed, through traffic), with the community function of the Raytown core (main commercial service & tax generating corridor in the City). Additionally, it is the most visible part of Raytown to rest of KC Metro, and represents the gateways to and from Kansas City and Raytown for many users. The recommended improvements made to the roadway will have the greatest impact in the Raytown segment of the corridor.

It is easy to understand that what is good for commuters, may not be what is good for the community. It became obvious that it is necessary to balance the commuter and community needs where necessary along the roadway. Key components to balance: safety, intersections (signals, geometries, etc.), reasonable access for all parcels, incorporation of multi-modal transportation where possible and safe, and aesthetics.

**Safety**

Safety issues in the corridor are the number concern for the public. Safety issues are many and varied, but are made significantly more complex because of the commuter vs. community issue illustrated above. Recommended approaches to balance these issues include:

- Address accident hot spots.
- Regulate speed through reduction where necessary, and enforcement of existing limits.
- Modification of cross-section could address safety, access, infrastructure and aesthetic issues in segments where it would be beneficial. (Raytown segment, Knobtown area)
- Remove flow restrictions, if possible, at Rail Bridge at Knobtown and half access at Blue Ridge.
- Provide dedicated circulation routes for non-vehicular modes of transportation to navigate corridor safely. Provide circulation internal to development, connected to existing neighborhoods and adjacent properties. Providing safe crossings of the highway and intersections is critical.

**Intersections**

Intersections were also of great concern to the public. The issues identified include safety, ease of navigation, time spent waiting, and ability to negotiate safely as a pedestrian. Approaches to address these concerns include:
- Narrow median at major signalized intersections.
- Modify to a 4-way movement instead of 8-way (modification of wide median separated skewed intersections)
- Curb & gutter
- Reduce unsignalized, full access intersection
- Alternate intersections geometry
- Coordinated signalization
- Continuous right hand movements
- Modify for safety 1st, use 2nd, access 3rd, and aesthetics 4th
- Multi-mode incorporated in to normal flow of intersections
- Safe bike/walk crossings
- Planned bike lane (crossings)
- Pedestrian walks – safe distance

**Access**

Access has a tremendous impact on the existing roadway. It is controlled on the east and west end of the corridor, but in the Raytown segment, and in Knobtown, access to the roadway exists for all properties. Access is critical for the viability of the commercial uses in Raytown, and the future redevelopment potential of the Knobtown area. But the abundance of uncontrolled access points along the roadway length also creates numerous conflicts with safety concerns. A thoughtful and reasonable approach should be taken with any proposed access management plan. Approaches to address access management are:

- Base access management upon new land use plan.
- Create shared access points/drives.
- Redevelop larger continuous parcels to get consolidated access to redevelop areas.
- Install curb & gutter.
- Provide dedicated turning/accel./dual lanes.
- Understand property owner issues.
- Provide alternatives to modification of access.

**Multi-Modal**

The development of the corridor as a multi-modal system was also found to be important. The auto, public transportation (bus, light rail, etc), pedestrians, and bikes all would have to find a common ground if their development is to be included in the corridor. There are major safety conflicts in mixing modes with the existing roadway along the corridor. The following approaches are used to address those issues:

- Safety is key.
- Utilize curbing to delineate for safe pedestrian areas.
- Utilize crosswalks, of contrasting material, with pedestrian activated signals, to decrease pedestrian crossing conflict with vehicles.
• Provide for accessible new public transportation facilities in mixed use node areas.
• Incorporate existing public transit system into developments along corridor.
• Provide buffering, setback for comfort and safety.
• For bikes & pedestrians – safe crossing is key, parallel travel is less important.

Aesthetics

The aesthetic approach is detailed in the Aesthetics Plan, beginning on page 82.

TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Through design charrettes with the Client Team and the Steering Committee, and based upon the strategies identified in the market positioning strategy, the Transportation and Infrastructure Plan, and Major Proposed Transportation Improvements Plan was developed.

Major Proposed Transportation Improvements

The Major Proposed Transportation Improvements Plan (Refer to map, pages 69 -70) identifies the five major transportation improvements proposed in this study. Additional improvements and their location are detailed on the Transportation and Infrastructure Plan (Refer to map, pages 72 -73).

The five major projects identified are (from west to east):

1. Interchange enhancement at Blue Ridge and 350 Highway / Blue Parkway. Add ramp access to make Blue Ridge a full interchange. Because of limited property availability, geometric requirements, and existing ROW, a single point interchange is proposed as a possible solution at this intersection.
2. Realignment of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway at wide median separation east and west of Raytown Road. Realign west bound lanes to run parallel to east bound lanes with narrow median. Realign Raytown Road to create a non-skewed, four way intersection. Utilize the vacated land for redevelopment.
3. Realignment of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway at wide median separation east and west of Westridge Road. Realign west bound lanes to run parallel to east bound lanes with narrow median. Realign Westridge to create a non-skewed, four way intersection. Utilize the vacated ground for redevelopment.
4. Rail Bridge at Knobtown. Widen or remove rail-bridge west of Knobtown to allow for additional lane and storm sewer improvements.
5. Realignment of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway west of Bannister Road. Realign east bound lanes to run parallel to west bound lanes with narrow median to reduce accidents in this area. Utilize existing R.O.W. for local road servicing future development.
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These projects have the greatest potential positive impact on the transportation system in the corridor. These include safety improvement, visibility improvements, access improvement, and additional adjacent redevelopment opportunities.

These projects will also require significant efforts in planning, design, funding and implementation to accomplish. Additionally, there will be significant additional study required for these proposed major improvements to become reality.

Transportation and Infrastructure Recommendations

The proposed Transportation and Infrastructure Plan (Refer to map, pages 72 -73) identifies the key strategies for transportation improvements in the corridor, including the Major Proposed Transportation Improvements. Significant additional transportation improvements are recommended. They include:

- Intersection improvements.
- Incorporation of multi-modal transportation into the planned transportation improvements.
- Management of access along corridor.
- Development of local sanitary sewer in non-sewered areas within study boundary (Little Blue Valley).
- Development of storm sewer utilities for non-sewered areas in Raytown and Knobtown areas.
- Development of curb and gutter for the Raytown Segment, both on 350 Highway/Blue Parkway, and adjacent neighborhoods. Develop these improvements with the new storm sewer utilities mentioned above.

ACCESS MANAGEMENT

As indicated previously, access management is an important component in the study of the roadway and its safety, land use, aesthetic and economic viability. Access management is the management and control of interchanges, intersections, and crossings, driveways and access points along a roadway. It is used to prevent or limit future conflict points or in areas where significant conflict exists and where changes are recommended to occur.

Providing access management for new or undeveloped roadways is relatively simple. Recognized standards have been developed for the design and construction of these facilities and they can be easily applied. Retrofitting an existing roadway with numerous driveways and cross streets to a new roadway standard is unrealistic and in most cases not even possible. Therefore, a standard of reasonableness should be applied in identifying access management opportunities on 350 Highway / Blue Parkway.

Guidelines

The Missouri Department of Transportation has access management guidelines that are applied to their roadways based upon type of roadway. A graphic depiction of the relevant guidelines
Transportation & Infrastructure Recommendations

1. Potential changes to bring lanes together. Transition from urban expressway to urban parkway. Introduction of curb and drainage.

2. Interchange enhancements.
   - Short range options:
     - Remove access to Wal-Mart from 350 (SE corner)
     - Remove access from vacant auto showroom (SE corner)
     - Add ramp at SE Blue Ridge Blvd. corner of interchange
   - Long range options:
     - Single point interchange (see concepts)
     - Narrow diamond w/ roundabout (see concepts)

   - Landscape street trees
   - Median pavers
   - Ornamental lighting
   - Sidewalks/crosswalks at signalized intersections

4. Multimodal transportation node.
   - Park & ride
   - Connect to neighborhood (trails, walks)
   - Connect to retail/mixed use area

Highway 350 / Blue Parkway Corridor
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Transportation & Infrastructure Recommendations

1. Curb & gutter improvements for roadway - urban section.
2. Realignment of westbound 350, south to parallel eastbound 350.
   - Curb & gutter
   - Piped storm drainage
3. Application of access management principles to reduce dangerous access points, increase safety, and increase ease of ingress/egress - consolidation into joint drives. Curb & gutter in urban section.
4. Realignment Westridge Road at Highway 350 to as close a 90 degree intersection as possible.
5. Connect existing westbound land to local road or vacate based future land use changes.
7. Curb & gutter improvements.
8. Realign 350 eastbound, north to be parallel with westbound 350.
9. Vacate or utilize existing converted 350 eastbound/westbound ROW.
10. Utilize converted 350 roadway as internal development drive as much as possible, depending on use.
11. Redevelop Knobtown, Noland Road and MO 350 transportation elements.
   - Curb & gutter
   - Access management of intersection and redevelopment area
12. Rail bridge - choke point for roadway/storm water.
   - If bridge remains a rail line, redo bridge to accommodate storm drainage lane improvements.
   - If bridge is removed, it opens up greater redevelopment area potential and Noland Road
13. Utilize existing section with shoulder from Little Blue River Bridge, east to I-470.
14. Implement sanitary sewer plan to teach development areas not currently served.
15. Storm sewer improvements at rail bridge.
16. Affordable base material for projects in corridor.
17. Sanitary sewer development is needed to open development opportunities in valley.
18. Bike and pedestrian connections needed to and through valley.

Transportation & Infrastructure Plan - Southeast 2 of 2
January 12, 2007
are included, (Refer to map, pages 75 -76). These guidelines are applied as is possible to new roadways and redeveloping existing MoDOT roadways to manage their efficiency and safety.

These guidelines can not be applied across the board on existing roadways. But they may be used as a tool to indicate potential conflicts on an existing roadway. This was done for the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway corridor.

The Roadway Access Analysis Map (Refer to map, pages 77 -78), indicates the location of the existing driveway locations on the roadway. It also indicates the number of access points per mile for individual segments of the roadway. Additionally, the map indicates the severity of potential conflicts based upon the rule of thumb:

- 0-9 access points per mile = conflicts are few, access is managed
- 10-20 access points per mile = numerous conflicts exist, access should be better managed.
- 21+ access points per mile = significant conflict exists, resulting in significant reduction in safety, efficiency and ability to navigate access to properties. Access needs to be managed to reduce the conflicts.

The application of this standard to the roadway indicated the Raytown Segment has significant conflict, as high as 74 access points/per mile adjacent to the Raytown Road intersection. This indicates the need to develop alternatives to the existing access of the roadway to reduce potential conflicts. This is supported by the traffic accident data analyzed in the Existing Conditions Report.

In addition to simply looking at the number of drive locations in a segment, the intersection offsets recommended in the guidelines were applied to those driveways. Based upon the amount of overlap of suggested offsets for access points, it again becomes very clear that 350 Highway / Blue Parkway does not meet the standards as described in the guidelines. Again, significant access conflicts exist on the Raytown Segment of the corridor.

Because of the magnitude of the issue, and the detail required to explore property specific issues along 350 Highway / Blue Parkway, preparing an access management plan is not within the scope of this study. However, because it is tied very closely to the land use, transportation and aesthetics issues described in this report, Conceptual Access Management Techniques are presented as a starting point for addressing access management in the corridor, (Refer to map, page 79). Developing a detailed access management plan for the corridor should be an important next step for the redevelopment of the corridor.

The Transportation Plan proposes both major and minor improvements to the transportation and infrastructure system. These improvements, in safety, access, incorporation of multiple modes of transportation, utilities and sewers, will have great positive impact on the corridor.
Application of MoDOT Access Management Guidelines to Corridor
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1/2 mi. between at-grade crossings (suggested min.)

1/2 mi. between signalized crossings (suggested min.)

Distance between median breaks - 1,320 (suggested min.)

Distance between driveways - 440' (suggested min.)

E. 83rd St.
E. 75th St.
Raytown South High School
Unity Village

KCMO Southeast - Little Blue Valley Segment
KCMO Southeast - Upland Segment
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This map indicates the location of the existing driveway locations on the roadway. It also indicates the number of access points per mile for individual segments of the roadway. Additionally, the map indicates the severity of potential conflicts based upon the rule of thumb:

- 0-9 access points per mile = conflicts are few, access is managed.
- 10-20 access points per mile = numerous conflicts exist, access should be better managed.
- 21+ access points per mile = significant conflict exists, resulting in significant reduction in safety, efficiency and ability to navigate access to properties. Access needs to be managed to reduce the conflicts.
Description

This map indicates the location of the existing driveway locations on the roadway. It also indicates the number of access points per mile for individual segments of the roadway. Additionally, the map indicates the severity of potential conflicts based upon the rule of thumb:

- 0-9 access points per mile = conflicts are few, access is managed.
- 10-20 access points per mile = numerous conflicts exist, access should be better managed.
- 21+ access points per mile = significant conflict exists, resulting in significant reduction in safety, efficiency and ability to navigate access to properties. Access needs to be managed to reduce the conflicts.
Consolidation / Sharing Drives

- Existing Driveways
- (2 Per Property Removed)

Internal Property Connections

- Cross Access In Parking Lots
- Property Line

Backage Roads

- Access Drives On Rear Of Lots
- Property Line

Additional Turning Lanes

- Deceleration Right Hand Turning Lane
- Acceleration Lane For Right Out Turn Onto Roadway
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The transportation and land use recommendations address ‘big picture’ issues, ideas and solutions. These ‘big ideas’ are typically associated with a longer term goal and larger amounts of required funding to come to fruition. The next corridor plan component, the Aesthetics Plan, provides recommendations that could be implemented in a shorter time frame and at relatively less expense than the land use and transportation recommendations.

(this space left intentionally blank)
Aesthetic Plan

INTRODUCTION

One of the key opportunities of the Corridor Plan, is the ability to develop and implement aesthetic improvements along the corridor. These will typically have a shorter implementation time frame, with less project complexity, require less funding, cause less corridor disruption, and provide early visible changes and beautification that elicit positive public understanding and reaction. The Aesthetics Plan provides recommendations for these improvements.

CORRIDOR AESTHETICS CONTEXT AND OPPORTUNITY

The existing corridor has many aesthetic challenges, and they are different in each segment. The KCMO Northwest segment, an urban expressway segment, is lacking in quality aesthetics (landscape, streetscape). The Raytown segment is challenged the most because of the aging commercial character of the segment, the lack of landscape and streetscape, and lack of infrastructure in certain areas. The KCMO Southeast – Little Blue Valley segment is generally the most attractive segment of the corridor. Its rural character and low density of development preserves much of the existing wooded hills and river valley. The real challenges are the existing development at Knobtown, and the industrial development adjacent to the river, and the general lack of landscape enhancement along the highway near Bannister Road. Like the KCMO – Northwest segment, the KCMO Southeast - Upland segment is an urban expressway, lacking landscape, interchange, or streetscape aesthetics.

These challenges also represent opportunities to make significant change in the corridor. Because the attention to quality aesthetics in the corridor is so slight, making aesthetic improvements should have a dramatic affect on creating the perception that the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor is a quality environment.

The areas of aesthetics that were analyzed in the corridor were architecture, site planning, streetscape and landscape, gateways and monumentation, icons. The context of each of these, and the opportunities available are presented below.

Architecture

Benefits of quality Architecture:

The benefits of quality architecture are many. Quality in architecture consists of many factors. From measurable factors like the quality of materials, longevity of materials, quality of the structural, environmental, wall and roofing systems, to objective factors like design style, massing, colors, and material usage.

From a community stand point, high quality architecture reinforces the sense that the community is a desirable place to live, work, conduct business, or shop. It elevates property values and increases the tax base of the area. Additionally, quality architecture can encourage
human social interaction, assist in creating safer neighborhoods, and be simply aesthetically pleasing.

From a commercial and office standpoint, the quality of the architecture often is an indicator of the types of businesses that are in operation in that location. Often, retailers demand a level of quality in the architecture that matches the goods they are selling and their clientele. The quality of the architecture is a major factor in the classification of office space, i.e. Class A, B, C or D.

From a residential standpoint, quality architecture, at all home price levels is invaluable. Quality architecture can help a home hold its value over time, can help the home remain attractive in the for sale market, and help the home become a positive investment for the home owner. It also tends to be an indicator of the quality, stability and safety of the neighborhood.

**Context**

- Major areas with old, outdated, commercial buildings and facilities. Examples: Brywood Center, 63rd Street Drive-In, Wal-Mart Center, numerous small retail parcels in Raytown, Knobtown.

- New high quality facilities and quality older facilities provide an anchor and set a standard for new high quality development. Examples: First Baptist Church, Aquila, YMCA, Retail Sites along corridor, Unity Village.

- Aging housing stock exists in need of rehabilitation and upgrades, along with upgrades to neighborhood infrastructure and streetscape.

- Generally, older buildings along the corridor are showing age of materials and construction.
Architecture Opportunities

- Use the new development of modern, high quality buildings on assembled properties will raise the visual quality of the corridor.

- Use design guidelines and revised code requirements for new and rehabilitated buildings and facilities to ensure enforceable levels of high quality design, construction materials, and maintenance.

- Provide standards for upgrading existing buildings and facilities (including residential). Provide implementation plan to assist property owners with incentives for rehabilitation and redevelopment.

Site Planning

Benefits of quality Site Planning:

Quality site planning has many benefits. Some are: the safe access and navigation of a site, regardless of the mode of transportation; the integration of parking, drives, access points, and building access; the sighting of buildings, parking areas, drives, etc, to take advantage of the natural site features; reduction of site development costs; proper use of local utilities; creative and sensitive impact on surrounding property, uses and natural systems; enhancement of architecture; increase in overall quality of the environment.

Context

- Major areas with old, outdated, outmoded, narrow depth, wedge shaped lots.

- Parking lots on older wedge-shaped, shallow lots are close to 350 Highway / Blue Parkway lanes and shoulder. Safe backing and internal circulation is difficult in many cases.
• Multiple, uncoordinated, access points for each parcel and wide driveways create confusion and result in 'seas of pavement' along frontage of 350 Highway / Blue Parkway.

• Open ditch drainage, shoulders, and no curbs create a non-urban edge condition. Generally, the edge condition looks unkempt, or unmaintained in an urban condition (Raytown). In rural and expressway segments, ditch and shoulder roadways fit character.

• Buildings are generally pushed as far back on the existing commercial lots as possible, with parking lots in front. Buildings and sites tend to be divorced from roadway and adjacent parcels.

• Lack of vehicular and pedestrian connections to adjacent parcels and sites along the highway.

• Lack of large acreage, assembled property to allow for modern development patterns to emerge.

. Site Planning Opportunities

• Consolidate small, narrow, or odd shaped lots and redevelop according to new codes and guidelines.

• Provide ample stacking in and out of parcels for safe internal circulation and access.

• Coordinate and consolidate where possible, access along 350 Highway / Blue Parkway, to reduce confusion for drivers, increase safety for highway, provide safer access to parcels, both in and out.
• Curbs and storm sewers in urban area will better define edges, provide for a cleaner, more maintained edge, and generally look more visually appealing, in addition to controlling run-off and drainage.

• Maintain ditch and shoulder in rural and expressway segments. Maintain ditches and right of way in a more manicured condition.

• Buildings arrangements on development sites should be reconfigured to better utilize the building mass for design objectives.

• In areas where a slower traffic speed would be desirable (Raytown Segment), provide a better visual and physical connection along the highway, push the building facades up to highway, with no parking in between. Place parking behind, screened by building and landscape, so the architecture and landscape are the visual aesthetic, not the parking lots.

• Make and reinforce internal vehicular and pedestrian connections between adjacent parcels and sites along the highway.

• Use design guidelines and code requirements for new and redeveloped sites to ensure enforceable levels of high quality design, construction materials, methods, and maintenance.

• Provide standards for upgrading existing parking, access, utilities, etc. Provide implementation plan to assist property owners with incentives for rehabilitation and redevelopment.

**Streetscape and Landscape**

**Benefits of quality Streetscape and Landscape:**

The benefits of quality streetscape and landscape to the community are: it makes the community look better and more attractive; it increases quality of life; it helps buffer objectionable views; it enhances quality views; it enhances the pedestrian environment.
around buildings; it provides environmental relief to issues of pollution, sun and heat, rain and run-off, and snow.

The benefits to commercial and other development are: enhancement of development to reinforce the quality of a shopping, office or other environment; enhancement of site and architecture; provide relief from environmental issues; increase the market position of the development.

The benefits to residential include: increased property value; buffering, screening or enhancement of views; relief from environmental factors; enhancement of character of home or neighborhood; enhancement of architecture.

**Context**

- Lack of streetscape treatments in urban areas.
- Lack of corridor landscape plantings in expressway and rural roadway segments.
- Lack of individual site landscape plantings, screening of service areas, buffering to adjacent non-compatible uses, parking lot screening, aesthetic site landscape, signage and monumentation landscape plantings.
- Lack of defined turf or landscape edges adjacent to roadways and existing development. Bare soil, rocks, and litter from motorists and commercial uses create a general visually unappealing experience in urban areas of corridor.
- Existing highway right of way level of maintenance needs to be improved. (frequency, etc.)
- High quality existing mature plant material is in and adjacent to corridor, especially in the Southeast Kansas City / Lee's Summit segments.
- Existing lighting is oriented to vehicular transportation safety only. Lack of aesthetic and pedestrian lighting for evening use.
- Lack of safe, continuous, attractive, pedestrian and bicycle connections along and across the corridor.
- Numerous competing commercial signs of random materials, sizes and levels of quality and maintenance in urban areas of the corridor. This visual clutter is confusing, and greatly detracts from the visual quality of the corridor.
• Overhead power and utility lines add to the visual clutter of the corridor.

**Streetscape and Landscape Opportunities**

• Provide a coordinated landscape experience throughout the corridor that elevates its visual appeal and quality.

• Capitalize on the unique landscape character of the corridor segments:
  - Northwest Kansas City: Expressway - Large scale plantings and massings – scale for commuters and basic aesthetics.
  - Raytown: Urban Commercial Corridor - More detailed scale plantings, Coordinated with lighting, paving and sidewalks, amenities, gateways, to create a streetscape experience. Front door visual experience of corridor.
  - Southeast Kansas City / Lee's Summit - Rural route in Little Blue Valley - naturalized plantings, informal plantings to enhance general driving experience, and to frame views. Upland segment - Expressway - Large scale plantings and massings –scale for commuters and basic aesthetics.

• Create design guidelines and code modifications that are enforceable to provide a level of quality and expectation for new development and redevelopment.

• Use design guidelines and code requirements for new and redeveloped sites to ensure enforceable levels of high quality design, construction materials, and maintenance.

• Provide standards for upgrading existing parking, access, utilities, etc.

• Provide implementation plan to assist property owners with incentives for
rehabilitation and redevelopment.

**Gateways and Monumentation:**

**Benefits of quality Gateways and Monumentation:**

The benefits of quality gateways and monumentation to the community are: creates a sense of place and arrival into area; welcoming to visitors; opportunity to reinforce quality of the community.

The benefits to development are: the creation of an identity for the development that is recognizable in the marketplace; for marketing, signage, wayfinding, and branding, reinforce quality of the development.

**Context**

- Should announce and reinforce a sense of arrival and entrance to an area.

- Should set the stage for the quality of an experience or environment.

- Should be created at important crossroads, intersections, bridges, overpasses, etc. especially where existing topography, views, natural features, man-made features, or surrounding uses can enhance the sense of crossing a threshold.

**Gateway Opportunities**

- Blue Ridge Boulevard Bridge
- Raytown Road
- Rail Bridge at Noland Road
- Bannister/Colbern Road Bridge

**Icons:**

**Benefits of quality Icons:**
The benefits of having quality icons in the community are: reinforces the sense of place; reinforces the quality of the area; potential to be socially, economically, civically, beneficial to surrounding area.

**Context**

- Are places, areas, or objects that allow someone to fix that place, area, or object and its context in his or her mind.

- Stand out from their surroundings (positive or negative) through design, or lack thereof.

- Represent their surroundings (good or bad) in the public experience of a place.

- Are varied, including civic buildings (town hall, courthouse), memorials, museums, shopping districts, large or distinctively designed buildings, historic districts, stadiums, amusement and water parks, natural areas, landscape areas.

- Can be economic draws.

- Can be positive or negative.

**Existing Icons:**

- 63rd Street Drive-In
  Screens/Signs
- Brywood Center
- Teetering Rocks Links Golf Course
- Raytown South High School
- Railroad Bridge at Noland Road
- First Baptist Church
- Knobtown
- Little Blue River
- Unity Village

Existing Icon – Unity Village

Existing Icon – First Baptist Church
Icon Opportunities:

- Redevelop existing negative icons in corridor including:
  - 63rd St. Drive-In
  - Brywood Center
  - Rail bridge at Noland Road
  - Knobtown

- Upgrade character (landscape, pedestrian connections, storm sewer, edges, curbs and gutters) of existing icons.

- Provide visual/physical connectivity to existing positive icons; reinforce presence of existing positive icons through new development or redevelopment.

- Create new icons that function as civic or town centers, neighborhood draws, places to be, shopping and business draws, places of high quality design and aesthetics. Use existing and new icons as economic, civic, and social draws to the Corridor.

AESTHETICS PLAN

The aesthetic plan defines specific key opportunities, their associated issues, the recommendations, and the location of primary aesthetic opportunities. The Aesthetics Plan, (Refer to map, pages 91-92), indicates the location of key opportunities and their recommended enhancements.

ENHANCEMENT OF KEY CORRIDOR ELEMENTS

The following descriptions summarize the enhancement of key corridor elements. They indicate the type of element, the key opportunities for enhancement, the key issues surrounding enhancement, the recommendations for enhancement, and the location of the opportunity. Additionally, they display photographs of similar conditions and enhancement types.

**Bridge Enhancement**

Key opportunities: Utilize existing bridge structures as gateways for major enhancement opportunities.

Key Issues:

- Existing bridges are utilitarian.

- All bridge locations (63rd Street, Blue Ridge Rail bridge at Knobtown, Bannister) represent key
Key Aesthetic Enhancements Opportunities

1. Enhance frame/maintain view to downtown KCMO.
2. Expressway landscape plantings.
   - Enhanced at interchanges
   - Trees and large shrub plantings
   - Simple, large scale massings
   - Maintainable with minor change in current maintenance levels
3. Lighting enhancements at interchange.
4. Streetscape enhancements.
   - Landscape street trees
   - Median pavers
   - Ornamental lighting
   - Sidewalks/crosswalks at signalized intersections
5. Bridge enhancements.
   - Abutment improvements
   - Cladding of existing structure
   - Add tower, icon, structure element
   - Ornamental railing
   - Make more than utilitarian
6. New bridge enhancements recently constructed - set tone for quality - design cues to tie streetscape together.
7. Utilize bridge enhancements as first step in streetscape.
8. Enhanced maintenance only at interchange.
9. Redevelopment of icon.
10. Major gateway.
11. Aesthetic rehab programs for existing residential and commercial properties.
12. Local area streetscape enhancement - function as safe collectors of people to 350 corridor.
13. When not available on 350, pedestrian routes should follow local streets.
15. Enhanced pedestrian lighting at crossings and intersections.
16. Pedestrian scale access along frontage where possible.
17. Potential mid-block pedestrian activated signal for safety concerns
18. Potential overpass or underpass for pedestrians
20. Major gateway.
21. Aesthetic rehab programs for existing residential and commercial properties.
22. Redevelopment of icon.
23. Major gateway.
24. Local area streetscape enhancement - function as safe collectors of people to 350 corridor.
25. When not available on 350, pedestrian routes should follow local streets.
26. Increased overall corridor lighting - safety.
Key Aesthetic Enhancements Opportunities

   - Regular tree spacing on outside
   - Shrubs/trees in median
   - Turf on edges of curbs
   - Parks at high maintenance areas
   - Lighting enhancements
   - Unifying element/banners
   - Enhance with datum edges
2. Increased overall corridor lighting - safety.
3. Enhanced pedestrian lighting at crossings and intersections.
4. Pedestrian scale access along frontage where possible.
   - Potential mid-block pedestrian activated signal for safety concerns
   - Potential overpass or underpass for pedestrians
5. Bridge enhancements to enhance overall corridor quality.
6. Major gateway.
7. Aesthetic rehab programs for existing residential and commercial properties.
8. Local area streetscape enhancement - function as safe collectors of people to 350 corridor.
9. When not available on 350, pedestrian routes should follow local streets.
10. Streetscape on curbed section - see #5 of Raytown segment.
11. Landscape plantings on rural transition segment reflect open, natural valley character. Wider median - no curbs - shoulder and ditches stay the same.
12. Lighting enhancements to this portion of the segment.
13. Landscape enhancement at/around Knobtown redevelopment area.
14. Utilize redevelopment of Knobtown to create and reinforce uniqueness of Little Blue Valley and Knobtown as a major village center or crossroad for valley.
15. Connect open space areas together and to neighborhoods.
   - Implement trail plan (MetroGreen)
   - Create new walk/trail plan that is local
   - Connect to neighborhoods
   - Connect to commercial, mixed use nodes
   - Utilize existing infrastructure as initial framework (streets, sidewalks, trails) then fill in gaps
16. Transition from rural to urban expressway.
17. Expressway landscape plantings.
   - Planting scheme typical of MoDOT enhanced expressway
   - Low maintenance
   - Enhanced at interchanges
   - Simple, large scale massings
18. Major gateway enhancement.
19. Bridge enhancement as part of gateway.
20. Streetscape enhancement.
   - Street trees
   - Pavers
   - Planters
   - Lighting enhancements
21. Frontage road enhancement node.
   - Monumentation/signage
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gateways in the study area.

Recommendations:
- Utilize existing bridges as structure for applied enhancements.
- Locate funding sources for enhancements of bridges and roads.
- Each bridge is a unique, design should be unique for each one.
- Railbridge at Knobtown should be widened and enhanced or removed.
- Blue Ridge and Knobtown are primary opportunities.
- Tie into streetscape and landscape enhancements.
- High cost, high impact enhancement.
- Funded through primary public and incentive sources.

Where are the Opportunities?:
- 63rd Street Blue Ridge
- Knobtown rail bridge
- Bannister Road
Curb, Gutter, & Storm Sewer

Key Opportunities: Addition of curb, gutter, and storm sewers in urban segments for roadway and neighborhoods.

Key Issues:
- Urban roadway section has ditches and shoulders.
- Existing storm drainage issues at several places along corridor.
- Would stimulate access management issues discussion and study.
- Could be a joint private/publicly funded effort.

Recommendations:
- Curb and gutter only urban section, from Blue Ridge to Knobtown.
- Provide for regional detention in median near 75th Street.
- Do full access management study with improvements.
- Install in phases - as a part of streetscape projects.
- Provide for storm improvements at Knobtown rail bridge.
- Add in neighborhoods to improve conditions.
- Develop phasing plan, if needed.
- High cost of construction, high visual impact potential.

Where are the Opportunities?:
- From Blue Ridge to Knobtown.
- Storm Sewer in median near 75th Street.
- Storm sewer improvements at rail bridge at Knobtown.
- Storm Sewer Improvements in surrounding neighborhoods.
Gateways & Monumentation

**Key Opportunities:** Use gateway and monumentation enhancements to mark important intersections, corners, thresholds and municipal boundaries.

**Key Issues:**
- No enhancements of existing gateway areas.
- Several import primary gateways (municipal boundaries).
- Node gateways exist at 63rd, Blue Ridge, Raytown Road, Knobtown).
- Cost would be a mix of public, incentive and individual developer.
- Need general design guidelines.
- Medium cost, high impact

**Recommendations:**
- Develop primary gateways with streetscape design.
- Reserve areas at intersections for gateway landscape and markers.
- Incorporate pedestrian, landscape, crossings, into gateways.
- Allow for different design of secondary gateways on developer property, but have general guidelines for materials and requirements.
- Design fully primary gateways.
- Develop and construct early in corridor redevelopment

**Where are the Opportunities?**
- Kansas City / Raytown boundaries
- Knobtown

Municipal Gateway
Application: Municipal Boundaries

Example: 63rd St. / Blue Ridge Gateway
Application: Primary Gateways at Municipal Boundary

Secondary Gateway
Application: New Development Entries, Nodes
Intersection Enhancements

Key Opportunities: Increase visual character and quality of corridor. Identify and mark thresholds and gateways to areas/sub areas.

Key Issues:
- No current intersection improvements.
- Skewed intersections add to clutter.
- Should be done with streetscape.
- Cost could be shared with individual developer and public.
- Should be a part of overall streetscape design.
- High cost, high impact

Recommendations:
- Develop high impact intersection improvements at nodes.
- Develop and construct as part of streetscape improvements.
• Make developers responsible for corner improvements.

Where are Opportunities?:

• Primary nodes.

• Entries of large neighborhood areas.

• Entries to large developments.

Landscape/Streetscape/Amenity

Key Opportunities: Increase visual character and quality of corridor.

Key Issues:

• Lack of landscape in medians.

• Lack of roadway/streetscape landscape.

• No coordinated or unifying elements.
- Lack of landscape on private property.
- Lack of public spaces and amenities.
- Low level of maintenance of public spaces

**Recommendations:**
- Revise landscape ordinance/guidelines for new development and redevelopment.
- Develop detailed coordinated landscape master plan.
- Develop coordinated streetscape/walk/amenity plans with landscape master plan.
- Increase general level of landscape maintenance in corridor.

**Where are Opportunities?:**
- Along entire corridor
- Medians, street frontages, individual property, gateways, community spaces, intersections

---

**Median Plantings (Above & Right) & Streetscape Development (Middle)**
Application: Entire Corridor

**Streetscape Development**
Application: Raytown & SE KCMO

---

**Above:** Public Amenity Area
Application: Key Nodes (i.e. Raytown)

**Left:** Commercial Plantings
Application: Commercial Side Streets, Private Lots

---

The 350 Highway / Blue Parkway
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**Lighting**

**Key Opportunities:** Increase safety (vehicular, pedestrian, and personal) and provide increased aesthetic value through the use of a unified lighting palette.

**Key Issues:**
- Little existing lighting in roadway.
- Lighting only at MoDOT minimum.
- Most lighting is on private property.
- Some very dark areas in developed part of corridor.
- Haphazard collection of lighting
- Utility lighting only, without aesthetic quality.

**Recommendations:**
- Develop master plan for increased lighting safety and aesthetics.
- Develop a balanced plan that recognizes cars, pedestrians, and businesses have different safety and aesthetic needs (scale).
- Develop as an integral part of the streetscape/landscape plan.
- Include in demonstration block project.
- Should be easily maintained, quality modern lighting system.
Where are Opportunities?:
- Focused first at nodes (Raytown Road, Knobtown, etc.)
- Concentrated in Raytown and SE KCMO segments
- Little/no change in expressway (KCMO NW, and far KCMO SE)

Sidewalks / Trails

Key Opportunities: Provide safe, accessible sidewalks, crossings and trails to allow pedestrians to use transportation systems.

Key Issues:
- Total lack of walks and crossings.
- No safe crossing or refuge areas.
- Speed, and volume of cars on roadway increases danger.
- Majority of cost would be on public side.
- Few internal neighborhood connections.
- No pedestrian connections to open space or amenities.

Recommendations:
- Pedestrian activated signals.
- Provide refuge points at crossings.
- Crossings that are in scale and noticeable for...
vehicular traffic.

- Clearly identifiable crossing areas.

- Provide walks along roadway, but buffered from danger.

- Provide sidewalk connections to and through neighborhoods.

Where are Opportunities?:

- Key Nodes (Raytown Road, Westridge, Knobtown)

- Along frontage as part of streetscape

- Along residential and collector roads without walks.

- Throughout corridor to connect existing park assets and openspaces together and to neighborhoods.

- Little Blue River Trail System as defined by Metro Green Study.

- If rail line at Noland Road can be converted to trail system like Katy trail.
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Signage

Key Opportunities: Reduction of signage clutter and development of reasonable signage standards

Key Issues:
- Quantity of existing signs.
- Speed of roadway tends to require larger signage for readability.
- Could take long time to implement.
- Cost would be on individual developer.
- Need fair design guidelines.
- Encourage restrictions on expressway sections.

Recommendations:
- Revised signage ordinance/guidelines including:
  - Lower height signs.
  - Signage area related to architecture.
  - Gradual removal of old signs through redevelopment.
  - Fair guidelines - balance business/aesthetics, sign material quality and type restrictions.

Where are Opportunities?:
- Existing commercial areas (primarily Raytown)
- Knobtown
- Expressways
The lack of existing aesthetic enhancements in the corridor actually represents a great opportunity to positively change the quality of the corridor in a relatively short amount of time, with assumed lower budget requirements and less impact to traffic patterns or business owners during construction than the land use and transportation enhancements, by creating aesthetic enhancements in the corridor. These enhancements will be very visible to the public, and if well received, will help garner public support for the on-going corridor plan.
Implementation Plan

INTRODUCTION

The Corridor Plan proposes many recommendations for the future redevelopment of the corridor. Because of the currently limited resources available, the sheer size of the study area, and the complexity of the issues in the corridor, The Corridor Plan is an overall look at the entire corridor area, from a high level perspective.

The Corridor Plan is the first step in the much longer process of redefining and redeveloping the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor. The focus of the plan is on creating a long range vision for the corridor, upon which detailed projects may be implemented as the next steps. Those next steps are the Implementation Plan.

There are six key issues to be addressed in the Implementation Plan. The success of the project will be determined, in large part, by how successfully these items are implemented and addressed not only initially at project start-up, but over the life of the implementation of the Corridor Plan. The seven issues are:

- Project Start-Up
- Policy
- Creation of a Corridor Plan Organization
- Development of a Marketing and Communications Plan
- Detailed Planning and Engineering Study of the Plan Recommendations
- Securing Funding
- Successful Construction of Funded Improvements

PROJECT START-UP

The initial stages of the Implementation Plan are very complex, require objective decision making, and require difficult coordination and daily attention to be successful. Therefore, it is recommended that the participating entities of the Corridor Plan provide initial funding to secure a consultant to organize and manage the key issues illustrated below, until such time as the Corridor Plan Organization may confidently run the daily operations of the implementation of the Corridor Plan.

This consultant should have proven experience in the creation, management, and operations of the key issues proposed Implementation Plan. The consultant should have performed similar work in the last 5 years, and should provide dedicated staff to address the issues of implementation plan start-up.
POLICY

A number of the components recommended in the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Plan should be implemented in part through updates or revisions of various approved plans and policies of the affected jurisdictions and entities. These are outlined below.

Approve Final Corridor Plan Document

The first step in moving the Corridor Plan forward is the Approval of the Final Corridor Plan Document by the Client Review Team. Once complete, the Corridor Plan should be adopted as a policy making document.

Adoption of the Plan as Policy

To realize the vision of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway plan, its goals and recommendations should be adopted by the City of Kansas City, and the City of Raytown. MARC and MoDOT should use it as a reference plan for future planning purposes. Widespread support of the implementation effort will be based on the extent to which the actions are incorporated into the long range plans of the participating entities.

Ordinances and Plan Amendments

Once adoption of the Plan is complete, the City of Kansas City, Missouri, and the City of Raytown, Missouri, should modify their Comprehensive Plans, their Area Plans, Transportation Plans (Thoroughfare Plans), and Ordinances that may be in conflict with the recommendations of this Plan.

The City of Raytown is currently modifying their zoning ordinance to address this issue.

CORRIDOR PLAN ORGANIZATION

It will be impossible for the Corridor Plan to be implemented without an organization, tasked with its stewardship. City staff, business leaders, and stakeholders have too many other daily tasks and concerns to give the Corridor Plan the level of attention it will require for successful implementation. Therefore, a Corridor Plan Organization should be formed to be the day-to-day overseer of the implementation of the Corridor Plan. The creation of that Corridor Plan Organization is the most important initial task for the implementation of the Corridor Plan.

The development of functioning organizational entities and activities resulting in (1) the assembly of human resources, (2) the development of specific strategies for various aspects of the revitalization program and redevelopment projects, and (3) the commitment of public and private capital are essential to the success of the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway effort. To that end, the following organizational steps are recommended.
**Leadership Committee**

A Leadership Committee should be formed with representation from key citizens and stakeholders. The Leadership Committee should be charged with developing a long term strategy, including budget, and for securing financial support for implementation from participating entities and other sources. Financial resources should be provided at inception to conduct a leadership retreat which would have as its goal provision of a framework for action and a draft action plan.

The Leadership Committee may take the form of a Board of Directors, but should be comprised of individuals capable of ensuring the project’s success. The Committee should provide corporate governance, oversight, marketing and resource identification as well as guidance and oversight to an implementation staff, charged with day-to-day operations of plan implementation. Strong multi-year commitments from the initial Leadership Committee are recommended to ensure continuity and focus for the start-up and initial operations of the Committee.

The Leadership Committee should be incorporated in the State of Missouri. The corporation should establish as a separate entity or seek for its own corporate designation 501(c) 3 not-for-profit status to receive funds for implementation of certain aspects of the project.

**Advisory Committee**

In order to facilitate broad based participation in the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Plan implementation, it is recommended that the Leadership Committee engage a committee of Advisors for information exchange and discussion of policy alternatives for the project. The corridor-wide membership should include representatives of community groups and organizations interested in community improvement and development as well as residents along the corridor. Members of the Client Team and Steering Committee of this report should also be included. It is further recommended that the majority of the Advisors live or work along the corridor.

**Use of Implementation Task Forces in Addition to Leadership Committee & Advisors**

The Leadership Committee and Advisors may choose to establish task forces for further planning and implementation in the diverse geographic sectors of the plan area. Mobilization of a large group of advocates along the corridor will increase resources for implementation, bring more unity and multiply the education efforts.

Although one of the major implementation goals is unification of entities, persons and ideas, it is suggested that geographical task forces could provide additional focused energy and ideas for sector-specific implementation techniques. Task forces would not exceed 15 members charged with developing strategies and actions that would supplement work of the Leadership Committee, Advisors and staff. They may be comprised of elected officials, stakeholders,
business leaders, institutional leaders (educational, faith-based, health care) with sufficient diversity to represent characteristics of the sector and with sufficient commitment to ensure implementation. Task Force work would be coordinated by the implementation staff. Corridor-wide ad hoc committees may be formed to address very specific interests. Such committees would contribute to the unity of the effort.

**Outside Consultant Assistance**

The Leadership Committee may retain the services of outside consultants to address any number of planning, design, engineering, legal, management, and financial issues. These consultants should be hired, funded and answer directly to the Leadership Committee.

**350 Highway / Blue Parkway Redevelopment Office**

It is recommended that a high quality office, meeting and display space for housing the operation of the various implementation components be established. The facility should provide communications, space for leadership meetings and audio-visual technology. It should also be utilized for facilitation of policy discussions by the Leadership Committee and all participating entities. The office may be a funded, free-standing operation, or may be provided in-kind by a participating entity.

**Office Staffing**

The participating entities should fund staffing for the implementation office, if they are allowed to by law. In addition to carrying out specific projects, the staff would provide technical assistance to property owners, residents, and groups addressing the various concerns related to the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway plan. The success of the plan implementation will be based on the commitment of funds and qualified staff to oversee the project on a day-to-day basis. An annual statement of goals and timetable for accomplishment of same should be prepared and adopted by the Leadership Committee. Staff will be accountable to the Leadership Committee.

**FUNDING ANALYSIS**

The Corridor Plan Organization will be charged with performing analysis of required funding for their on-going operations and the recognition, application, and acquisition of funding resources for the implementation of the Corridor Plan recommendations.

**Development / Redevelopment Tools for Funding Improvements**

There are numerous potential funding tools available for use on the project. These tools are detailed below. It is important to note, the objective of securing funding is to pay for improvements that otherwise would not have a source of funding, and to provide seed monies for
the encouragement of private investment to occur. There will never be enough public funding to complete the recommendations in the plan. For this reason the investment of private dollars is crucial to the success of the plan.

I. REGULATORY OPTIONS*

A. Impact Fees – The development applicant pays a fee as a condition of the City’s approval of the development.

Advantages:
1. No voter approval required as long as the fee is structured to be consistent with Missouri case law related to user fees.
2. Fees only paid by those individuals or areas benefited by the improvement(s).

Disadvantages:
1. All fees collected must be spent for improvements that benefit those who pay the fee.
2. Monies must be spent within a “reasonable” period of time from the date paid and must be spent on the type of improvements for which it is paid.
3. Amount of the fee collected cannot exceed an amount that reasonably reflects the cost of constructing those improvements needed as the result of the demand created by the new development. In other words, you can’t collect enough money to improve mile of a major road if a traffic study shows the new development only impacts the demand on a quarter mile of the road.
4. Impact fees are routinely challenged in court by developers.

II. TAXING OPTIONS

A. Excise Tax – A tax levied on a particular activity, measured by the amount of business done or income received.

Advantages:
1. Excise taxes are not subject to the benefit or location requirements of impact fees. There need not be a quantifiable relationship between the tax imposed and the demand for public services created by the activity the tax is imposed on.
2. There is no legal limit on the rate of an excise tax.
3. There is no prescribed methodology a city must use to establish the rate of the tax.
4. Funds collected from the tax do not have to be earmarked for a particular purpose. It could actually just be put in the general fund for any valid public purpose. But, from a practical standpoint, cities usually state what the tax revenues will be spent for.
5. Excise taxes can also be imposed in the form of a license tax on “building contractors”.

Disadvantages:
1. Requires the approval of a simple majority in a public vote.
2. Although there is no legal limit on the amount of tax, it can’t be “confiscatory”.
B. **Economic Development Tax** – a new sales tax (up to 1%), approved by last year’s legislative session.

**Advantages:**
1. Sales taxes have been successful in most communities.
2. Sales taxes generate revenues from non-residents.
3. Can use the revenue not only for infrastructure, but operating expenses within certain parameters. After the revenue is used as defined in items #2 & #3 below, remaining funds can be used for almost any economic development-related activity: marking, grants to companies for job training, equipment acquisition, training programs, legal & accounting expenses related to economic development planning and preparation.
4. When imposed within special taxing district such as TIF, CID, or NID, the tax is excluded from the calculation of revenues available to those districts.

**Disadvantages:**
1. Tax must be approved by a simple majority of the voters.
2. No more that 25% can be used annually for administrative (staff & operating) costs.
3. At least 20% must be used for long-term economic development. However, this includes land acquisition, infrastructure for industrial or business parks, water & wastewater treatment facility improvements, streets, public facilities directly related to economic development & job creation, matching dollars for state or federal grants.
4. Need to establish a 5-member, volunteer board that prepares recommendations on projects. However, Council has final decision on how monies spent.
5. Can’t be used for retail projects.

C. **Capital Improvements Tax** – a tax levied on all retail sales for the purpose of funding capital improvements.

**Advantages:**
1. Sales taxes have been successful in our community.
2. Sales taxes generate revenues from non-residents.

**Disadvantages:**
1. There is a limit on each authorized CIP tax of up to ½ of a percent.
2. Tax must be approved by simple majority of the voters.
3. If any one tax measure fails at the polls, it cannot be resubmitted to the voters for at least 12 months.
4. Revenue bonds backed by CIP sales tax revenue must be approved by either a 4/7ths or 2/3rds majority, depending on the election date (see G.O. Debt section).

D. **Transportation Sales Tax** – a sales tax of one-half percent on all retail sales to generate revenues for transportation purposes.

**Advantages:**
1. The same as those for the CIP Tax.
2. Any bonds issued by these revenues do not count against the city’s debt limit.

**Disadvantages:**
1. Tax must be approved by simple majority of the voters.
2. Proceeds must be used for transportation purposes – streets, roads, bridges, parking lots, garages, and public mass transportation.

E. Storm Water Control and Local Parks Sales Tax – a sales tax of up to one-half percent on all retail sales in order to provide funds specifically for storm water control or parks, or both. Same basic pros and restrictions as in the two other taxes above.

III. DISTRICT OPTIONS - All of the following are districts formed to create funding mechanisms in order to finance public improvements. An overall advantage to most of these districts is that they can be layered on top of each other and used in the same area – for example a TDD can be layered on top of a TIF, etc.

A. Special Assessment District – best used for small projects the City can afford to finance up front.
   Formation: City draws the district and it is established by Ordinance.
   Financing: City issues special tax bills to parcels within the district. Payback is within 10 years.
   Advantages:
   1. Not public vote needed.
   Disadvantages:
   1. Not a widely used mechanism in Missouri. The special tax bills issued are not a familiar concept for investors and are not widely marketable, unlike bonds.
   2. Only useful if the City can front the cost of the improvement and can wait the 10 years for total repayment.

B. Community Improvement District (CID) – best used when there could be multiple improvements contemplated cover a large area.
   Formation: Requested by a petition of property owners within the proposed district (more than 50% by both assessed value and by number of owners). City Council has a public hearing. District approved by ordinance. Can be a political subdivision or a not for profit corporation.
   Financing: If a not for profit, funds raised through special assessments. If a political subdivision CID can also levy real property taxes after approval by a majority of district voters.
   Advantages:
   1. Don’t need to go to Circuit Court to form the district. And, although the amount of paperwork and the process involved in establishing the district is fairly extensive it’s easier than a TDD (see below).
   2. The district has the ability to construct, reconstruct, maintain, equip a variety of public improvements.
   3. The District has several funding sources available.
   4. CID’s, as homes/merchants association, can provide revenue and management services of a flexible basis for residential or commercial areas. Each CID can be custom designed to the needs of each district.
Disadvantages:
1. Creating a separate entity can cause problems unless a Redevelopment Agreement is used to stipulate exactly what projects are to be done, how long the district will exist, etc.

C. Neighborhood Improvement District (NID) – best used for single improvement projects within a fairly narrow geographical area.

Formation: Council designates particular areas of land as a “neighborhood” that will benefit from a particular public improvement. Landowners authorize the NID either by a vote or by a petition signed by owners representing 2/3rds of the area. If the election method is used, majority needed for approval follows the same guidelines as for GO Bonds.

Financing: Council may authorize issuance of GO bonds to finance construction of the identified improvements. Special assessments against the benefiting property owners go to pay back the bonds.

Advantages:
1. Don’t need to go to Circuit Court to form the district.
2. Requirements to form the district are not as involved as in the CID and the NID is not a separate public entity.
3. City does not need to do an election to issue GO bonds if it pledges current revenue streams to back the bonds in case the special assessments prove to be insufficient to fund repayment.
4. These bonds don’t count against the City’s overall debt limit.

Disadvantages:
1. A fairly large majority of landowners must approve formation of the district.
2. The City could end up picking up the tab for landowners who default on their payments and for which tax liens prove un-collectable.
3. Amount of debt can’t exceed 10% of the assessed value of tangible property. (However, it would have to be a huge project to do that).
4. Compared to a CID, the NID financing purposes are limited to specific projects, although the project need not be inside of the district.
5. Only special assessments can be issued.

D. Special Business District (best used in areas with a heavy concentration of commercial businesses)

Formation: Requested by a petition of one or more property owners within the proposed district, then approved by a majority of the property owners in the district. City Council appoints a commission or advisory board to recommend how money is spent.

Financing: District can levy property taxes (up to $.85 per $100 valuation) and special fees (a license tax). Can also issue up to 20 year GO bonds with Council approval and a majority vote of property owners (4/7ths or 2/3rds).

Advantages:
1. Discretion as to types and amounts of expenditures lies solely with the Council.
2. Funds can be used for a variety of “maintenance and improvement projects” within the district including new and improved streets.

Disadvantages:
1. Any license fees levied can be protested by businesses representing a majority of the total license taxes being collected.
2. Any bonds issued do count against the City’s debt limit.
3. Would only apply to “business” within the area.

E. Transportation Development District (TDD)
Formation: Approved by the circuit court after receiving a petition from either at least 50 registered voters, or from real property owners in the proposed district, or by City Council. If the court approves the petition, a simple majority vote by the registered voters or property owners (depending on the type of petition) is needed. Within 120 days of this election, another election is held to elect a board of directors.

Financing: Transportation related projects can be funded by special assessments and tolls (no limit), property taxes (up to $.10 per $100), or sales taxes (up to 1%). TDD’s can issue bonds without a vote of the public.

Advantages:
1. The district has a wide range of funding mechanisms available (although the types of mechanisms have to be approved through an election of district members).
2. The TDD is a separate political subdivision with powers of condemnation, power to contract, to lease or purchase property, and to sue (and be sued), can be multi-jurisdictional.
3. Any bonds issued do not count against the City debt limit.
4. Although uses of TDD funds can be limited, if overlaid on a TIF, its revenues are captured by the TIF become “TIF dollars” and can be spent with same way as TIF revenues.
5. Projects need not be totally inside of the District funding them.

Disadvantages:
1. Since it is a separate political entity, the City would have no control over it unless defined by contract.
2. Procedure for setting up the district is fairly complicated and can take months to approve.
3. Types of projects are approved by the Circuit Court when the district is first formed and cannot be changed unless authorized by the voters and MODOT and the City (depending on the project).
4. Projects must be transportation related – streets, parking, and “other” transportation uses.
5. If the initial attempt to form a TDD fails with the voters, it cannot be resubmitted for 2 years.

F. TIF Districts
“Regular TIF” – best used for retail development or for-sale residential development.
Formation: Approved by the City Council after recommendation from TIF Commission. Plan is required to meet specific findings, including Blight, as described in state statute.

Financing: Revenues are generated through property taxes (100% of real property taxes above the base that was there before a TIF district was formed), and sales & franchise taxes (50% of the new taxes). TIF bonds can be issued based on the revenue stream.

Advantages:
1. TIF allows future real property taxes and other taxes generated by new development to pay for the costs of construction of public infrastructure and other improvements required to make the project possible.
2. TIFs can be easily combined with other development tools using cooperative agreements.
3. Provides a way to fund public infrastructure projects the City would otherwise have no means of financing.
4. TIF bonds can be issued without a vote.

Disadvantages:
1. Establishing a TIF district is complicated.
2. Projects have to be able to generate enough revenue to pay off the reimbursable costs, either on a pay-as-you–go basis, or by paying off the debt service on TIF bonds.

“MODESA TIF” (Missouri Downtown Economic Stimulus Act) – best suited for large projects involving public infrastructure in the central business area of the city.

Formation: Similar to a regular TIF, however a Downtown Economic Stimulus Authority must be created to administer the provisions of MODESA within the City. This takes the place of the regular TIF Commission.

Financing: In addition to the revenues captured by the regular TIF, the MODESA can capture 50% of the new state sales taxes generated as well as 50% of state income taxes generated by new jobs created within the development area.

Advantages:
1. Same as with the regular TIF, plus diversion of state tax monies to the project.

Disadvantages:
1. Much more complicated to set up than a normal TIF. Many restrictions on where the district can be formed; on how the state-generated funds can be used (only 10 specific uses defined); and on how the district is to be administered.

“TIF Light” (Tax Rebate Agreements) best used in retail or other “sales” related projects where a TIF isn’t feasible and public improvements required for project’s success.

Formation: Not actually a district. It is an alternative to a formal TIF. Established as a contract between the developer and the City (although other entities, like the County, could partner in the agreement)
Financing: Agreement stipulates what amount of incremental city sales and/or property tax to be captured by the City in order to reimburse City costs for public improvements made in association with a private project.

Advantages:
1. No plans or other formalities to follow.
2. Agreement is strictly between the City (and any other public entity that wants to join) and the developer.

Disadvantages:
1. Limited revenues available unless other partners agree to forsake their portions of new taxes.
2. Revenues can only reimburse public improvements related to the project.

IV. BONDING OPTIONS
A. General Obligation Bonds – bonds issued with the City’s full faith and credit. Paid by a dedicated amount of property tax.

Advantages:
1. Can be used for streets and other public improvements.
2. Tax is usually community wide unless it has been levied under the authority of one of the various districts mentioned above.

Disadvantages:
1. Requires voter approval (4/7ths in an April, August or November election), 2/3rds approval any other time.
2. Limits on how much a City can issue based on a set percentage of assessed valuation.

B. Revenue Bonds – bonds issued to finance facilities with a definable user or revenue base. Citizens using the services pay for the financing through rates or fees.

Advantages:
1. Revenue bonds can be issued without voter approval (in certain circumstances).
2. Revenue bonds do not count toward the City’s debt limit.

Disadvantages:
1. Unless there is a dedicated revenue source to pay off the bonds, voter approval may be needed to put a property tax, sales tax or other funding mechanism in place.
2. Limits on how much a City can issue based on a set percentage of assessed valuation.

V. CHAPTER 353 REDEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
A. Allowed by State Law – to correct blighted conditions and attract new investment in a designated area

Advantages:
1. Corporation redevelopment is locally run to address specific area defined in a redevelopment plan and may include provisions for recreational facilities – 353 includes residential and commercial redevelopment.
2. Offers incentive of abatement of real property taxes for 25 years – 10 years are 100 percent and the remaining 15 years are at 50 percent.
3. Redevelopment corporation has flexibility within the plan area and within established goals.
4. May be combined with other economic development incentives.
5. Good track record for reversing decline and attracting investment.

Disadvantages:
1. Initial detailed planning is required.
2. Major funding may be required for acquisitions to ensure success.
3. Participation in program is largely voluntary.

(* this description of financing options adopted from work originally prepared by Robert Meyers, AICP, planner.)

These tools can and should be used in combination with each other to provide the greatest amount of incentive and assistance that is possible. It is common to utilize multiple funding tools like TIF and TDD together to generate more available funding for large projects. Because each project is unique, a blanket statement of what tools should be used and how is not possible. Each project should be evaluated on its individual funding needs. A legal consultant should be retained by the Corridor Plan Organization and the participating entities to assist them in the legal interaction and availability of these tools.

Additional funding may be sought through grants, in-kind donations, or donations by corporations, businesses, and citizens. Funding of this kind should be acquired through fundraising campaigns and direct outreach to those organizations and individuals. This type of fundraising should be conducted by the Corridor Plan Organization. Additionally, a professional fundraiser should be hired as a consultant to assist in the fundraising effort.

Additional information on development and redevelopment programs available in the State of Missouri and their submission and qualification requirements can be found on the Missouri Department of Economic Development website in the development toolbox section: [http://www.missouridevelopment.org/topnavpages/Research%20Toolbox/BCS%20Programs.aspx](http://www.missouridevelopment.org/topnavpages/Research%20Toolbox/BCS%20Programs.aspx)

Transportation Funding Sources

There are several transportation specific funding sources. Available State of Missouri funding tools for transportation related improvements are listed in the Development / Redevelopment Tools for Funding Improvements section. Additional funding sources include:

STP sub allocated funding is available through MARC for regional needs. These funds can be used toward any public transportation project subject to the priorities of MARC, and MoDOT local program rules and regulations as well as FHWA rules and regulations.
Transportation Enhancement Funding – 10% of STP funding to be spent on non roadway items such as bike/pedestrian trails, transit centers, landscaping and aesthetic improvements, etc.

Congestion Management and Air Quality (CMAQ) funding is available for mitigation of congestion such as bike/pedestrian facilities, signal upgrades and synchronizations, etc.

Bridge off system and on system funding addresses bridge needs in the MARC region. The on system (MoDOT system) funding is subject to the MoDOT Planning Framework and the off system funding is subject to MARC regional priorities as well as fed and state regulations.

MoDOT’s Planning Framework identifies and prioritizes needs and projects district wide with input and decision-making including planning partners such as MARC or regional planning commissions. The prioritized needs and project lists are not fiscally constrained, enabling selection to be done based on priorities and available funding. Any shared costs by other entities is factored in to the process during selection.

Additional funding sources for transportation improvements can come from innovative financing, through the use of transportation corporations, loans from the Infrastructure Bank, and Cost Share programs. Economic development financing tools from the State of Missouri are available as well.

Currently (October 06’), there are no MoDOT funds available, and the majority of funds are already committed to projects for the next 3-5 years. Following this, funding may become available. The Corridor Plan Organization, and the jurisdictions involved should work closely with MoDOT to determine timelines and qualification requirements for future funding.

**City Services and Capital Improvements Programs**

Basic city services of primary concern to the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway plan are the provision of capital improvements for infrastructure and regulations to make priority improvements possible. Implementation will require cooperation in Capital Improvements Planning on the part of all participating entities as well as commitments to funding. A firm commitment in capital improvement funding should be secured from all participating entities. It is recommended that a 10-year capital improvements program be approved by participating entities to assist with the following elements of the plan:

- *Infrastructure Improvements*
- *Public Places, Parks and Bikeways Plans*
- *350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Infrastructure Plan*
- *350 Highway / Blue Parkway Corridor Aesthetic Enhancement Plan*
- *Existing Façade Maintenance and Enhancements Plan*

**MARKETING AND COMMUNICATIONS**
Identity

The Leadership Committee should undertake development of a visual identity and joint marketing efforts to make the identity visible to the participating entities and along the corridor. One of the first efforts should be directed toward achieving cohesiveness among the corridor entities and creating visibility for the corridor and the project. The identity should include a logo and theme, which will be visible along the corridor and in all communications created by the corporation. The marketing component basically is an economic development campaign.

Communities should be aware that the initial planning effort is complete and that the implementation effort has begun through media coverage and public meetings to discuss the plan and its action timeline. Public education about the process of planning, corridor and neighborhood revitalization should continue to be provided.

A schedule of meetings and events should be held along the corridor to secure volunteer or financial support and unite those who can serve the implementation cause. The events should draw on individuals who have previously been involved in the plan development.

Communications

Three components are recommended for communication within and about the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway area. It is recommended that (1) the Leadership Committee and Advisors schedule at least annual meetings with the community-at-large for the purpose of public education about the process of corridor enhancement and its elements and about corporate progress (a follow-up on the introductory sessions mentioned above) (2) the Leadership Committee establish a newsletter for residents, corridor businesses, stakeholders and interested citizens and (3) routinely provide information regarding activities to the local press. Media representatives should be invited to “track” the project, provide a special column for that purpose or introduce special insert sections.

The unifying logo also can be displayed on billboards, utility pole banners or windows of establishments along the corridor. Public events along the corridor are encouraged.

The Leadership Committee, Advisors and staff should join in a series of “roadshow” presentations, to be scheduled at organization meetings, churches, schools, etc. to explain about the project. The presentations should be in simple terms and utilize effective graphics. The meetings should be interactive as much as possible. Public feedback should be secured at every opportunity.

Recruitment strategy

A strategy and schedule of recruitment efforts should be developed. Staff will assume the primary responsibility of recruitment of candidates for revitalization. Sections along the corridor may be selected for a “demonstration block” of what can be accomplished.
NEXT PHASE PLANNING AND ENGINEERING

The initial phase of planning for the corridor is the Corridor Plan. It proposes a new Land Use Plan, a Transportation Plan, and an Aesthetics and Enhancement Plan. The plans and strategies in this Corridor Plan are the guide book for the future improvements. These plans and strategies are defined at a corridor level. A more detailed study of the individual recommendations is necessary.

The next phase of planning and engineering studies will further define, scope, scale, cost, and impact of the Corridor Plan recommendations as specific projects. It is from this next phase, and subsequent planning phases, that specific plans are designed, funded, approved, and built. The criteria for developing plans should be focused as follows:

- Development of funded projects
- Development of studies necessary to qualify for funding
- Development of the demonstration projects
- Development of Infrastructure for health, safety and welfare needs
- Development of high impact – low cost improvements

The Leadership Committee shall determine what recommendations shall be studied in the next phase of planning and engineering, with advisory committee, staff, and hired consultant assistance.

DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

One of the key components of the Plan is the initial use of Demonstration Projects to facilitate the idea of the early, visible success. Early, visible success is critical to the future implementation of the redevelopment plan. Early demonstrations show the public, private investment, existing business and residents, and potential funding agencies that change is occurring for the better. Developing a series of smaller, manageable, projects that can be completed early helps to build momentum.

The more visible the project or improvement is, the more it will be recognized. The successful completion of the initial project begins to change perception that the area can change and is changing. Often, it is this change in perception that helps trigger the most vital component of all development and redevelopment, private investment.

The Leadership Committee and public officials will be presented with multiple projects in the corridor plan area, either from the Corridor Plan, or from private developers. Because of the competition for limited resources, a way to evaluate and compare the priority of projects, as demonstration projects, is needed. The following table should be used for evaluating each individual project. Final scores should be compared to each other to assist in determining which projects to move forward on.
The Project Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table, below, should be used to evaluate and prioritize projects. The Attribute is the category to be evaluated. The Scoring Criteria is the range of scoring available for the attribute. The Score is the actual score of the attribute. Scores for the attributes should be added together for a total evaluation score. Lower scores produce a higher priority for the project.

**PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Owner Impact</td>
<td><strong>Low to High impact</strong> (1=Low, 3=Medium, 5=High)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>(1=Low, 3=Medium, 5=High)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant Fees</td>
<td>(1=Low, 3=Medium, 5=High)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>(1=Low, 3=Medium, 5=High)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Impact</td>
<td><strong>High to low positive impact</strong> (1=High, 3=Medium, 5=Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Impact</td>
<td>(1=High, 3=Medium, 5=Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetic Impact</td>
<td>(1=High, 3=Medium, 5=Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health, Safety, Welfare Impact</td>
<td>(1=High, 3=Medium, 5=Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation, Open Space Impact</td>
<td>(1=High, 3=Medium, 5=Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Funding</td>
<td><strong>Misc.</strong> (1=Existing Funding Available / 5=No Funding Available)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Requirement to Implement</td>
<td>(1=Short, 3=Medium, 5=Long)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Lowest total score on comparison of projects equals highest benefit) Total Score

It is nearly impossible to develop evaluation criteria that balance all factors for all unique instances that could occur. In the above table, all attributes are weighted the same in the table. This must be taken into account for evaluation of all projects. For example, cost that is rated as high (5) would offset the benefit of having a project fully funded (regardless of cost)(1). This is very apparently not of equal weight in this case. A fully funded project with other benefits should rate as a high priority project.

This should be kept in mind during comparison evaluation of all projects. The scores obtained from the Project Evaluation Criteria Table should be used as a guideline, subject to full review for specific circumstances unique to the project being evaluated.

Additionally, It should be noted that this evaluation table should not be used to evaluate the major transportation recommendations. Because of the high cost, long time frame, lack of available funding, high impact to residents, but high positive impact to safety, economic impact and aesthetic impact, these recommendations will be evaluated during additional studies required to meet local, state, and federal requirements.
FUNDING REQUIREMENTS

The acquisition of funding sources for the Corridor Plan Implementation will be crucial to its success. There are numerous funding requirements, at different stages of Corridor Plan development. At the current time, no commitment in funding has been made by any of the municipal, state, federal, or private entities for the next phase of the Plan.

During the initial stages of the Implementation Plan, there are two primary funding goals:

1. Funding for the Policy decisions that need to be made.
   Because of the gap in current funding between approval of the Corridor Plan and the formation of the Corridor Plan Organization, some additional funding will be needed for the time and effort it will take to get the Policy changes and approvals with the jurisdictions involved. These tasks would be conducted by the staff of the jurisdictions involved, and the consultant responsible for this report. The jurisdictions would provide funding for their own portion of the Policy tasks. Refer to Policy section, above, for details of the required tasks. An initial Budget of $40-50,000.00 should be used for these tasks.

2. Funding for the start-up of the Corridor Plan Organization.
   During the completion of the required Policy tasks mentioned above, the formulation of the Corridor Plan Organization needs to be accomplished. The success of the plan implementation will be based on the commitment of adequate funds to oversee the project on a day-to-day basis. An estimated budget for such activity is $175,000-$250,000 annually. Initially, 75-100 percent of the financial requirements to operate the 350 Highway / Blue Parkway office should come from cities, counties, MoDOT, MARC, and others, if allowed by law, while 0-25 percent should come from other sources, which may include grants, contributions and fund raising events.

   Additionally, all incentive programs (TIF, TDD, NID, CID, etc.) approved along the corridor should be required to contribute to the Corridor Plan Organization for operations and implementation of the Corridor Plan. Contributions should be based upon current and future plan implementation needs.

   Initial financial commitments by all founding entities should be for five years. During that time frame, government funding should be reduced.

The next phase Implementation Plan, will require funding to occur for:

1. Marketing and Communications Plan
2. Next Phase Planning and Engineering
3. Funding Analysis
4. Demonstration Projects/Plan Recommendations
SUCCESSFUL CONSTRUCTION IMPLEMENTATION

Once designed, prioritized and funded, the demonstration projects need to be constructed and maintained. As the capstone to this lengthy process, construction implementation and maintenance of the demonstration projects is the public manifestation of the Corridor Plan. Ultimately, the public will determine the success of the project on the physical development of its recommendations. Timely construction, minimizing construction impact on adjacent property owners, improvements to transportation, and the aesthetics of the improvements will increase the enthusiasm, the positive perception of change, and the economic impact the improvements will have. Additionally, on-going, quality maintenance of the existing corridor and the implemented projects must occur. All project budgets should include an estimate of on-going maintenance cost, timing, and responsible party.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR KEY PLAN MILESTONES

These key items can be addressed as milestones that lay ahead for the Corridor Plan. These are illustrated on the following chart. Additionally, the entity(s) responsible for that milestone, and the recommended start date are also indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approve Final Corridor Plan Document</td>
<td>Client Team Members</td>
<td>Nov 06’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of Corridor Plan as Policy</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions – KCMO, Raytown</td>
<td>Following Approval of Corridor Plan – Dec 06’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modifications of local ordinances and plans to be consistent with the Plan</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions – KCMO, Raytown</td>
<td>Following Adoption of Corridor Plan – Jan 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of organization to shepherd project</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions, private entities, citizens</td>
<td>Following approved code changes - Second Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Corridor Plan Organization funding to begin project set up</td>
<td>Participating Jurisdictions, private entities, citizens</td>
<td>Following approved code changes - Second Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Funding Analysis</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization -</td>
<td>During development of Marketing and Communications Plan –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Marketing and Communications Plan</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following creation and initial funding of Plan Organization – 2nd or 3rd Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of next phase of planning and engineering studies</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following creation and initial funding of Plan Organization – 3rd or 4th Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation, approval, and/or continued development of next phase planning and engineering studies</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following development of next phase studies - 4th Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop demonstration projects/blocks</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following approval by Leadership Committee of next phase engineering studies – Jan-08’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop funding plan for approved next phase projects and demonstration blocks</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following development of next phase studies - 4th Quarter 07’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure funding for next phase projects and demonstration blocks according to funding plan</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following development of funding plan for next phase projects – 1st Quarter 08’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin implementation and construction of initial project/demonstration block(s)</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following securing of funding for next phase projects – 4th Quarter 08’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued development of planning and engineering studies</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>During life of project – On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going review, approval, and implementation of developed Corridor Plan recommendations</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>During life of project – On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going capital improvements funding, design, and construction</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>Following installation of initial project/demonstration block(s) – On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going Marketing and maintenance</td>
<td>Corridor Plan Organization – With jurisdiction assistance or support</td>
<td>During life of project – On-going</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation of the Corridor Plan will require commitment and coordinated efforts among public, private and voluntary organizations and individuals and the Corridor Plan Organization. It will also require policy commitments and human and financial resources dedicated to the project over an extended period of time. But, this commitment will be rewarded with a revitalized corridor with new living, working, shopping, and recreation opportunities.