

**APPENDIX** PHASE 1 GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE FRAMEWORK

# CONTENTS

A – DATA WISHLIST	4
B – PRECEDENTS	7
C – WORKSHOP MATERIALS	13
D – ANALYSIS PROCESS	124
E – ATLAS & PLAYBOOK DETAILS	156
F – POLICY ANALYSIS	165

## PRECEDENTS

Biohabitats conducted a brief literature review to identify relevant national and international models that have had a positive impact on increasing the health and connectedness of ecological and human systems. Of the projects selected for review, six examples arose as particularly relevant to the MARC GI Plan, whether in terms of ecological scale, biodiversity, transportation planning, the local ecoregion, or its overall comparable scope and quality.

Below is a list with links to all of the plans reviewed within the scope of the precedent study, followed by the literature review conducted by Biohabitats.

- The George Washington Region 2011 Regional Green Infrastructure Plan
   <a href="https://www.gwregion.org/regional-planning/reports-and-studies/regional-green-infrastructure-plan/">https://www.gwregion.org/regional-planning/reports-and-studies/regional-green-infrastructure-plan/</a>
- Land Mosaics for the Barcelona Metropolitan Region
  - https://books.google.com/books?id=UlamKfshPCUC&pg=PA230&lpg=PA230&dq=Richard+TT+Forman+Barcelona&source=bl&o ts=-2a-AmBT9D&sig=ahVcgSK97pgfXYEpDpsShM98uDs&hl=en&sa=X&ved=OahUKEwiwxrebh-PPAhWJMx4KHbk-CnwQ6AEIQzAH#v=onepage&q=Richard%20TT%20Forman%20Barcelona&f=false
- Barcelona Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Plan 2020

```
http://w110.bcn.cat/MediAmbient/Continguts/Documents/Documentacio/BCN2020_GreenInfraestructureBiodiversityPlan.pdf
```

- Baltimore Green Network Plan
   <u>http://www.baltimoresustainability.org/projects/green-network/</u>
- Regional Advance Mitigation Planning
   http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/065001
- Ecoregional Conservation in the Osage Plains/Flint Hills Prairie
   <a href="http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/065001">http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/065001</a>
- London Biodiversity Action Plan
   http://www.gigl.org.uk/about-gigl/londons-biodiversity-action-plan/https://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/services/environment-and-planning/design/sustainable-design/Documents/Biodiversity-action-plan-2010-2015.pdf
- Green City, Clean Waters: The Philadelphia Green Infrastructure Plan <a href="http://www.phillywatersheds.org/doc/GCCW">http://www.phillywatersheds.org/doc/GCCW</a> AmendedJune2011 LOWRES-web.pdf
- Portland Watershed Management Plan
   https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bes/article/298042
- Portland's Green Infrastructure: Quantifying the Health, Energy, and community Livability Benefits, 2010
   <a href="https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bes/article/107808">https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bes/article/107808</a>
- Baltimore Watershed Agreement Action Plan
   <u>http://resources.baltimorecountymd.gov/Documents/Environment/Watersheds/bwaactionplanfinal09.pdf</u>
   <u>http://resources.baltimorecountymd.gov/Documents/Environment/Watersheds/bwaprogressreport030410.pdf</u>
- Urban Watershed Framework, Triple Bottom Line (TBL) Model Dashboard for San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Sewer System Improvement Program

http://sfwater.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=2552

"Sponge City" Program in China

https://www.austrade.gov.au/ArticleDocuments/6585/China%20Sponge%20City%20Program.pdf.aspx

- Paris Greening Programme, 2007
   <a href="http://www.mcrit.com/climagranollers/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=24:paris-climate-protection-plan&catid=81:europa&ltemid=74">http://www.mcrit.com/climagranollers/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=24:paris-climate-protection-plan&catid=81:europa&ltemid=74</a>
  - http://www.energy-cities.eu/cities/cities\_actions\_detail.php?id=1434
- City Biodiversity Index (Singapore Index): Urban Biodiversity
   <u>https://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/city/subws-2014-01/other/subws-2014-01-singapore-index-manual-en.pdf</u>
- San Jose and the Urban Village
  - $\underline{http://greenplanit.sfei.org/books/chapter-3-case-study-san-jose\%E2\%80\%99s-urban-villages}$
- Carbon Neutral Cities Alliance 2016 Energy System Transformation Playbook
   Playbook available online soon. See the following link for current publicly available materials:
   <a href="http://gettingtozeroforum.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2016/01/WesterhoffLisa\_NetZeroForumDenver-handout.pdf">http://gettingtozeroforum.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2016/01/WesterhoffLisa\_NetZeroForumDenver-handout.pdf</a>
- Bridgeport, CT Eco-Urban Assessment
   <u>http://tnc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapJournal/index.html?appid=4912af1e58394129be9f7a895a755c66</u>



1732 Wazee Street, Suite 209 Denver, CO 80202 303.477.0660 www.biohabitats.com

#### MEMORANDUM

Date: October 17, 2016

- To: Christina Hoxie, BNIM
- From: Claudia Browne, Biohabitats Aiman Duckworth, Biohabitats Jessica Norris, Biohabitats

RE: MARC Green Infrastructure Plan Subject: Literature Review- Project Precedents

#### Literature Review

Biohabitats conducted a brief literature review to identify relevant national and international models that are having the most positive impact on increasing the health and connectedness of natural ecosystems and transportation systems. We began with projects for which we were on the project team and then turned to other published precedents of green infrastructure planning to select a small group of pertinent examples.

The purpose of the current effort was not to provide a comprehensive list of green infrastructure techniques, but rather to canvass some of the innovators and leaders in the field, which serve as touchpoints in green infrastructure planning. As would be expected, green infrastructure strategies vary depending on the regional context, types of environmental issue, and the selected focal metrics of the lead groups. The attached "MARC GI Precedents, dated 10/17/16" spreadsheet includes a focused list of select reference projects.

The six examples described below comprise a short list that each have a special relevance to the MARC GI Plan, whether in terms of ecological scale, biodiversity, transportation planning, the local ecoregion, or its overall comparable scope and quality.

### Six Models in Green Infrastructure Planning

1. The George Washington Region 2011 Regional Green Infrastructure Plan https://www.gwregion.org/regional-planning/reports-and-studies/regional-green-infrastructure-plan/

Comparable in scale and scope to the MARC GI Plan, this set of documents provides a useful model on several fronts, from assigning metrics at the initial stages of goal setting, to offering a comprehensive

Restore the Earth & Inspire Ecological Stewardship

policy review (Green Infrastructure Toolkit) for the coordinating municipalities. It is interesting for the approach to assessment and goals, which relies heavily on land cover designations and remotely sensed data. Land cover and tree canopy change over time was analyzed to identify trends. It also presents a scenario planning approach in support of a regional long-range transportation planning program by identifying regional goals and community values. The scenarios explored and evaluated alternatives for growth, development, and transportation investments. The final goals addressed various scales including total regional tree cover and modifications to tree considerations in the site plan review process.

2. Regional Advance Mitigation Planning http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/065001

The RAMP framework is a cooperative model developed in California to leverage transportation mitigation projects into effective regional conservation actions. RAMP offers a model for development of cooperative regional planning that has met with success in its earliest examples. Using RAMP, state and federal agencies consider the environmental impacts of several planned infrastructure projects at once. Working together, natural resource and infrastructure agencies can estimate mitigation needs early in the projects' timelines. This can avoid permitting and regulatory delays and allowing public mitigation dollars to stretch further by securing and conserving valuable natural resources on a more economically efficient scale, before related real estate values escalate.

3. Ecoregional Conservation in the Osage Plains/Flint Hills Prairie

https://www.conservationgateway.org/ConservationPlanning/SettingPriorities/EcoregionalReports/Do cuments/final\_plan.pdf

Although dating back to 2000, the TNC plan that encompasses the southern half of the MARC region is a useful model for several reasons. First, the ecoregional description and considerations remain pertinent even over a decade after its writing. Secondly, the planning process uses science to determine conservation targets and goals through a formal framework that is a precursor of the <u>Open Standards for</u> the <u>Practice of Conservation</u> and may prove useful to MARC's goal setting.

#### 4. Green City, Clean Waters: The Philadelphia Green Infrastructure Plan http://www.phillywatersheds.org/doc/GCCW AmendedJune2011 LOWRES-web.pdf

Philadelphia's success story over the last five years of implementation of their Green City, Clean Waters program has several explanations. One of the key early policy decisions was instrumental in restructuring the departments that had to be involved in order to meet with success. Three formerly separate departments, Combined Sewer Overflow, Stormwater Management, and Source Water Protection were restructured around watersheds that are ecological coherent units, instead of around the anomalous regulatory structure. This restructuring was critical to moving them forward. Philadelphia is also a good example of flood risk reduction and flood abatement through GI practices.

 City Biodiversity Index (Singapore Index): Urban Biodiversity <u>https://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/city/subws-2014-01/other/subws-2014-01-singapore-index-manualen.pdf</u>

The Singapore Index is the pioneering assessment tool for developing steps to improve biodiversity conservation efforts over time. It emerged from the Convention on Biological Diversity, an international treaty body that recognized the need to incorporate biodiversity alongside other environmental indicators for developed areas. The resulting City Biodiversity Index was endorsed in 2010 and has been applied to

Restore the Earth & Inspire Ecological Stewardship

hundreds of cities and regions since. As a science-driven tool, the index is specifically designed to provide a formal basis of comparison for measuring progress through time. Through all of its permutations, the index retains a focus on addressing the primary biodiversity threats in developed regions – loss and fragmentation of natural areas.

#### 6. San Jose and the Urban Village <u>http://greenplanit.sfei.org/books/chapter-3-case-study-san-jose%E2%80%99s-urban-villages</u>

Although not a complete GI planning effort in itself, this account of the application of the GreenPlan-IT toolkit is a useful example that includes specific data sets and decisions. The step by step presentation of GIS data and decision points may serve as a useful illustration. In addition, this GIS tool is oriented entirely on watersheds as the most ecologically sensible planning unit. San Jose is identified as one of MARC's Peer Metros on Metro Dataline.

Refer to attached spreadsheet for additional information on the above approaches and comparison to other relevant examples.



Assessment Tool San J	Assessment Tool Multi	Urban Forest Plan Paris,	Watershed Planning 16 Pil	Watershed Planning San F	Watershed Baltir Planning MD	Watershed Planning Portla	Watershed Planning Phila	Biodiversity Planning Londe	Regional Conservation Mult. Planning and C	Regional Mitigation Nine Planning Area	City Green Infrastructure Plan Baltir	Regional Green Infrastructure Barce Plan Regio	Regional Green Infrastructure Barce Plan Regio	Regional Green City c Infrastructure and J Plan coun	Category City	Precedents Biohabitats 10/17/16
ose, CA	ple Cities Globally	France	ot Cities, China	rancisco, CA	nore City and County,	and, OR	delphia, PA	on Metropolitan Area, UK I	iple Counties in MO, KS, J DK	San Francisco Bay, CA Counties	nore, MD	ilona Metropolitan in, Spain	ilona Metropolitan in, Spain	sf Fredericksburg, VA our surrounding ties		
GreenPlan-IT Toolkit	City Biodiversity Index	Paris Greening Programme, 2007	"Sponge City" Program	Urban Watershed Framework, Triple Bottom Line (TBL) Model Dashboard for San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Sewer System Improvement Progam	Baltimore Watershed Agreement Action Plan	Portland Watershed Management Plan, Portland's Green Infrastructure: Quantifying the Health, Energy, and Community Livability Benefits, 2010	Green City, Clean Waters: The Philadelphia Green Infrastructure Plan	London Biodiversity Action Plan	Ecoregional Conservation in the Osage Plains/ Flint Hills Prairie	Regional Advance Mitigation Planning (RAMP)	Baltimore Green Network Plan	Barcelona Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Plan 2020	Land Mosaics for the Barcelona Metropolitan Region	George Washington Regional Commission, Regional GI Plan, 2011	Document/ Related Project	
City	City	City	City	City	City Metro Area	City	Gty	City Metro Area	Region	Region	City	Region	Region	Region	Scale	
City of San Jose	Multiple Cities	City of Paris	16 Pilot Cities, China	City of San Francisco	Baltimore City and Baltimore County	City of Portland	City of Philadelphia	Greater London CIC	The Nature Conservancy	UC Davis, The Nature Conservancy, Metro Trans Commission	City of Baltimore	Barcelona Metropolitan Area	Barcelona Metropolitan Area	George Washington Regional Commission	Entity	
GIS-based tool for summarizing opportunities and constraints for urban stormwater-focused GI retrofits.	Globally used, science-driven tool to measure change in biodiversity progress through time. Includes metrics for existing physical conditions, ecosystem service, and governance and management.	An adaptation strategy of the Paris Climate Plan. Born out of high death rate from the 2003 heatwave in Paris.	A nationally led initiative to address urbanization-driven flooding problems through green infrastructure. "Sponge Cities" retain and reuse water within their boundaries.	Each potential project within the green and grey infrastructure program is assessed with a Triple Bottom Line (TBA) tool to prioritize the most beneficial component projects.	A cross-jurisdiction plan for water quality organized around watersheds.	Watershed based assessment and improvement plan. A related study looks at seven of the Grey to Green BMP strategies and quantifies the positive and negative effects of each to eight metrics.	Watershed based plan that included the restructuring of water-related municipal departments around watersheds.	Habitat and species-based conservation, restoration, and enhancement program that includes the London Lea Valley Olympic Legacy Plan.	A science-based plan for conservation using a precursor to the Open Standards for the Practice of Conservation	A cooperative model to leverage transportation mitigation projects into regional conservation actions.	A city-wide green infrastructure plan focused on leveraging existing greening and revitalization programs associated with vacant land into a connected network.	Regional plan focused on assessment and recommendations related to biodiversity and typologies of urban green space.	major regional network un nun-super econogy researu, with major regional network systems as a framework for diverse human uses. Three planning scenarios are presented based on a gradient of projected ecological health. Plans feature multiple corridor typologies.	Gi plan includes a regional ecosystem assessment via remote sensing, scenario planning, policy review, findings, and Gi recommendations.	Description	
Water Quality	Biodiversity	Human Health & Wellbeing through Microdimate Regulation	Flood Control, Potable Water Provision, Human Health & Wellbeing	Multiple	Water Quality	Water Quality	Water Quality	Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Habitat Con nectivity, Human Health & Wellbeing	Biodiversity, Water Quality, Human Health & Wellbeing	Biodiversity, Habitat Connectivity, Food Production, Water Quality	Water Quality, Air Pollutant Removal, Carbon Sequestration, Human Health & Wellbeing	Primary Ecosystem Service Focus	
Human Health & Wellbeing	Water Quality and Quantity, Climate Regulation, Human Health & Wellbeing	Biodiversity, Habitat Connectivity, Clean Air Carbon Sequestration	WaterQuality	Multiple	Hu man Health & Wellbeing	Human Health & Wellbeing, Climate Regulation, Clean Air, Carbon Sequestration	Biodiversity, Human Health & Wellbeing	Multiple	Water Quality, Food Production	Water Quality, Food Production	Multiple	Cultural Resources	Flood Protection, Human Health & Wellbeing		Other Ecosystem Services Highlighted	
Stormwater Pollution	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	. Urban heat island effect, dimate change	Floodplain development, land conversion, climate change	Multiple	Stormwater Pollution, Land Conversion	Multiple	Stormwater Pollution, Flooding	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	Land Vacancy, Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation	Land Conversion, Habitat Fragmentation, Stormwater Pollution	Threats to Ecosystem Services	
Landcover types	Biodiversity by Species Type, Governance and Management	Tree Planting and Conservation	Flood reduction	Environ mental, Social, Life Cycle Economic		Multiple, by Ecosystem Service	Aquatic Health, "Greened Acres"- Impervious cover managed by Gl	Biodiversity, Species and Habitat Specific Measurements	Landcover Types, Transportation Impacts to Species of Concern	Landcover Types, Transportation Impacts to Species of Concern	conversion to beneficial land uses and community access to open space.	Multiple quantitiative and qualitative.	Multiple quantitiative and qualitative.	Landcover Types, Tree Canopy, Number of Gl SWM Projects	Focal Metric Topics	
Projective Modeling	Scoring Assessment, Repeated Scoring Over Time	Implementation Measurement- Landcover Change (to be completed in the future)	Projective Modeling Projective Modeling	Projective Modeling	Timeline for Action Items an Progress Reports	Projective Modeling, Post Implementation Measurement	Projective Modeling, Post Implementation Measurement	Post Implementation Measurement	Projective Modeling	Projective Modeling	Projective Modeling	Projective Modeling	Projective Modeling	Post Implementation Measurement- Landcover Change (to be completed in the future)	Effectiveness Measurement Type	
Mar / Source Statement of the set of the state of the state of the statement of the statement of the set of the statement of	the line and electron test (technics 2014) (one cinicas 2014) a suppose bits	Mar J Anna and Canada Bang and Calaba And Sha Kasama a sum of Anna Andrea Andrea Andrea Mar J Anna and Anna Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar J Anna and Anna Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar J Anna Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar J Anna Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar J Anna Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar Andrea Mar J Anna Andrea Mar	ter man diverse and the second se	Manager (Manager of Bandward Annual Document and Manager of Bandward Document and Manager of B	1         Statistics         Statistatistics         Statistatistics         Statis	Tana Joseph and San January and	Mary Journal and Dimension for the Unit of Cold American State & Cold Mary and and	Start Provide and set to Allow the Allowing of the Allowing and the All	Na prima constante do on presenta e a sua a sua constante da sua constante da sua constante da sua constante d	Na martina and a sector of a s	Nama Conversion and many advances of grants an interactive	The second se	Bara, Donka, Apagla, Sanakawa, Walia Lindo, Yuli Ang, Zu Yuli Ang, Zu Yuli Ang, Ku Yuli Ang, Ku Yuli Yuli Yuli Yuli Yuli Yuli Yuli Yul	the maximum and the conditioned advantation of a maximum data of a state of the sta	Weblinks	

Note: Precedents in bold italics are described in more detail in the literature review memorandum under "Six Models of Green Infrastructure Planning."