

Congestion Management Report 2025

Technical Appendix



Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| List of Abbreviations | 3 |
| Introduction | 4 |
| Methodology..... | 4 |
| Congestion Management Network..... | 4 |
| Data..... | 5 |
| Congestion Measures: | 5 |
| Bottlenecks: | 6 |
| Reliability Measures:..... | 7 |
| Kansas City Scout | 8 |
| Annual Hours of Peak-Hour Excessive Delay per Capita..... | 8 |
| Systemwide Statistics:..... | 8 |
| Historical Commuting Corridors | 9 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| CATT | Center for Advanced Transportation Technology |
| CMN | Congestion Management Network |
| CMP | Congestion Management Process |
| LOTTR | Level of Travel Time Reliability |
| MARC | Mid-America Regional Council |
| NHS | National Highway System |
| PTI | Planning Time Index |
| TAMTI | Texas A&M Transportation Institute |
| TTI | Travel Time Index |
| TTTR | Truck Travel Time Reliability Index |

Note: HERE and INRIX are names of traffic data firms and are not abbreviations.

INTRODUCTION

The 2025-2026 Congestion Management Report is part of the Mid-America Regional Council's (MARC) effort to fulfill its responsibilities related to its [Congestion Management Process \(CMP\) Policy](#) and the eight-step Congestion Management Process. The CMP is intended to provide information about the performance of the region's roadway network and provide select strategies to act on that information. This report fits the first part of the CMP's objectives — it displays congestion information to inform regional leaders and other stakeholders about the performance of the roadway network.

This report also supports economic vitality, one of the major policy framework goals of the [Connected KC 2050](#), the region's Metropolitan Transportation Plan. Pinpointing where commuters and freight drivers might have the most difficulty reaching their destinations allows for more targeted and effective amelioration efforts.

This report portrays the analysis of data from 2024. For the purpose of awarding points to transportation project applications, projects that overlap with any segment showing as moderately or severely congested or unreliable on any data map in the report in either year of data will be considered as addressing congestion per the CMP Policy.

METHODOLOGY

Congestion Management Network

The Congestion Management Network (CMN) is the network of roadways in the Kansas City region that have been selected for congestion monitoring. There are three official criteria for a roadway's inclusion on the CMN, listed in step three of MARC's eight-step Congestion Management Process. Facilities considered to be part of the network include:

- All National Highway System (NHS) routes.
- All routes with average daily mid-block traffic volumes of 25,000 or more for segments of 2 miles or more in length.
- All routes with high levels of transit service.

MARC staff review traffic volumes using available historical data from cities and state departments of transportation (DOTs). In consultation with the Kansas City Area Transportation Authority, "high levels of transit service" is any route that has headways of 15 minutes or less under the upcoming RideKC Next service plan. Other informal criteria affected route selection, such as a route's listing as a future fast and frequent corridor in MARC's Smart Moves KC. The MARC Highway Committee last approved changes to the CMN in 2025.

Data

The data listed below are used to generate performance measures, which supports step four of the eight-step Congestion Management Process.

Congestion Measures

- Travel Time Index (TTI)
- Planning Time Index (PTI)

MARC acquired traffic speed data from the Probe Data Analytics Suite, run by the University of Maryland’s CATT Lab through the INRIX online platform. MARC’s access to INRIX is sponsored by the Missouri Department of Transportation. Similar sponsorship was not available from the State of Kansas. To access the same dataset for both sides of the region, MARC agreed to purchase time-limited access to the INRIX congestion data platform for a fixed fee. Previously, MARC purchased a different dataset from HERE to complete the analysis. For 2024, the analysis was done using exclusively INRIX data which amounts to a methodological change from previous iterations of this report.

INRIX data is used to generate the two congestion measures TTI and PTI. These measures use reference travel time, based on the reference speed of the segment, and reference speed – both of which are calculated in the INRIX platform.

The reference travel time is calculated by finding the 80th percentile travel time of overnights and weekends. The average peak period travel time is calculated by averaging five-minute epochs of data in the peak periods (7 - 9 a.m., and 4 - 6 p.m.) on all Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays of the specified year. The performance measures include:

- Travel time index (TTI) is used to measure recurring congestion, or congestion that a motorist can expect on an average day during peak hours as the result of a facility’s capacity not meeting demand. It is calculated using the following formula:

$$TTTTT = \frac{\text{average peak period travel time}}{\text{reference travel time}}$$

If the TTI for a segment is 2.00, a motorist can expect that it will take twice as long to navigate that segment.

- Planning time index (PTI) is used to measure non-recurring congestion. This congestion is the result of temporary events such as inclement weather, construction, or vehicle collisions. It is calculated using the following formula:

$$PTTTT = \frac{95^{\text{th}} \text{ percentile travel time}}{\text{reference travel time}}$$

If the PTI for a segment is 2.00, a motorist should plan on taking twice the normal travel time to ensure on-time arrival at the destination 95% of the time.

For these two measures, this report follows the distinctions and thresholds set by the 2019 report. Routes on the CMN were classified as either a “highway” or a “major roadway.” “Highways” have a functional class of either “Interstate” or “freeway/expressway.” “Major roadways” have a functional class of “principal arterial” and below. This distinction was made to reflect the different expectations of service between these facilities when portraying the thresholds for TTI. For PTI, the thresholds remain the same between highways and major roadways.

TTI Congestion Thresholds:

| Highways | |
|-----------|----------------------|
| ≤1.24 | Not Congested |
| 1.25-1.49 | Moderately Congested |
| ≥1.50 | Severely Congested |

| Major Roadways | |
|----------------|----------------------|
| ≤1.49 | Not Congested |
| 1.50-1.99 | Moderately Congested |
| ≥2.00 | Severely Congested |

PTI Reliability Thresholds:

| Highways & Major Roadways | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| ≤1.99 | Reliable |
| 2.00-2.99 | Moderate Unreliability |
| 3.00 | Severe Unreliability |

Bottlenecks

The Probe Data Analytics Suite, run by the University of Maryland's CATT Lab, tracks and ranks bottleneck locations in certain geographies using HERE probe data. At a high-level, per the Probe Data Analytics Suite, bottlenecks are locations on the roadway where conditions have fallen below a certain percentage of the reference speed for an extended period of time. The temporal and geospatial extent of bottlenecks can be used to determine which locations are particularly troublesome for the traveling public.

The Bottleneck Tool includes four metrics to provide users with additional insight to bottlenecks impacting roadway systems. These metrics allow users to weigh the traditional impact metric (now referred to as the base impact) by other relevant factors, including:

- Base Impact — The sum of queue lengths over the duration of the bottleneck.
- Weighted Base Impact — The base impact weighted by different metrics as noted below:
 - *Speed Differential* — Base impact weighted by the difference between free-flow speed and observed speed. This metric should be used when you want to identify and rank bottlenecks from the individual vehicle perspective.
 - *Congestion* — Base impact weighted by the measured speed as a percentage of free-flow speed. Similar to the speed differential metric, the congestion metric should be used when you want to identify and rank bottlenecks from the individual vehicle perspective. NOTE: The term congestion is defined as "measured speed as a percent of the free-flow speed"
 - *Total Delay* — Base impact weighted by the difference between free-flow travel time and observed travel time multiplied by the average daily volume (AADT), adjusted by a day-of-the-week factor. This metric should be used to rank and compare the estimated total delay from all vehicles within the bottleneck.

By default, the Bottleneck Tool sorts segments by base impact weighted by the total delay, and this is what MARC uses to identify bottlenecks within the region. MARC identified bottlenecks with a Total Delay

value equal to or greater than 100 million.

Reliability Measures

- Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)
- Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)

INRIX is a traffic data firm that supplies the data for the federally prescribed performance measures of level of travel time reliability and truck travel time reliability. This data is processed and supplied by the Probe Data Analytics Suite, a data platform supported by the University of Maryland’s CATT Lab. MARC staff were able to download and map data calculated for these federal performance measures. The measures include:

- Level of travel time reliability (LOTTR) functions as a measure of reliability (non-recurring congestion), similarly to the PTI. However, LOTTR is calculated differently:

$$LOTTR = \frac{80th\ percentile\ of\ travel\ times}{50th\ percentile\ of\ travel\ times}$$

The coverage of this data is limited mostly to the NHS as provided by the Probe Data Analytics Suite.

- Truck travel time reliability (TTTR) is another measure of non-recurring congestion, but intended to show the areas where trucks will encounter the most unreliability.

$$TTTR = \frac{95th\ percentile\ of\ travel\ times}{50th\ percentile\ of\ travel\ times}$$

The coverage of this data was only calculated on the Interstate system as provided by the Probe Data Analytics Suite.

Reliability thresholds for both measures are as follows:

| LOTTR & TTTR | |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| ≤1.24 | Reliable |
| 1.25-1.49 | Moderately Unreliable |
| ≥1.50 | Severely Unreliable |

Kansas City Scout - Average incident clearance time

MARC staff obtained data on the measure of average incident clearance time from Kansas City Scout, the bistate highway traffic management system. This is a measure of how much time elapses on average before all lanes of a roadway are cleared of the incident. It covers Scout’s network in the eight counties of the MARC metropolitan planning area in 2024: Johnson, Leavenworth, Miami and Wyandotte in Kansas, and Cass, Clay, Jackson, and Platte in Missouri – Ray County is not included.

MARC staff calculated the measure of incident clearance time. KC Scout provided guidance for calculations of the measures, which are as follows:

- Field “lane blockage duration.”
 - Incidents where only the shoulders of the road were closed were excluded.
- “Stalled vehicle” and “debris in the roadway” incidents were excluded when the average time to clear was zero. They were included when the average time to clear was above zero.
- “Roadwork” and “Special” incidents were excluded.
- Only facilities which were clearly named as Interstates, U.S. Routes, Highways, and Highway-to-Highway Ramps were included.

In addition, only incidents occurring within MARC region counties were included. For the remaining incidents, the arithmetic mean of the time to clear the lanes for each incident was calculated.

Annual Hours of Peak-Hour Excessive Delay per Capita

Comparing the Kansas City region to similar regions across the U.S. offers context. To examine the hours of delay per auto commuter in 2024 for the Kansas City metro and for peer metro areas, MARC utilized the RITIS NPMRDS Analytics Suite MAP-21 tool for Urbanized Area (UZA) geographies. The ten peer metro areas previously identified by KC Rising, and used for this analysis, include:

- Austin
- Charlotte
- Cincinnati
- Columbus
- Denver
- Indianapolis
- Minneapolis
- Nashville
- Portland
- Raleigh

Systemwide Statistics:

MARC staff used INRIX data from the individual roadway segments into percentages of uncongested or reliable miles for each monitored network (CMN and Interstates, for trucks), according to its performance measures, for each year of the data.

The methodology was to sum the miles of all segments on a network that fell below the threshold of moderately congested/unreliable and divide the total by the total number of miles on the network. The percentages for the NHS (percent of person-miles travelled on the Interstates/non-Interstate NHS that are reliable) were not calculated by MARC staff, as calculations were provided by the Probe Data Analytics Suite.

Historical Commuting Corridors

MARC completed traffic data and congestion studies in 2012, 2013, 2019, 2021, and 2023. These studies featured data from the years 2010, 2012, 2017, 2019-2020, and 2022 respectively. Through these past studies, MARC can show changes in the levels of congestion for a few important commuting corridors in the region. These corridors include:

- **I-29 to US-169** from Kansas City International Airport to downtown Kansas City, Missouri.
- **I-35**, examined separately from Olathe (K-7) to downtown Kansas City, Missouri, and from Kearney (MO-92) to downtown Kansas City, Missouri.
- **I-70**, examined separately from K-7 to downtown Kansas City, Missouri, and from Oak Grove (MO-H) to downtown Kansas City, Missouri.
- **US-71 to I-49** from Harrisonville (MO-7/MO-2) to downtown Kansas City, Missouri.
- **US-69** from 167th St. to I-35.

The TTI was calculated at a corridor level for each peak period and portrayed in charts that grouped together commuting directions into and out of downtown Kansas City, Missouri. Some important notes on this data include:

- **Data sources have changed across the years that the Congestion Management Report has been published**
 - 2010, 2012, and 2024 (the current study) data are from INRIX
 - 2017, 2019, and 2022 data are from HERE.
- **Historical Corridor geographies were updated in 2017 and have not changed**
 - The US-71/I-49 study corridor extended south to Belton in 2010 and 2012, and to Harrisonville in 2017 onward.
 - The I-70 (Missouri) study corridor extended east to Blue Springs in 2010 and 2012, and to Oak Grove in 2017 onward.
 - The US-69 (Kansas) study corridor extended south to 151st St. in 2010 and 2012, and to 167th St. in 2017 onward.